	Page 1	
1	VIRGINIA:	
2	IN THE CIRCUIT COURT OF THE CITY OF FREDERICKSBURG	
3		
4	X	
5	Gordon Shelton, et al, Petitioners, : CH. No.	
6	-vs- : CH-2-428	
7	Bill Beck, individually, as well :	
	As in his capacity as Mayor of the	
8	City of Fredericksburg, Va., et al, :	
9	Respondents. :	
10	X	
11		
12	VOLUME II	
13		
14	EXCERPT of the trial in the above-	
15	entitled matter, when heard on December 13, 2002,	
16	at 9:00 a.m., before the Honorable John W. Scott,	
17	Jr., Judge.	
18		
19		
20		
21		

	Page 2		Page 4
ı	WHEN THERE WERE PRESENT	1	·
2	ON BEHALF OF THE RESPECTIVE PARTIES:		THE COURT: The Court is going
3	ON BEHALF OF THE RESPECTIVE FARTIES:	2	to take a ten-minute recess.
4	ON DELIALE OF DETITIONED C. 1. Cl. 1.	3	
	ON BEHALF OF PETITIONERS: Gordon Shelton,	4	(Whereupon, at 3:00 p.m., a
5	Anthony Jenkins; Patrick Timpone:	5	recess is taken by the Court; after
$\frac{1}{2}$		6	which, at 3:10 p.m., the matter
7	Mr. David Z. Kaufman, Esquire	7	continues as follows):
8	KAUFMAN LAW OFFICE	8	,
9	10625 Jones Street, Suite 201A	9	THE COURT: All right; other
10	Fairfax, Virginia 22030	10	than the e-mails that were generated by
11	(703)764-9080	11	Councilman Fortune in reference to
12		12	Historic Preservation, let me ask you
13	Mr. Michael Barnsback, Esquire	13	Mr. Kaufman, are you aware of any other
14	DIMURO, GINSBURG & MOOK, P.C.	14	communications that were initiated by
15	908 King Street, Suite 200	15	him to anyone in reference to Count 11?
16	Alexandria, Virginia 22314	16	MR. KAUFMAN: Count 11? Your
17	(703) 684-4333	17	
18	(1-17) 001 1865	18	Honor, I'm aware of them but they fall
19		19	on the wrong side of the dateline that
20			you have posted, and I would like to
21		20	explain that.
21		21	THE COURT: Um-hum.
<u> </u>		<u> </u>	
	D 2		
	Page 3		Page 5
1	ON BEHALF OF RESPONDENTS: Bill Beck, Scott Howson,	1	MR. KAUFMAN: On the 16th of
2	Dr. Thomas Fortune, Matthew Kelly:	2	June, there were two e-mails sent in
3		3	which Councilman Fortune, you know,
4	Mr. Howard Stahl, Esquire	4	specifically requested he be considered
5	Mr. John F. O'Connor, Esquire	5	for FAMPO. And the reply to that, you
6	STEPTOE & JOHNSON, L.L.P.	6	know, to his request went to the Mayor,
7	1330 Connecticut Avenue, N.W.	7	Mr. Howson, and Mr. Kelly. And after
8	Washington, D. C. 20036	8	that, there is a two-week hiatus; and
9	(202-249-8095	9	when the e-mails start again on the 3rd
()1		10	of July, he is there and listed as
11		11	for FAMPO, but he makes no further
12	ON BEHALF OF RESPONDENT WILLIAM WITHERS, JR:	12	communications.
		1 4 4	communications.
13	Mr. William M. Sokol, Esquire	1 1 2	THE COIDT. V.
13 14	Mr. William M. Sokol, Esquire SOKOL & JONES	13	THE COURT: Yes.
14	SOKOL & JONES	14	MR. KAUFMAN: Specifically as
14 15	SOKOL & JONES 904 Princess Anne Street, Suite 101	14 15	MR. KAUFMAN: Specifically as regards the decision on the Library
14 15 16	SOKOL & JONES 904 Princess Anne Street, Suite 101 Fredericksburg, Virginia 22401	14 15 16	MR. KAUFMAN: Specifically as regards the decision on the Library Board representative where Bev Cameron
14 15 16 17	SOKOL & JONES 904 Princess Anne Street, Suite 101	14 15 16 17	MR. KAUFMAN: Specifically as regards the decision on the Library Board representative where Bev Cameron was mentioned, that discussion he does
14 15 16 17 18	SOKOL & JONES 904 Princess Anne Street, Suite 101 Fredericksburg, Virginia 22401 (540)899-8077	14 15 16 17 18	MR. KAUFMAN: Specifically as regards the decision on the Library Board representative where Bev Cameron was mentioned, that discussion he does not participate in. And to the extent
14 15 16 17 18 19	SOKOL & JONES 904 Princess Anne Street, Suite 101 Fredericksburg, Virginia 22401	14 15 16 17 18 19	MR. KAUFMAN: Specifically as regards the decision on the Library Board representative where Bev Cameron was mentioned, that discussion he does not participate in. And to the extent that the Court's finding was limited to
14 15 16 17 18 19 20	SOKOL & JONES 904 Princess Anne Street, Suite 101 Fredericksburg, Virginia 22401 (540)899-8077	14 15 16 17 18 19 20	MR. KAUFMAN: Specifically as regards the decision on the Library Board representative where Bev Cameron was mentioned, that discussion he does not participate in. And to the extent that the Court's finding was limited to that six-odd-day discussion on Mr.
14 15 16 17 18 19	SOKOL & JONES 904 Princess Anne Street, Suite 101 Fredericksburg, Virginia 22401 (540)899-8077	14 15 16 17 18 19	MR. KAUFMAN: Specifically as regards the decision on the Library Board representative where Bev Cameron was mentioned, that discussion he does not participate in. And to the extent that the Court's finding was limited to

		T	
	Page 6		Page 8
1	part of that	1	Rules and things like that.
2	THE COURT: All right.	2	Nonetheless, the statute
3	MR. KAUFMAN:you know, but	3	requires that they read and take
4	we still think it should go beyond	4	responsibility for their actions here,
5	that	5	and it is clear that they know comething
6	THE COURT: I understand.	6	and it is clear that they knew something
7	MR. KAUFMAN:but those are	7	was going on with e-mails beforehand,
8	the facts, Your Honor.	8	you know. Mr. Howson said that he knew
9	THE COURT: Given the Court's	9	of the Attorney General's opinion in '99
10	ruling in reference to July 1 and the	10	before; I think the Mayor said he knew
11	Court's own investigation, the Court	11	it before; but they didn't think it
12	finds no e-mails were generated by	12	applied to what they were doing.
13	Mr. Fortune and, therefore, the motion	13	They knew enough to ask
14	that he be dismissed is granted.	14	to put in place a Policies and Proce-
15			dures manual involving e-mail rules and
16	MR. STAHL: Thank you, Your Honor.	15	the fact that e-mail was a discover-
17		16	able document under FOIA. They also,
18	THE COURT: Now, let's get	17	albeit after Count 11, you know,
19	back to the motions we were dealing with	18	decided the Count 11 time frame, they
20	before the recess. Would you like to	19	decided to ask Mr. Pates for more
$\frac{20}{21}$	call any witnesses in reference to the	20	information about it.
21	issue of willful and wanton conduct?	21	But there is a consistent
<u> </u>			
	Page 7		Page 9
1	MR. STAHL: No, Your Honor.	1	
2	MR. STAHL: No, Your Honor. THE COURT: All right; closing	1 2	pattern, Your Honor, which is throughout
2 3	MR. STAHL: No, Your Honor. THE COURT: All right; closing argument, Mr. Kaufman?		pattern, Your Honor, which is throughout much of what we have pled, not just in
2 3 4	MR. STAHL: No, Your Honor. THE COURT: All right; closing argument, Mr. Kaufman? MR. KAUFMAN: Your Honor, the	2	pattern, Your Honor, which is throughout much of what we have pled, not just in Count 11, of being aware of the Freedom
2 3 4 5	MR. STAHL: No, Your Honor. THE COURT: All right; closing argument, Mr. Kaufman? MR. KAUFMAN: Your Honor, the statute requires in 2.2-3702 that	2 3	pattern, Your Honor, which is throughout much of what we have pled, not just in Count 11, of being aware of the Freedom of Information Act statute, but looking
2 3 4 5 6	MR. STAHL: No, Your Honor. THE COURT: All right; closing argument, Mr. Kaufman? MR. KAUFMAN: Your Honor, the statute requires in 2.2-3702 that Council members within two weeks of	2 3 4	pattern, Your Honor, which is throughout much of what we have pled, not just in Count 11, of being aware of the Freedom of Information Act statute, but looking at it, not really paying a whole lot of
2 3 4 5 6 7	MR. STAHL: No, Your Honor. THE COURT: All right; closing argument, Mr. Kaufman? MR. KAUFMAN: Your Honor, the statute requires in 2.2-3702 that Council members within two weeks of election be given the statute and	2 3 4 5	pattern, Your Honor, which is throughout much of what we have pled, not just in Count 11, of being aware of the Freedom of Information Act statute, but looking at it, not really paying a whole lot of attention to it; and then when they get
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	MR. STAHL: No, Your Honor. THE COURT: All right; closing argument, Mr. Kaufman? MR. KAUFMAN: Your Honor, the statute requires in 2.2-3702 that Council members within two weeks of	2 3 4 5 6	pattern, Your Honor, which is throughout much of what we have pled, not just in Count 11, of being aware of the Freedom of Information Act statute, but looking at it, not really paying a whole lot of attention to it; and then when they get advice they don't much care for, they
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	MR. STAHL: No, Your Honor. THE COURT: All right; closing argument, Mr. Kaufman? MR. KAUFMAN: Your Honor, the statute requires in 2.2-3702 that Council members within two weeks of election be given the statute and familiarize themselves with it. I think Mr. Howson stated	2 3 4 5 6 7	pattern, Your Honor, which is throughout much of what we have pled, not just in Count 11, of being aware of the Freedom of Information Act statute, but looking at it, not really paying a whole lot of attention to it; and then when they get advice they don't much care for, they just sort of ignore it and they go on.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	MR. STAHL: No, Your Honor. THE COURT: All right; closing argument, Mr. Kaufman? MR. KAUFMAN: Your Honor, the statute requires in 2.2-3702 that Council members within two weeks of election be given the statute and familiarize themselves with it. I think Mr. Howson stated in his testimony that he hadn't read the	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	pattern, Your Honor, which is throughout much of what we have pled, not just in Count 11, of being aware of the Freedom of Information Act statute, but looking at it, not really paying a whole lot of attention to it; and then when they get advice they don't much care for, they just sort of ignore it and they go on. They took Mr. Pates'
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	MR. STAHL: No, Your Honor. THE COURT: All right; closing argument, Mr. Kaufman? MR. KAUFMAN: Your Honor, the statute requires in 2.2-3702 that Council members within two weeks of election be given the statute and familiarize themselves with it. I think Mr. Howson stated	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	pattern, Your Honor, which is throughout much of what we have pled, not just in Count 11, of being aware of the Freedom of Information Act statute, but looking at it, not really paying a whole lot of attention to it; and then when they get advice they don't much care for, they just sort of ignore it and they go on. They took Mr. Pates' an accomplished attorney though he may
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	MR. STAHL: No, Your Honor. THE COURT: All right; closing argument, Mr. Kaufman? MR. KAUFMAN: Your Honor, the statute requires in 2.2-3702 that Council members within two weeks of election be given the statute and familiarize themselves with it. I think Mr. Howson stated in his testimony that he hadn't read the whole statute through; the Mayor said that he had read the parts that applied	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	pattern, Your Honor, which is throughout much of what we have pled, not just in Count 11, of being aware of the Freedom of Information Act statute, but looking at it, not really paying a whole lot of attention to it; and then when they get advice they don't much care for, they just sort of ignore it and they go on. They took Mr. Pates' — an accomplished attorney though he may be, they took his personal opinion
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	MR. STAHL: No, Your Honor. THE COURT: All right; closing argument, Mr. Kaufman? MR. KAUFMAN: Your Honor, the statute requires in 2.2-3702 that Council members within two weeks of election be given the statute and familiarize themselves with it. I think Mr. Howson stated in his testimony that he hadn't read the whole statute through; the Mayor said that he had read the parts that applied	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	pattern, Your Honor, which is throughout much of what we have pled, not just in Count 11, of being aware of the Freedom of Information Act statute, but looking at it, not really paying a whole lot of attention to it; and then when they get advice they don't much care for, they just sort of ignore it and they go on. They took Mr. Pates' an accomplished attorney though he may be, they took his personal opinion over Mr. Pates' explanation of what
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	MR. STAHL: No, Your Honor. THE COURT: All right; closing argument, Mr. Kaufman? MR. KAUFMAN: Your Honor, the statute requires in 2.2-3702 that Council members within two weeks of election be given the statute and familiarize themselves with it. I think Mr. Howson stated in his testimony that he hadn't read the whole statute through; the Mayor said that he had read the parts that applied to him. The consistent approach I	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	pattern, Your Honor, which is throughout much of what we have pled, not just in Count 11, of being aware of the Freedom of Information Act statute, but looking at it, not really paying a whole lot of attention to it; and then when they get advice they don't much care for, they just sort of ignore it and they go on. They took Mr. Pates' an accomplished attorney though he may be, they took his personal opinion over Mr. Pates' explanation of what the FOIA Council had said, and they even
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	MR. STAHL: No, Your Honor. THE COURT: All right; closing argument, Mr. Kaufman? MR. KAUFMAN: Your Honor, the statute requires in 2.2-3702 that Council members within two weeks of election be given the statute and familiarize themselves with it. I think Mr. Howson stated in his testimony that he hadn't read the whole statute through; the Mayor said that he had read the parts that applied to him. The consistent approach I don't remember, but I can look up Mr.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	pattern, Your Honor, which is throughout much of what we have pled, not just in Count 11, of being aware of the Freedom of Information Act statute, but looking at it, not really paying a whole lot of attention to it; and then when they get advice they don't much care for, they just sort of ignore it and they go on. They took Mr. Pates' an accomplished attorney though he may be, they took his personal opinion over Mr. Pates' explanation of what the FOIA Council had said, and they even took his personal opinion over what he
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	MR. STAHL: No, Your Honor. THE COURT: All right; closing argument, Mr. Kaufman? MR. KAUFMAN: Your Honor, the statute requires in 2.2-3702 that Council members within two weeks of election be given the statute and familiarize themselves with it. I think Mr. Howson stated in his testimony that he hadn't read the whole statute through; the Mayor said that he had read the parts that applied to him. The consistent approach I don't remember, but I can look up Mr. Kelly's response; but I believe his	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	pattern, Your Honor, which is throughout much of what we have pled, not just in Count 11, of being aware of the Freedom of Information Act statute, but looking at it, not really paying a whole lot of attention to it; and then when they get advice they don't much care for, they just sort of ignore it and they go on. They took Mr. Pates' an accomplished attorney though he may be, they took his personal opinion over Mr. Pates' explanation of what the FOIA Council had said, and they even took his personal opinion over what he personally suggested to them they should
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	MR. STAHL: No, Your Honor. THE COURT: All right; closing argument, Mr. Kaufman? MR. KAUFMAN: Your Honor, the statute requires in 2.2-3702 that Council members within two weeks of election be given the statute and familiarize themselves with it. I think Mr. Howson stated in his testimony that he hadn't read the whole statute through; the Mayor said that he had read the parts that applied to him. The consistent approach I don't remember, but I can look up Mr. Kelly's response; but I believe his statement was, you know, something to	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	pattern, Your Honor, which is throughout much of what we have pled, not just in Count 11, of being aware of the Freedom of Information Act statute, but looking at it, not really paying a whole lot of attention to it; and then when they get advice they don't much care for, they just sort of ignore it and they go on. They took Mr. Pates' an accomplished attorney though he may be, they took his personal opinion over Mr. Pates' explanation of what the FOIA Council had said, and they even took his personal opinion over what he personally suggested to them they should do to avoid situations such as the one
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	MR. STAHL: No, Your Honor. THE COURT: All right; closing argument, Mr. Kaufman? MR. KAUFMAN: Your Honor, the statute requires in 2.2-3702 that Council members within two weeks of election be given the statute and familiarize themselves with it. I think Mr. Howson stated in his testimony that he hadn't read the whole statute through; the Mayor said that he had read the parts that applied to him. The consistent approach I don't remember, but I can look up Mr. Kelly's response; but I believe his statement was, you know, something to the effect of in his deposition was	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	pattern, Your Honor, which is throughout much of what we have pled, not just in Count 11, of being aware of the Freedom of Information Act statute, but looking at it, not really paying a whole lot of attention to it; and then when they get advice they don't much care for, they just sort of ignore it and they go on. They took Mr. Pates' an accomplished attorney though he may be, they took his personal opinion over Mr. Pates' explanation of what the FOIA Council had said, and they even took his personal opinion over what he personally suggested to them they should do to avoid situations such as the one we have encountered here. And there is
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	MR. STAHL: No, Your Honor. THE COURT: All right; closing argument, Mr. Kaufman? MR. KAUFMAN: Your Honor, the statute requires in 2.2-3702 that Council members within two weeks of election be given the statute and familiarize themselves with it. I think Mr. Howson stated in his testimony that he hadn't read the whole statute through; the Mayor said that he had read the parts that applied to him. The consistent approach I don't remember, but I can look up Mr. Kelly's response; but I believe his statement was, you know, something to the effect of in his deposition was that he had read the parts that he	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	pattern, Your Honor, which is throughout much of what we have pled, not just in Count 11, of being aware of the Freedom of Information Act statute, but looking at it, not really paying a whole lot of attention to it; and then when they get advice they don't much care for, they just sort of ignore it and they go on. They took Mr. Pates' an accomplished attorney though he may be, they took his personal opinion over Mr. Pates' explanation of what the FOIA Council had said, and they even took his personal opinion over what he personally suggested to them they should do to avoid situations such as the one we have encountered here. And there is a pattern, Your Honor; a pattern of
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	MR. STAHL: No, Your Honor. THE COURT: All right; closing argument, Mr. Kaufman? MR. KAUFMAN: Your Honor, the statute requires in 2.2-3702 that Council members within two weeks of election be given the statute and familiarize themselves with it. I think Mr. Howson stated in his testimony that he hadn't read the whole statute through; the Mayor said that he had read the parts that applied to him. The consistent approach I don't remember, but I can look up Mr. Kelly's response; but I believe his statement was, you know, something to the effect of in his deposition was that he had read the parts that he thought applied to his business but he	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	pattern, Your Honor, which is throughout much of what we have pled, not just in Count 11, of being aware of the Freedom of Information Act statute, but looking at it, not really paying a whole lot of attention to it; and then when they get advice they don't much care for, they just sort of ignore it and they go on. They took Mr. Pates' an accomplished attorney though he may be, they took his personal opinion over Mr. Pates' explanation of what the FOIA Council had said, and they even took his personal opinion over what he personally suggested to them they should do to avoid situations such as the one we have encountered here. And there is a pattern, Your Honor; a pattern of saying, oh, well, this is a document but
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	MR. STAHL: No, Your Honor. THE COURT: All right; closing argument, Mr. Kaufman? MR. KAUFMAN: Your Honor, the statute requires in 2.2-3702 that Council members within two weeks of election be given the statute and familiarize themselves with it. I think Mr. Howson stated in his testimony that he hadn't read the whole statute through; the Mayor said that he had read the parts that applied to him. The consistent approach I don't remember, but I can look up Mr. Kelly's response; but I believe his statement was, you know, something to the effect of in his deposition was that he had read the parts that he thought applied to his business but he had not concerned himself with things	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	pattern, Your Honor, which is throughout much of what we have pled, not just in Count 11, of being aware of the Freedom of Information Act statute, but looking at it, not really paying a whole lot of attention to it; and then when they get advice they don't much care for, they just sort of ignore it and they go on. They took Mr. Pates' an accomplished attorney though he may be, they took his personal opinion over Mr. Pates' explanation of what the FOIA Council had said, and they even took his personal opinion over what he personally suggested to them they should do to avoid situations such as the one we have encountered here. And there is a pattern, Your Honor; a pattern of saying, oh, well, this is a document but the rest of the law doesn't apply to me,
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	MR. STAHL: No, Your Honor. THE COURT: All right; closing argument, Mr. Kaufman? MR. KAUFMAN: Your Honor, the statute requires in 2.2-3702 that Council members within two weeks of election be given the statute and familiarize themselves with it. I think Mr. Howson stated in his testimony that he hadn't read the whole statute through; the Mayor said that he had read the parts that applied to him. The consistent approach I don't remember, but I can look up Mr. Kelly's response; but I believe his statement was, you know, something to the effect of in his deposition was that he had read the parts that he thought applied to his business but he	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	pattern, Your Honor, which is throughout much of what we have pled, not just in Count 11, of being aware of the Freedom of Information Act statute, but looking at it, not really paying a whole lot of attention to it; and then when they get advice they don't much care for, they just sort of ignore it and they go on. They took Mr. Pates' an accomplished attorney though he may be, they took his personal opinion over Mr. Pates' explanation of what the FOIA Council had said, and they even took his personal opinion over what he personally suggested to them they should do to avoid situations such as the one we have encountered here. And there is a pattern, Your Honor; a pattern of saying, oh, well, this is a document but

	Page 10		Page 12
1	the law.	1	don't want you to parse our words;
2	The Court has made, in	2	exceptions will be narrowly construed.
3	its ruling of summary judgment on Count	3	That much, at least, they knew. This
4	11, made new law; we concede that. But	4	has always been their testimony.
5	they had an obligation under the statute	5	And as stated, Mr. Howson
6	to know and understand the law.	6	was clearly knowledgeable enough to know
7	And I think Mayor Beck	7	something was going on because he asked
8	testified earlier, we were talking about	8	Mr. Pates to prepare a briefing for
9	Charlotte street, you know, that he had	9	everybody else. And the briefing that
10	written and told people that he would	10	was prepared isn't just about where the
11	never hide behind the legal technicality	11	law is today but about where it is
12	in FOIA; that, you know, open government	12	going.
13	would be a priority of his. And here we	13	Mr. Pates reported
14	are today.	14	faithfully what the FOIA Council said,
15	And the Court can decide	15	what his opinion was, and he recommended
16	for itself, but the theme of his	16	things. I'm sure that he was making
17	approach of, hey, let's all be open,	17	those statements and recommendations to
18	would indicate that what he ought to	18	anybody who chose to ask him long before
19	have done is to be open and not to say,	19	the 17th of July, if anybody had chosen
20	well, since it is not specifically	20	to ask. They knew or should have known,
21	prohibited in the statute I'm okay. He	21	and they chose not to.
	promotive in the character fill charge. The		and they enose not to.
l			
.	Page 11		Page 13
1	should, we contend, have been open.	1	Mr. Kelly is a different
2	should, we contend, have been open. And the same thing	2	Mr. Kelly is a different story here. He is new to the Council.
2 3	should, we contend, have been open. And the same thing applies for Mr. Howson. If I recall his	2 3	Mr. Kelly is a different story here. He is new to the Council. You can expect him to be a little un-
2 3 4	should, we contend, have been open. And the same thing applies for Mr. Howson. If I recall his testimony correctly, he went to his	2 3 4	Mr. Kelly is a different story here. He is new to the Council. You can expect him to be a little unfamiliar with FOIA, but Mr. Howson and
2 3 4 5	should, we contend, have been open. And the same thing applies for Mr. Howson. If I recall his testimony correctly, he went to his first orientation session in 1996, and	2 3 4 5	Mr. Kelly is a different story here. He is new to the Council. You can expect him to be a little unfamiliar with FOIA, but Mr. Howson and Mr. Kelly or somebody might have
2 3 4 5 6	should, we contend, have been open. And the same thing applies for Mr. Howson. If I recall his testimony correctly, he went to his first orientation session in 1996, and he has been familiar with briefings that	2 3 4 5 6	Mr. Kelly is a different story here. He is new to the Council. You can expect him to be a little unfamiliar with FOIA, but Mr. Howson and Mr. Kelly or somebody might have alerted him, you know, that maybe we
2 3 4 5 6 7	should, we contend, have been open. And the same thing applies for Mr. Howson. If I recall his testimony correctly, he went to his first orientation session in 1996, and he has been familiar with briefings that have been given to the Council.	2 3 4 5 6 7	Mr. Kelly is a different story here. He is new to the Council. You can expect him to be a little unfamiliar with FOIA, but Mr. Howson and Mr. Kelly or somebody might have alerted him, you know, that maybe we ought to talk about this in public;
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	should, we contend, have been open. And the same thing applies for Mr. Howson. If I recall his testimony correctly, he went to his first orientation session in 1996, and he has been familiar with briefings that have been given to the Council. In fact, he even asked	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	Mr. Kelly is a different story here. He is new to the Council. You can expect him to be a little unfamiliar with FOIA, but Mr. Howson and Mr. Kelly or somebody might have alerted him, you know, that maybe we ought to talk about this in public; maybe we should go read FOIA more care-
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	should, we contend, have been open. And the same thing applies for Mr. Howson. If I recall his testimony correctly, he went to his first orientation session in 1996, and he has been familiar with briefings that have been given to the Council. In fact, he even asked for a special briefing to be prepared	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	Mr. Kelly is a different story here. He is new to the Council. You can expect him to be a little unfamiliar with FOIA, but Mr. Howson and Mr. Kelly or somebody might have alerted him, you know, that maybe we ought to talk about this in public; maybe we should go read FOIA more carefully; remember we have to be open about
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	should, we contend, have been open. And the same thing applies for Mr. Howson. If I recall his testimony correctly, he went to his first orientation session in 1996, and he has been familiar with briefings that have been given to the Council. In fact, he even asked for a special briefing to be prepared for the new members by Mr. Pates, which	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	Mr. Kelly is a different story here. He is new to the Council. You can expect him to be a little unfamiliar with FOIA, but Mr. Howson and Mr. Kelly or somebody might have alerted him, you know, that maybe we ought to talk about this in public; maybe we should go read FOIA more carefully; remember we have to be open about everything we want. They didn't; he
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	should, we contend, have been open. And the same thing applies for Mr. Howson. If I recall his testimony correctly, he went to his first orientation session in 1996, and he has been familiar with briefings that have been given to the Council. In fact, he even asked for a special briefing to be prepared for the new members by Mr. Pates, which is the July 17th memo; but as he said,	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	Mr. Kelly is a different story here. He is new to the Council. You can expect him to be a little unfamiliar with FOIA, but Mr. Howson and Mr. Kelly or somebody might have alerted him, you know, that maybe we ought to talk about this in public; maybe we should go read FOIA more carefully; remember we have to be open about everything we want. They didn't; he didn't.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	should, we contend, have been open. And the same thing applies for Mr. Howson. If I recall his testimony correctly, he went to his first orientation session in 1996, and he has been familiar with briefings that have been given to the Council. In fact, he even asked for a special briefing to be prepared for the new members by Mr. Pates, which is the July 17th memo; but as he said, hey, it wasn't specifically precluded,	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	Mr. Kelly is a different story here. He is new to the Council. You can expect him to be a little unfamiliar with FOIA, but Mr. Howson and Mr. Kelly or somebody might have alerted him, you know, that maybe we ought to talk about this in public; maybe we should go read FOIA more carefully; remember we have to be open about everything we want. They didn't; he didn't. No one stopped to think
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	should, we contend, have been open. And the same thing applies for Mr. Howson. If I recall his testimony correctly, he went to his first orientation session in 1996, and he has been familiar with briefings that have been given to the Council. In fact, he even asked for a special briefing to be prepared for the new members by Mr. Pates, which is the July 17th memo; but as he said, hey, it wasn't specifically precluded, there is nothing in the statute that	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	Mr. Kelly is a different story here. He is new to the Council. You can expect him to be a little unfamiliar with FOIA, but Mr. Howson and Mr. Kelly or somebody might have alerted him, you know, that maybe we ought to talk about this in public; maybe we should go read FOIA more carefully; remember we have to be open about everything we want. They didn't; he didn't. No one stopped to think about FOIA. Oh, it's a public document;
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	should, we contend, have been open. And the same thing applies for Mr. Howson. If I recall his testimony correctly, he went to his first orientation session in 1996, and he has been familiar with briefings that have been given to the Council. In fact, he even asked for a special briefing to be prepared for the new members by Mr. Pates, which is the July 17th memo; but as he said, hey, it wasn't specifically precluded, there is nothing in the statute that said e-mail communications are bad.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	Mr. Kelly is a different story here. He is new to the Council. You can expect him to be a little unfamiliar with FOIA, but Mr. Howson and Mr. Kelly or somebody might have alerted him, you know, that maybe we ought to talk about this in public; maybe we should go read FOIA more carefully; remember we have to be open about everything we want. They didn't; he didn't. No one stopped to think about FOIA. Oh, it's a public document; but if anybody files and requests it, we
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	should, we contend, have been open. And the same thing applies for Mr. Howson. If I recall his testimony correctly, he went to his first orientation session in 1996, and he has been familiar with briefings that have been given to the Council. In fact, he even asked for a special briefing to be prepared for the new members by Mr. Pates, which is the July 17th memo; but as he said, hey, it wasn't specifically precluded, there is nothing in the statute that said e-mail communications are bad. But the law requires open	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	Mr. Kelly is a different story here. He is new to the Council. You can expect him to be a little unfamiliar with FOIA, but Mr. Howson and Mr. Kelly or somebody might have alerted him, you know, that maybe we ought to talk about this in public; maybe we should go read FOIA more carefully; remember we have to be open about everything we want. They didn't; he didn't. No one stopped to think about FOIA. Oh, it's a public document; but if anybody files and requests it, we will turn it over. But nobody knew what
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	should, we contend, have been open. And the same thing applies for Mr. Howson. If I recall his testimony correctly, he went to his first orientation session in 1996, and he has been familiar with briefings that have been given to the Council. In fact, he even asked for a special briefing to be prepared for the new members by Mr. Pates, which is the July 17th memo; but as he said, hey, it wasn't specifically precluded, there is nothing in the statute that said e-mail communications are bad. But the law requires open government, not parsing every sentence.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	Mr. Kelly is a different story here. He is new to the Council. You can expect him to be a little unfamiliar with FOIA, but Mr. Howson and Mr. Kelly or somebody might have alerted him, you know, that maybe we ought to talk about this in public; maybe we should go read FOIA more carefully; remember we have to be open about everything we want. They didn't; he didn't. No one stopped to think about FOIA. Oh, it's a public document; but if anybody files and requests it, we will turn it over. But nobody knew what they were doing; nobody had a clue how
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	should, we contend, have been open. And the same thing applies for Mr. Howson. If I recall his testimony correctly, he went to his first orientation session in 1996, and he has been familiar with briefings that have been given to the Council. In fact, he even asked for a special briefing to be prepared for the new members by Mr. Pates, which is the July 17th memo; but as he said, hey, it wasn't specifically precluded, there is nothing in the statute that said e-mail communications are bad. But the law requires open government, not parsing every sentence. The law requires that they understand	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	Mr. Kelly is a different story here. He is new to the Council. You can expect him to be a little unfamiliar with FOIA, but Mr. Howson and Mr. Kelly or somebody might have alerted him, you know, that maybe we ought to talk about this in public; maybe we should go read FOIA more carefully; remember we have to be open about everything we want. They didn't; he didn't. No one stopped to think about FOIA. Oh, it's a public document; but if anybody files and requests it, we will turn it over. But nobody knew what they were doing; nobody had a clue how this correspondence is not open govern-
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	should, we contend, have been open. And the same thing applies for Mr. Howson. If I recall his testimony correctly, he went to his first orientation session in 1996, and he has been familiar with briefings that have been given to the Council. In fact, he even asked for a special briefing to be prepared for the new members by Mr. Pates, which is the July 17th memo; but as he said, hey, it wasn't specifically precluded, there is nothing in the statute that said e-mail communications are bad. But the law requires open government, not parsing every sentence. The law requires that they understand the purpose of the law and at least read	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	Mr. Kelly is a different story here. He is new to the Council. You can expect him to be a little unfamiliar with FOIA, but Mr. Howson and Mr. Kelly or somebody might have alerted him, you know, that maybe we ought to talk about this in public; maybe we should go read FOIA more carefully; remember we have to be open about everything we want. They didn't; he didn't. No one stopped to think about FOIA. Oh, it's a public document; but if anybody files and requests it, we will turn it over. But nobody knew what they were doing; nobody had a clue how this correspondence is not open government; that it violates the spirit of the
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	should, we contend, have been open. And the same thing applies for Mr. Howson. If I recall his testimony correctly, he went to his first orientation session in 1996, and he has been familiar with briefings that have been given to the Council. In fact, he even asked for a special briefing to be prepared for the new members by Mr. Pates, which is the July 17th memo; but as he said, hey, it wasn't specifically precluded, there is nothing in the statute that said e-mail communications are bad. But the law requires open government, not parsing every sentence. The law requires that they understand the purpose of the law and at least read the part that says it shall be liberally	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	Mr. Kelly is a different story here. He is new to the Council. You can expect him to be a little unfamiliar with FOIA, but Mr. Howson and Mr. Kelly or somebody might have alerted him, you know, that maybe we ought to talk about this in public; maybe we should go read FOIA more carefully; remember we have to be open about everything we want. They didn't; he didn't. No one stopped to think about FOIA. Oh, it's a public document; but if anybody files and requests it, we will turn it over. But nobody knew what they were doing; nobody had a clue how this correspondence is not open government; that it violates the spirit of the law.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	should, we contend, have been open. And the same thing applies for Mr. Howson. If I recall his testimony correctly, he went to his first orientation session in 1996, and he has been familiar with briefings that have been given to the Council. In fact, he even asked for a special briefing to be prepared for the new members by Mr. Pates, which is the July 17th memo; but as he said, hey, it wasn't specifically precluded, there is nothing in the statute that said e-mail communications are bad. But the law requires open government, not parsing every sentence. The law requires that they understand the purpose of the law and at least read the part that says it shall be liberally construed; we want open government, we	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	Mr. Kelly is a different story here. He is new to the Council. You can expect him to be a little unfamiliar with FOIA, but Mr. Howson and Mr. Kelly or somebody might have alerted him, you know, that maybe we ought to talk about this in public; maybe we should go read FOIA more carefully; remember we have to be open about everything we want. They didn't; he didn't. No one stopped to think about FOIA. Oh, it's a public document; but if anybody files and requests it, we will turn it over. But nobody knew what they were doing; nobody had a clue how this correspondence is not open government; that it violates the spirit of the law. The City of Fredericks-
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	should, we contend, have been open. And the same thing applies for Mr. Howson. If I recall his testimony correctly, he went to his first orientation session in 1996, and he has been familiar with briefings that have been given to the Council. In fact, he even asked for a special briefing to be prepared for the new members by Mr. Pates, which is the July 17th memo; but as he said, hey, it wasn't specifically precluded, there is nothing in the statute that said e-mail communications are bad. But the law requires open government, not parsing every sentence. The law requires that they understand the purpose of the law and at least read the part that says it shall be liberally	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	Mr. Kelly is a different story here. He is new to the Council. You can expect him to be a little unfamiliar with FOIA, but Mr. Howson and Mr. Kelly or somebody might have alerted him, you know, that maybe we ought to talk about this in public; maybe we should go read FOIA more carefully; remember we have to be open about everything we want. They didn't; he didn't. No one stopped to think about FOIA. Oh, it's a public document; but if anybody files and requests it, we will turn it over. But nobody knew what they were doing; nobody had a clue how this correspondence is not open government; that it violates the spirit of the law.

	Page 14		Page 16
1	than this, and the law requires that	1	matter of law, or on summary judgment
2	they deserve better. It requires that	2	concluded in the respondents' favor they
3	they get that. Mr. Howson and Mayor	3	were defective as a matter of fact. And
4	Beck, by dint of service if nothing	4	three of them they withdrew themselves
5	else, should have absorbed that lesson.	5	because they knew they were baseless on
6	Open government is what the citizens are	6	their face.
7	mandated.	7	So we are talking about
8	You can't go behind it	8	one count out of 18; a series of
9	and you can't work in the interest of	9	e-mails, which the only evidence before
10	the individual words to decide, well,	10	you establishes the following: They
11	gee, you know, this little e-mail is	11	were sent on garden variety computer
12	probably okay, but that one is not.	12	e-mail format; no list serve, no chat
13	Liberal. And they knew that. And,	13	room, nothing simultaneous; what they
14	therefore, we ask that the Court find	13	had always done, whatever everybody
15	that they willfully and intentionally	15	across Virginia does.
16	acted privately and secretly, rather	16	There was not until this
17	than openly.	17	
18	And I would add for the	18	morning a ruling in the Commonwealth of
19		19	Virginia, with all due deference to Your
20	Court that everything they did is per-		Honor, that said the exchange of e-mails
20	fectly proper if it is done in an open	20	in this way could constitute a meeting.
21	meeting. Every one of the counts that	21	There hasn't been a ruling by the
	Page 15		Page 17
1	we alleged, had they done them openly,	1	Attorney General that says this type of
2	none of this would have happened; it	2	exchange of e-mails could constitute a
3	simply wouldn't have.	3	meeting. The FOIA Council itself, the
4	But, no, they chose to do	4	greatest advocate for open government,
5	it privately and without notice; and	5	has said this could never constitute a
6	that is contrary to the letter and the	6	meeting.
7	spirit of FOIA. And, therefore, we ask	7	Your Honor is the first
8	that this Court find that they did it	8	Court, person, agency, authority, that
9	intentionally and wrongfully. Thank	9	we are aware of to make this rule. And
10	you.	10	as petitioners' counsel astonishingly
11	THE COURT, Mr. Ct.1.1		
i e	THE COURT: Mr. Stahl.	11	conceded, he said quote, "We've made new
12	MR. STAHL: Thank you, Your	11	conceded, he said quote, "We've made new law; we concede that."
12 13			<u> </u>
	MR. STAHL: Thank you, Your	12	law; we concede that."
13	MR. STAHL: Thank you, Your Honor.	12 13	law; we concede that." Having made new law, he
13 14	MR. STAHL: Thank you, Your Honor. This is like Alice in	12 13 14	law; we concede that." Having made new law, he has the temerity, the audacity, to turn
13 14 15	MR. STAHL: Thank you, Your Honor. This is like Alice in Wonderland. This is like nothing in 30	12 13 14 15	law; we concede that." Having made new law, he has the temerity, the audacity, to turn around and say that the three respondents that Your Honor has said did
13 14 15 16	MR. STAHL: Thank you, Your Honor. This is like Alice in Wonderland. This is like nothing in 30 years practicing law I have ever heard. Here is the evidence	12 13 14 15 16	law; we concede that." Having made new law, he has the temerity, the audacity, to turn around and say that the three respondents that Your Honor has said did violate the open-meeting law by this
13 14 15 16 17	MR. STAHL: Thank you, Your Honor. This is like Alice in Wonderland. This is like nothing in 30 years practicing law I have ever heard. Here is the evidence that's before Your Honor. We only have	12 13 14 15 16 17	law; we concede that." Having made new law, he has the temerity, the audacity, to turn around and say that the three respondents that Your Honor has said did violate the open-meeting law by this exchange of e-mails, that they should be
13 14 15 16 17 18	MR. STAHL: Thank you, Your Honor. This is like Alice in Wonderland. This is like nothing in 30 years practicing law I have ever heard. Here is the evidence that's before Your Honor. We only have one count left, Your Honor, out of 18.	12 13 14 15 16 17 18	law; we concede that." Having made new law, he has the temerity, the audacity, to turn around and say that the three respondents that Your Honor has said did violate the open-meeting law by this exchange of e-mails, that they should be found to have done it willfully and
13 14 15 16 17 18 19	MR. STAHL: Thank you, Your Honor. This is like Alice in Wonderland. This is like nothing in 30 years practicing law I have ever heard. Here is the evidence that's before Your Honor. We only have	12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	law; we concede that." Having made new law, he has the temerity, the audacity, to turn around and say that the three respondents that Your Honor has said did violate the open-meeting law by this exchange of e-mails, that they should be
13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	MR. STAHL: Thank you, Your Honor. This is like Alice in Wonderland. This is like nothing in 30 years practicing law I have ever heard. Here is the evidence that's before Your Honor. We only have one count left, Your Honor, out of 18. The other 17 were dismissed by Your	12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	law; we concede that." Having made new law, he has the temerity, the audacity, to turn around and say that the three respondents that Your Honor has said did violate the open-meeting law by this exchange of e-mails, that they should be found to have done it willfully and intentionally.

	Page 18		Page 20
1	it ever have been willful or intentional	1	It doesn't merit any.
2	when it wasn't law until this morning?	2	THE COURT: The Court on the
3	If anyone ought to be	3	record before it cannot find willful and
4	ashamed about what has been done to	4	wanton conduct. The motion for sanc-
5	public government and open government,	5	
6	it is the petitioners in this case. We		tions under that provision of FOIA is
7	will deal, I hope, Your Honor, later	6 7	denied.
8	today with sanctions.	l .	Now, I have one other
9	_	8	issue. That's your motion.
10	THE COURT: I haven't gotten	9	MR. STAHL: Your Honor, we
	there, yet, Mr. Stahl.	10	have pending before you a motion for
11	MR. STAHL: I understand and I	11	sanctions with respect to the filing of
12	won't get there now, albeit the tempta-	12	Counts 15, 16, and 18, which we claim to
13	tion is great; but I won't go there.	13	have been done in violation of Virginia
14	But as to the other	14	Code Section 8.01-271.1.
15	counts: Baseless. The Charlotte Street	15	And what we have provided
16	meeting? Have you ever heard anything	16	to Your Honor, which has been unrebutted
17	like it in your life, anything more	17	by the petitioners they haven't filed
18	undemocratic, unAmerican, than what the	18	an opposition, they haven't offered any
19	petitioners were arguing; that elected	19	affidavit is 30 pages or thereabout
20	officials should never go hear what the	20	of deposition transcripts, where both
21	citizens want?	21	parties were present through counsel,
	Page 19		Page 21
1	MR. KAUFMAN: Your Honor	1	with full right of cross examination to
2	THE COURT: Don't interrupt	2	show that the three meeting counts which
3	him. This is closing argument. Have a	3	the plaintiffs, the petitioners, them-
4	seat, Mr. Kaufman.	4	selves voluntarily dismissed because
5	MR. STAHL: The outrage is	5	they knew they were baseless, were filed
6	that the City of Fredericksburg has had	6	in violation of the rule I just cited,
7	to spend probably 80-or-\$90,000 on my	7	because neither the petitioners nor
8	law firm and Mr. Sokol's to defend a	8	there counsel undertook any investiga-
9	totally baseless case with one count	9	tion of any kind to determine any under-
10	left where the respondents were found	10	lying facts that would support those
11	liable; and on the admission of the	11	allegations.
12	petitioners, we made new law.	12	We would proffer to Your
13	On this point, not sanc-	13	Honor that we have made this motion; we
14	tions, even the mere thought of the	14	have offered the deposition testimony of
15	imposition of a fine or an award of	15	Shelton, Jenkins, and Timpone. With
16	attorneys fees for this group is abso-	16	that evidence before Your Honor both
		17	sides have used deposition transcripts
17	liitely impossible. Your Honor wath all		SOULS HAVE USED DEDUSTRIAD TRADSCRIPTS
17 18	lutely impossible, Your Honor, with all	I	
18	due deference on the record before you.	18	today in the course of this hearing for
18 19	due deference on the record before you. THE COURT: You may respond if	18 19	today in the course of this hearing for a number of purposes, and I don't
18 19 20	due deference on the record before you. THE COURT: You may respond if you wish, Mr. Kaufman.	18 19 20	today in the course of this hearing for a number of purposes, and I don't believe that anyone is going to object
18 19	due deference on the record before you. THE COURT: You may respond if	18 19	today in the course of this hearing for a number of purposes, and I don't

1			
	Page 22		Page 24
1	admissible for this purpose the	1	drop them. And as you will recall, Your
2	burden is now on the petitioners to show	2	Honor, on the demurrers, what they said
3	that notwithstanding everything that's	$\frac{1}{3}$	was we are going to have evidence on all
4	in this motion, the 30 pages of tran-	4	of these things. It never happened;
5	script, references quotations of	5	none of them ever happened.
6	Shelton, Timpone, and Jenkins, that they	6	When we asked Shelton
7	somehow had some basis for what they	7	about the meeting involving Maury School
8	alleged.	8	and all these five respondents showed up
9		9	
10	And I have to say, Your	10	at the meeting, you know what he said?
11	Honor, that the single-most egregious of	10	I kind of got it reading between the
	the three, not that they all three are		lines.
12	not palpably egregious, is the Fred bus	12	We show him the only
13	trip, Count 16, which they allege in the	13	e-mail that says Mr. Howson took Mr.
14	most dramatic fashion; secretly getting	14	Withers over there alone, the two of
15	on the bus, secretly getting off the	15	them, because he had never seen it
16	bus, having a conversation about City	16	before. That's all that ever happened.
17	business, deliberately excluding members	17	That's not a meeting. But Mr. Shelton
18	of Council. It never even happened.	18	said, I've just been around a long time,
19	The other two didn't happen either, but	19	I know how these things are, and we will
20	this one didn't happen under any circum-	20	get it in discovery.
21	stances. No one in their right mind	21	It was malicious; it was
	Page 23		Page 25
1	could have thought it was.	1	abusive. And, Your Honor, we will be
2	You know where Timpone	2	here every 30 days, 60 days, 90 days,
3	got his evidence? In his deposition, he	3	whenever, if we don't put a stop to
4	was standing in the line at a 7-Eleven.	4	this. This is an outrage.
5	He heard a couple of people in front of	5	You may view and the
6	him say I heard something about a meet-	6	Court certainly may the respondents'
7	ing on a Fred bus. That was good enough	7	violation, and if I might be permitted,
8	for him. He said, I don't need to do	8	the most technical, possible violation
9	any investigation; I'm not a detective.	9	of the meeting statute with respect to
10	It was done with malice.	10	Committee Assignments. This is the
	it was done with mance.	1 1 0	A ADDROUGH A MANDELLINE TO A STATE OF THE ST
	It was done to embarrace to humiliate	11	
11	It was done to embarrass, to humiliate,	11	exact opposite of that.
11 12	to shame, and to curtail the public	12	exact opposite of that. This was deliberately
11 12 13	to shame, and to curtail the public activities of the respondents. It was	12 13	exact opposite of that. This was deliberately used to put the information out, to take
11 12 13 14	to shame, and to curtail the public activities of the respondents. It was done to bully them, and it was an	12 13 14	exact opposite of that. This was deliberately used to put the information out, to take an advertisement out to embarrass and
11 12 13 14 15	to shame, and to curtail the public activities of the respondents. It was done to bully them, and it was an outrage.	12 13 14 15	exact opposite of that. This was deliberately used to put the information out, to take an advertisement out to embarrass and curtail the activities of these people.
11 12 13 14 15 16	to shame, and to curtail the public activities of the respondents. It was done to bully them, and it was an outrage. Seventeen of the eighteen	12 13 14 15 16	exact opposite of that. This was deliberately used to put the information out, to take an advertisement out to embarrass and curtail the activities of these people. Let me tell you why the petitioners did
11 12 13 14 15 16	to shame, and to curtail the public activities of the respondents. It was done to bully them, and it was an outrage. Seventeen of the eighteen counts were clearly wrong as a matter of	12 13 14 15 16 17	exact opposite of that. This was deliberately used to put the information out, to take an advertisement out to embarrass and curtail the activities of these people. Let me tell you why the petitioners did it.
11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	to shame, and to curtail the public activities of the respondents. It was done to bully them, and it was an outrage. Seventeen of the eighteen counts were clearly wrong as a matter of law and/or fact. One count has been	12 13 14 15 16 17 18	exact opposite of that. This was deliberately used to put the information out, to take an advertisement out to embarrass and curtail the activities of these people. Let me tell you why the petitioners did it. We asked them in deposi-
11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	to shame, and to curtail the public activities of the respondents. It was done to bully them, and it was an outrage. Seventeen of the eighteen counts were clearly wrong as a matter of law and/or fact. One count has been sustained, making new law. On these	12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	exact opposite of that. This was deliberately used to put the information out, to take an advertisement out to embarrass and curtail the activities of these people. Let me tell you why the petitioners did it. We asked them in depositions, who are paying your legal fees?
11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	to shame, and to curtail the public activities of the respondents. It was done to bully them, and it was an outrage. Seventeen of the eighteen counts were clearly wrong as a matter of law and/or fact. One count has been sustained, making new law. On these three that were dismissed, even finally	12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	exact opposite of that. This was deliberately used to put the information out, to take an advertisement out to embarrass and curtail the activities of these people. Let me tell you why the petitioners did it. We asked them in depositions, who are paying your legal fees? They refused to answer. They are not
11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	to shame, and to curtail the public activities of the respondents. It was done to bully them, and it was an outrage. Seventeen of the eighteen counts were clearly wrong as a matter of law and/or fact. One count has been sustained, making new law. On these	12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	exact opposite of that. This was deliberately used to put the information out, to take an advertisement out to embarrass and curtail the activities of these people. Let me tell you why the petitioners did it. We asked them in depositions, who are paying your legal fees?

		Т	
	Page 26		Page 28
1	corporation or person in this City is	1	When he receives a pleading that con-
2	paying them. You know why they could	2	tains 18 counts, 17 of which refers
3	file such frivolous charges? It was	3	specifically to him and where he is
4	free. But, yet, they had the temerity,	4	charged with having willfully violated
5	the audacity, to ask Your Honor to give	5	the law, it has a major impact upon him.
6	them an award of attorneys fees, which	6	It has a major impact upon mm.
7	Your Honor just denied. They aren't	7	a larger sense upon the community
8	even paying any attorney fees.	8	because this petition is a public
9	Don't you think the	9	record. It is reported; it is reported
10	citizens of Fredericksburg are entitled	10	extensively and is well read. And it
11	to know, when they are paying 70, 80,	11	extensively and is well read. And it
12	90,000, 100,000 in attorneys fees, who's	12	tells the citizens of this community, it
13	paying the attorneys fees for these	13	tells his constituency, the citizens of
14	people to bring claims that are so	14	this region, that he has been a willful
15	meritless, that they withdraw them	15	violator of the law in his role as a
16	themselves?	16	public official.
17	We ought to be paid. The	17	Now, it's interesting the
18		1	one count from which he was excluded;
19	taxpayers ought to be reimbursed for	18	the Dogwood count. Apparently they made
20	every dime we had to spend defending	19	some inquiry about Dogwood and found
21	against these scurrilous, baseless	20	that Mr. Withers and Mr. Fortune were
41	charges.	21	not present and they weren't included in
	Page 27		Page 29
1		1	
	THE COURT: Do you agree your	1 2	that count.
2	THE COURT: Do you agree your motion only goes to 15, 16, and 18?	2	that count. But look at the counts
2 3	THE COURT: Do you agree your motion only goes to 15, 16, and 18? MR. STAHL: That is correct,	2 3	that count. But look at the counts Mr. Withers was included; and the most
2 3 4	THE COURT: Do you agree your motion only goes to 15, 16, and 18? MR. STAHL: That is correct, Your Honor.	2 3 4	that count. But look at the counts Mr. Withers was included; and the most dramatic, the most disgusting, the one
2 3	THE COURT: Do you agree your motion only goes to 15, 16, and 18? MR. STAHL: That is correct, Your Honor. MR. SOKOL: Your Honor, may I	2 3 4 5	that count. But look at the counts Mr. Withers was included; and the most dramatic, the most disgusting, the one that is utterly baseless, is this
2 3 4 5 6	THE COURT: Do you agree your motion only goes to 15, 16, and 18? MR. STAHL: That is correct, Your Honor. MR. SOKOL: Your Honor, may I introduce myself into the case with	2 3 4 5 6	that count. But look at the counts Mr. Withers was included; and the most dramatic, the most disgusting, the one that is utterly baseless, is this so-called Fred bus count.
2 3 4 5 6 7	THE COURT: Do you agree your motion only goes to 15, 16, and 18? MR. STAHL: That is correct, Your Honor. MR. SOKOL: Your Honor, may I introduce myself into the case with respect to respondents' pleas?	2 3 4 5 6 7	that count. But look at the counts Mr. Withers was included; and the most dramatic, the most disgusting, the one that is utterly baseless, is this so-called Fred bus count. Now, look what they
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	THE COURT: Do you agree your motion only goes to 15, 16, and 18? MR. STAHL: That is correct, Your Honor. MR. SOKOL: Your Honor, may I introduce myself into the case with respect to respondents' pleas? THE COURT: Yes, Mr. Sokol.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	that count. But look at the counts Mr. Withers was included; and the most dramatic, the most disgusting, the one that is utterly baseless, is this so-called Fred bus count. Now, look what they charged Mr. Withers with on the Fred
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	THE COURT: Do you agree your motion only goes to 15, 16, and 18? MR. STAHL: That is correct, Your Honor. MR. SOKOL: Your Honor, may I introduce myself into the case with respect to respondents' pleas? THE COURT: Yes, Mr. Sokol. MR. SOKOL: When we filed our	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	that count. But look at the counts Mr. Withers was included; and the most dramatic, the most disgusting, the one that is utterly baseless, is this so-called Fred bus count. Now, look what they charged Mr. Withers with on the Fred bus; Count 16. It says that Mr. Withers
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	THE COURT: Do you agree your motion only goes to 15, 16, and 18? MR. STAHL: That is correct, Your Honor. MR. SOKOL: Your Honor, may I introduce myself into the case with respect to respondents' pleas? THE COURT: Yes, Mr. Sokol. MR. SOKOL: When we filed our motion to dismiss at the outset, we	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	that count. But look at the counts Mr. Withers was included; and the most dramatic, the most disgusting, the one that is utterly baseless, is this so-called Fred bus count. Now, look what they charged Mr. Withers with on the Fred bus; Count 16. It says that Mr. Withers boarded that bus separately; it says
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	THE COURT: Do you agree your motion only goes to 15, 16, and 18? MR. STAHL: That is correct, Your Honor. MR. SOKOL: Your Honor, may I introduce myself into the case with respect to respondents' pleas? THE COURT: Yes, Mr. Sokol. MR. SOKOL: When we filed our motion to dismiss at the outset, we asked for the imposition of sanctions.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	that count. But look at the counts Mr. Withers was included; and the most dramatic, the most disgusting, the one that is utterly baseless, is this so-called Fred bus count. Now, look what they charged Mr. Withers with on the Fred bus; Count 16. It says that Mr. Withers boarded that bus separately; it says that he left the bus separately. There
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	THE COURT: Do you agree your motion only goes to 15, 16, and 18? MR. STAHL: That is correct, Your Honor. MR. SOKOL: Your Honor, may I introduce myself into the case with respect to respondents' pleas? THE COURT: Yes, Mr. Sokol. MR. SOKOL: When we filed our motion to dismiss at the outset, we asked for the imposition of sanctions. When we filed our answer, we renewed	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	that count. But look at the counts Mr. Withers was included; and the most dramatic, the most disgusting, the one that is utterly baseless, is this so-called Fred bus count. Now, look what they charged Mr. Withers with on the Fred bus; Count 16. It says that Mr. Withers boarded that bus separately; it says that he left the bus separately. There are some specific, factual allegations
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	THE COURT: Do you agree your motion only goes to 15, 16, and 18? MR. STAHL: That is correct, Your Honor. MR. SOKOL: Your Honor, may I introduce myself into the case with respect to respondents' pleas? THE COURT: Yes, Mr. Sokol. MR. SOKOL: When we filed our motion to dismiss at the outset, we asked for the imposition of sanctions. When we filed our answer, we renewed that motion that sanctions be imposed.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	that count. But look at the counts Mr. Withers was included; and the most dramatic, the most disgusting, the one that is utterly baseless, is this so-called Fred bus count. Now, look what they charged Mr. Withers with on the Fred bus; Count 16. It says that Mr. Withers boarded that bus separately; it says that he left the bus separately. There are some specific, factual allegations that he entered that bus sometime
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	THE COURT: Do you agree your motion only goes to 15, 16, and 18? MR. STAHL: That is correct, Your Honor. MR. SOKOL: Your Honor, may I introduce myself into the case with respect to respondents' pleas? THE COURT: Yes, Mr. Sokol. MR. SOKOL: When we filed our motion to dismiss at the outset, we asked for the imposition of sanctions. When we filed our answer, we renewed that motion that sanctions be imposed. Your Honor is well aware	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	But look at the counts Mr. Withers was included; and the most dramatic, the most disgusting, the one that is utterly baseless, is this so-called Fred bus count. Now, look what they charged Mr. Withers with on the Fred bus; Count 16. It says that Mr. Withers boarded that bus separately; it says that he left the bus separately. There are some specific, factual allegations that he entered that bus sometime between July 15 and August 15; and they
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	THE COURT: Do you agree your motion only goes to 15, 16, and 18? MR. STAHL: That is correct, Your Honor. MR. SOKOL: Your Honor, may I introduce myself into the case with respect to respondents' pleas? THE COURT: Yes, Mr. Sokol. MR. SOKOL: When we filed our motion to dismiss at the outset, we asked for the imposition of sanctions. When we filed our answer, we renewed that motion that sanctions be imposed. Your Honor is well aware that section 8.01-271.1 says that once a	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	that count. But look at the counts Mr. Withers was included; and the most dramatic, the most disgusting, the one that is utterly baseless, is this so-called Fred bus count. Now, look what they charged Mr. Withers with on the Fred bus; Count 16. It says that Mr. Withers boarded that bus separately; it says that he left the bus separately. There are some specific, factual allegations that he entered that bus sometime between July 15 and August 15; and they said the reason that this sinister entry
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	THE COURT: Do you agree your motion only goes to 15, 16, and 18? MR. STAHL: That is correct, Your Honor. MR. SOKOL: Your Honor, may I introduce myself into the case with respect to respondents' pleas? THE COURT: Yes, Mr. Sokol. MR. SOKOL: When we filed our motion to dismiss at the outset, we asked for the imposition of sanctions. When we filed our answer, we renewed that motion that sanctions be imposed. Your Honor is well aware that section 8.01-271.1 says that once a pleading has been filed, that the person	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	But look at the counts Mr. Withers was included; and the most dramatic, the most disgusting, the one that is utterly baseless, is this so-called Fred bus count. Now, look what they charged Mr. Withers with on the Fred bus; Count 16. It says that Mr. Withers boarded that bus separately; it says that he left the bus separately. There are some specific, factual allegations that he entered that bus sometime between July 15 and August 15; and they said the reason that this sinister entry and this sinister departure from the bus
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	THE COURT: Do you agree your motion only goes to 15, 16, and 18? MR. STAHL: That is correct, Your Honor. MR. SOKOL: Your Honor, may I introduce myself into the case with respect to respondents' pleas? THE COURT: Yes, Mr. Sokol. MR. SOKOL: When we filed our motion to dismiss at the outset, we asked for the imposition of sanctions. When we filed our answer, we renewed that motion that sanctions be imposed. Your Honor is well aware that section 8.01-271.1 says that once a pleading has been filed, that the person filing the pleading vouch that it is	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	But look at the counts Mr. Withers was included; and the most dramatic, the most disgusting, the one that is utterly baseless, is this so-called Fred bus count. Now, look what they charged Mr. Withers with on the Fred bus; Count 16. It says that Mr. Withers boarded that bus separately; it says that he left the bus separately. There are some specific, factual allegations that he entered that bus sometime between July 15 and August 15; and they said the reason that this sinister entry and this sinister departure from the bus was so that a consensus could be reached
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	THE COURT: Do you agree your motion only goes to 15, 16, and 18? MR. STAHL: That is correct, Your Honor. MR. SOKOL: Your Honor, may I introduce myself into the case with respect to respondents' pleas? THE COURT: Yes, Mr. Sokol. MR. SOKOL: When we filed our motion to dismiss at the outset, we asked for the imposition of sanctions. When we filed our answer, we renewed that motion that sanctions be imposed. Your Honor is well aware that section 8.01-271.1 says that once a pleading has been filed, that the person filing the pleading vouch that it is well, among other things, well-grounded,	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	that count. But look at the counts Mr. Withers was included; and the most dramatic, the most disgusting, the one that is utterly baseless, is this so-called Fred bus count. Now, look what they charged Mr. Withers with on the Fred bus; Count 16. It says that Mr. Withers boarded that bus separately; it says that he left the bus separately. There are some specific, factual allegations that he entered that bus sometime between July 15 and August 15; and they said the reason that this sinister entry and this sinister departure from the bus was so that a consensus could be reached before meeting with Councilman Wilson
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	THE COURT: Do you agree your motion only goes to 15, 16, and 18? MR. STAHL: That is correct, Your Honor. MR. SOKOL: Your Honor, may I introduce myself into the case with respect to respondents' pleas? THE COURT: Yes, Mr. Sokol. MR. SOKOL: When we filed our motion to dismiss at the outset, we asked for the imposition of sanctions. When we filed our answer, we renewed that motion that sanctions be imposed. Your Honor is well aware that section 8.01-271.1 says that once a pleading has been filed, that the person filing the pleading vouch that it is well, among other things, well-grounded, the adjective well-grounded, in fact.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	But look at the counts Mr. Withers was included; and the most dramatic, the most disgusting, the one that is utterly baseless, is this so-called Fred bus count. Now, look what they charged Mr. Withers with on the Fred bus; Count 16. It says that Mr. Withers boarded that bus separately; it says that he left the bus separately. There are some specific, factual allegations that he entered that bus sometime between July 15 and August 15; and they said the reason that this sinister entry and this sinister departure from the bus was so that a consensus could be reached before meeting with Councilman Wilson and Councilman Turner; and the intent
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	THE COURT: Do you agree your motion only goes to 15, 16, and 18? MR. STAHL: That is correct, Your Honor. MR. SOKOL: Your Honor, may I introduce myself into the case with respect to respondents' pleas? THE COURT: Yes, Mr. Sokol. MR. SOKOL: When we filed our motion to dismiss at the outset, we asked for the imposition of sanctions. When we filed our answer, we renewed that motion that sanctions be imposed. Your Honor is well aware that section 8.01-271.1 says that once a pleading has been filed, that the person filing the pleading vouch that it is well, among other things, well-grounded, the adjective well-grounded, in fact. Mr. Withers is a member	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	But look at the counts Mr. Withers was included; and the most dramatic, the most disgusting, the one that is utterly baseless, is this so-called Fred bus count. Now, look what they charged Mr. Withers with on the Fred bus; Count 16. It says that Mr. Withers boarded that bus separately; it says that he left the bus separately. There are some specific, factual allegations that he entered that bus sometime between July 15 and August 15; and they said the reason that this sinister entry and this sinister departure from the bus was so that a consensus could be reached before meeting with Councilman Wilson and Councilman Turner; and the intent was to get on this bus, not being
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	THE COURT: Do you agree your motion only goes to 15, 16, and 18? MR. STAHL: That is correct, Your Honor. MR. SOKOL: Your Honor, may I introduce myself into the case with respect to respondents' pleas? THE COURT: Yes, Mr. Sokol. MR. SOKOL: When we filed our motion to dismiss at the outset, we asked for the imposition of sanctions. When we filed our answer, we renewed that motion that sanctions be imposed. Your Honor is well aware that section 8.01-271.1 says that once a pleading has been filed, that the person filing the pleading vouch that it is well, among other things, well-grounded, the adjective well-grounded, in fact.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	But look at the counts Mr. Withers was included; and the most dramatic, the most disgusting, the one that is utterly baseless, is this so-called Fred bus count. Now, look what they charged Mr. Withers with on the Fred bus; Count 16. It says that Mr. Withers boarded that bus separately; it says that he left the bus separately. There are some specific, factual allegations that he entered that bus sometime between July 15 and August 15; and they said the reason that this sinister entry and this sinister departure from the bus was so that a consensus could be reached before meeting with Councilman Wilson and Councilman Turner; and the intent

	Page 30		Page 32
1	being detected getting off as a group,	1	Mr. Withers. And right across the aisle
2	and leave Mr. Turner off the bus	2	looking over toward Mr. Wilson and Mr.
3	Reverend Turner, excuse me and leave	3	Withers is Mr. Turner.
4	Councilman Wilson off the bus.	4	Now upon reasonable
5	That's a pretty serious	5	inquiry prior to late September this
6	thing when Mr. Withers has run for	6	is six weeks before they filed the peti-
7	public service as a person of inclusion.	7	tion here is this article, and here
8	That's what he has been telling his	8	is this picture, and here is this plead-
9	constituency for months, and now these	9	ing. It is an outrage.
10	petitioners say you are a fraud; you are	10	2
11	a hypocrite, Mr. Withers.	11	I called in the original
12	But then we took Mr.	12	motion to dismiss, that allegation that
13	Shelton's deposition, and I won't even	13	there was a secret meeting to discuss
14	speak to the deposition of Timpone and	14	the Homeless Shelter it had been
15	Jenkins because they shed nothing on it	15	surreptitiously set up when Fortune
16	whatsoever, except that the proof will	F	whispered something to a couple others,
17		16	they said, and then it was held at some
18	come by and by. By and by has come and	17	future date I called it an hallucina-
19	gone.	18	tion. They dropped that one later on
	But the transcripts of	19	because they had nothing, absolutely
20	the Shelton deposition will reveal that	20	zero.
21	I showed Mr. Shelton a newspaper	21	And to support this
	Page 31		Page 33
1	article. First I asked him whether he	1	second charge built on the first charge
2	signed onto the petition, and I asked	$\hat{2}$	
3	him if he got The Free Lance-Star and if		Of secret meetings, tace_to_tace secret 1
	THE LET BE SOLUTE TIES LANCE-VIALABLE I		of secret meetings, face-to-face secret
		3	meetings, they started the Maury School
4	he read The Free Lance-Star? And you	3 4	meetings, they started the Maury School count as an e-mail count. Your Honor
4 5	he read The Free Lance-Star? And you will see that in the transcripts, and he	3 4 5	meetings, they started the Maury School count as an e-mail count. Your Honor struck that one. And then, when they
4 5 6	he read The Free Lance-Star? And you will see that in the transcripts, and he allowed that he did.	3 4 5 6	meetings, they started the Maury School count as an e-mail count. Your Honor struck that one. And then, when they had an opportunity to look into it after
4 5 6 7	he read The Free Lance-Star? And you will see that in the transcripts, and he allowed that he did. Then I showed him this	3 4 5 6 7	meetings, they started the Maury School count as an e-mail count. Your Honor struck that one. And then, when they had an opportunity to look into it after it had been struck, what did they do?
4 5 6 7 8	he read The Free Lance-Star? And you will see that in the transcripts, and he allowed that he did. Then I showed him this article, August 11th, front page, back	3 4 5 6 7 8	meetings, they started the Maury School count as an e-mail count. Your Honor struck that one. And then, when they had an opportunity to look into it after it had been struck, what did they do? They wanted to resuscitate it and they
4 5 6 7 8 9	he read The Free Lance-Star? And you will see that in the transcripts, and he allowed that he did. Then I showed him this article, August 11th, front page, back page, replete with pictures. The back	3 4 5 6 7 8 9	meetings, they started the Maury School count as an e-mail count. Your Honor struck that one. And then, when they had an opportunity to look into it after it had been struck, what did they do? They wanted to resuscitate it and they resuscitated it by saying it is not an
4 5 6 7 8 9	he read The Free Lance-Star? And you will see that in the transcripts, and he allowed that he did. Then I showed him this article, August 11th, front page, back page, replete with pictures. The back page I showed him, and it's in the	3 4 5 6 7 8 9	meetings, they started the Maury School count as an e-mail count. Your Honor struck that one. And then, when they had an opportunity to look into it after it had been struck, what did they do? They wanted to resuscitate it and they resuscitated it by saying it is not an e-mail anymore, it's a meeting at Maury.
4 5 6 7 8 9 10	he read The Free Lance-Star? And you will see that in the transcripts, and he allowed that he did. Then I showed him this article, August 11th, front page, back page, replete with pictures. The back page I showed him, and it's in the transcript, and I asked him to identify	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	meetings, they started the Maury School count as an e-mail count. Your Honor struck that one. And then, when they had an opportunity to look into it after it had been struck, what did they do? They wanted to resuscitate it and they resuscitated it by saying it is not an e-mail anymore, it's a meeting at Maury. That meeting of three or
4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	he read The Free Lance-Star? And you will see that in the transcripts, and he allowed that he did. Then I showed him this article, August 11th, front page, back page, replete with pictures. The back page I showed him, and it's in the transcript, and I asked him to identify who is in the picture of the men on the	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	meetings, they started the Maury School count as an e-mail count. Your Honor struck that one. And then, when they had an opportunity to look into it after it had been struck, what did they do? They wanted to resuscitate it and they resuscitated it by saying it is not an e-mail anymore, it's a meeting at Maury. That meeting of three or more members never happened, and they
4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	he read The Free Lance-Star? And you will see that in the transcripts, and he allowed that he did. Then I showed him this article, August 11th, front page, back page, replete with pictures. The back page I showed him, and it's in the transcript, and I asked him to identify who is in the picture of the men on the bus, the persons on the bus. And there	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	meetings, they started the Maury School count as an e-mail count. Your Honor struck that one. And then, when they had an opportunity to look into it after it had been struck, what did they do? They wanted to resuscitate it and they resuscitated it by saying it is not an e-mail anymore, it's a meeting at Maury. That meeting of three or more members never happened, and they ought to have known in time that reason-
4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	he read The Free Lance-Star? And you will see that in the transcripts, and he allowed that he did. Then I showed him this article, August 11th, front page, back page, replete with pictures. The back page I showed him, and it's in the transcript, and I asked him to identify who is in the picture of the men on the bus, the persons on the bus. And there is Mr. Withers on the bus; and Mr.	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	meetings, they started the Maury School count as an e-mail count. Your Honor struck that one. And then, when they had an opportunity to look into it after it had been struck, what did they do? They wanted to resuscitate it and they resuscitated it by saying it is not an e-mail anymore, it's a meeting at Maury. That meeting of three or more members never happened, and they ought to have known in time that reasonable inquiry, and with sufficient time
4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	he read The Free Lance-Star? And you will see that in the transcripts, and he allowed that he did. Then I showed him this article, August 11th, front page, back page, replete with pictures. The back page I showed him, and it's in the transcript, and I asked him to identify who is in the picture of the men on the bus, the persons on the bus. And there is Mr. Withers on the bus; and Mr. Shelton says, and there is Mr. Wilson.	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	meetings, they started the Maury School count as an e-mail count. Your Honor struck that one. And then, when they had an opportunity to look into it after it had been struck, what did they do? They wanted to resuscitate it and they resuscitated it by saying it is not an e-mail anymore, it's a meeting at Maury. That meeting of three or more members never happened, and they ought to have known in time that reasonable inquiry, and with sufficient time allowed to make that inquiry, would have
4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	he read The Free Lance-Star? And you will see that in the transcripts, and he allowed that he did. Then I showed him this article, August 11th, front page, back page, replete with pictures. The back page I showed him, and it's in the transcript, and I asked him to identify who is in the picture of the men on the bus, the persons on the bus. And there is Mr. Withers on the bus; and Mr. Shelton says, and there is Mr. Wilson. There is Councilman Wilson, the person	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	meetings, they started the Maury School count as an e-mail count. Your Honor struck that one. And then, when they had an opportunity to look into it after it had been struck, what did they do? They wanted to resuscitate it and they resuscitated it by saying it is not an e-mail anymore, it's a meeting at Maury. That meeting of three or more members never happened, and they ought to have known in time that reasonable inquiry, and with sufficient time allowed to make that inquiry, would have revealed that.
4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	he read The Free Lance-Star? And you will see that in the transcripts, and he allowed that he did. Then I showed him this article, August 11th, front page, back page, replete with pictures. The back page I showed him, and it's in the transcript, and I asked him to identify who is in the picture of the men on the bus, the persons on the bus. And there is Mr. Withers on the bus; and Mr. Shelton says, and there is Mr. Wilson. There is Councilman Wilson, the person that he alleged and Mr. Timpone swore to	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	meetings, they started the Maury School count as an e-mail count. Your Honor struck that one. And then, when they had an opportunity to look into it after it had been struck, what did they do? They wanted to resuscitate it and they resuscitated it by saying it is not an e-mail anymore, it's a meeting at Maury. That meeting of three or more members never happened, and they ought to have known in time that reasonable inquiry, and with sufficient time allowed to make that inquiry, would have revealed that. Now, these e-mail counts.
4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	he read The Free Lance-Star? And you will see that in the transcripts, and he allowed that he did. Then I showed him this article, August 11th, front page, back page, replete with pictures. The back page I showed him, and it's in the transcript, and I asked him to identify who is in the picture of the men on the bus, the persons on the bus. And there is Mr. Withers on the bus; and Mr. Shelton says, and there is Mr. Wilson. There is Councilman Wilson, the person that he alleged and Mr. Timpone swore to in his affidavit was excluded. That was	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	meetings, they started the Maury School count as an e-mail count. Your Honor struck that one. And then, when they had an opportunity to look into it after it had been struck, what did they do? They wanted to resuscitate it and they resuscitated it by saying it is not an e-mail anymore, it's a meeting at Maury. That meeting of three or more members never happened, and they ought to have known in time that reasonable inquiry, and with sufficient time allowed to make that inquiry, would have revealed that. Now, these e-mail counts. They have boxes this wide of e-mails
4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	he read The Free Lance-Star? And you will see that in the transcripts, and he allowed that he did. Then I showed him this article, August 11th, front page, back page, replete with pictures. The back page I showed him, and it's in the transcript, and I asked him to identify who is in the picture of the men on the bus, the persons on the bus. And there is Mr. Withers on the bus; and Mr. Shelton says, and there is Mr. Wilson. There is Councilman Wilson, the person that he alleged and Mr. Timpone swore to in his affidavit was excluded. That was the reason they had this meeting, was to	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	meetings, they started the Maury School count as an e-mail count. Your Honor struck that one. And then, when they had an opportunity to look into it after it had been struck, what did they do? They wanted to resuscitate it and they resuscitated it by saying it is not an e-mail anymore, it's a meeting at Maury. That meeting of three or more members never happened, and they ought to have known in time that reasonable inquiry, and with sufficient time allowed to make that inquiry, would have revealed that. Now, these e-mail counts. They have boxes this wide of e-mails that they got from the Virginia Freedom
4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	he read The Free Lance-Star? And you will see that in the transcripts, and he allowed that he did. Then I showed him this article, August 11th, front page, back page, replete with pictures. The back page I showed him, and it's in the transcript, and I asked him to identify who is in the picture of the men on the bus, the persons on the bus. And there is Mr. Withers on the bus; and Mr. Shelton says, and there is Mr. Wilson. There is Councilman Wilson, the person that he alleged and Mr. Timpone swore to in his affidavit was excluded. That was the reason they had this meeting, was to keep these guys off the bus. But there	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	meetings, they started the Maury School count as an e-mail count. Your Honor struck that one. And then, when they had an opportunity to look into it after it had been struck, what did they do? They wanted to resuscitate it and they resuscitated it by saying it is not an e-mail anymore, it's a meeting at Maury. That meeting of three or more members never happened, and they ought to have known in time that reasonable inquiry, and with sufficient time allowed to make that inquiry, would have revealed that. Now, these e-mail counts. They have boxes this wide of e-mails that they got from the Virginia Freedom of Information Act. They saw in the
4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	he read The Free Lance-Star? And you will see that in the transcripts, and he allowed that he did. Then I showed him this article, August 11th, front page, back page, replete with pictures. The back page I showed him, and it's in the transcript, and I asked him to identify who is in the picture of the men on the bus, the persons on the bus. And there is Mr. Withers on the bus; and Mr. Shelton says, and there is Mr. Wilson. There is Councilman Wilson, the person that he alleged and Mr. Timpone swore to in his affidavit was excluded. That was the reason they had this meeting, was to	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	meetings, they started the Maury School count as an e-mail count. Your Honor struck that one. And then, when they had an opportunity to look into it after it had been struck, what did they do? They wanted to resuscitate it and they resuscitated it by saying it is not an e-mail anymore, it's a meeting at Maury. That meeting of three or more members never happened, and they ought to have known in time that reasonable inquiry, and with sufficient time allowed to make that inquiry, would have revealed that. Now, these e-mail counts. They have boxes this wide of e-mails that they got from the Virginia Freedom

į.			
	Page 34		Page 36
1	nothing, zero; and, yet, they included	1	be attention should be drawn to the
2	him on each and every count as engaging	2	fact that Mr. Withers in 17 counts has
3	in a continuous effort to exclude two	3	been charged on an utterly irresponsible
4	other councilmen through his use of	4	and reckless basis; and, therefore, I
5	e-mail. No basis in fact, when they had	5	ask that Your Honor impose sanctions by
6	the hard proof of the e-mails in front	6	way of an award of attorneys fees.
7	of them, to do that.	7	We are not asking for any
8	Now, I don't disagree	8	reimbursement of costs insofar as Mr.
9	with the fact that this Code Section	9	Withers is concerned, because the only
10	should be used sparingly, but its needs	10	costs he has are attorneys fees. Thank
11	to be used when the processes of justice	11	-
12	and the process of civil litigation are	12	you. THE COURT: Mr. Kaufman?
13	so abused, and particularly so in the	13	
14	case of public officials who are out	14	MR. KAUFMAN: You know, Your
15	•	15	Honor, this wasn't noticed for today and
16	there as a target. They don't have much		since I didn't know we would be arguing
17	protection under laws of defamation.	16	this until I walked in here today, the
18	They are out there for public service,	17	reason you don't have a response to the
1	but the dissemination of information	18	weighty brief in support of their motion
19	about their activities is vast dissemi-	19	for sanctions is because I thought I had
20	nation among the community.	20	more time to file it and was preparing
21	And when they are charged	21	for the underlying case. Nonetheless,
	Page 35		Page 37
1			
l 1		١.,	
1	with being a violator, it is hurtful to	1	let me respond and proffer as best I
2	them as officials; it's hurtful to them	2	let me respond and proffer as best I can.
2 3	them as officials; it's hurtful to them as individuals. They have feelings.	2 3	let me respond and proffer as best I can. In the first place, I'm
2 3 4	them as officials; it's hurtful to them as individuals. They have feelings. They don't lose their sensitivity by	2 3 4	let me respond and proffer as best I can. In the first place, I'm not quite sure how to respond to Mr.
2 3 4 5	them as officials; it's hurtful to them as individuals. They have feelings. They don't lose their sensitivity by reason of the wisdom of the public in	2 3 4 5	let me respond and proffer as best I can. In the first place, I'm not quite sure how to respond to Mr. Sokol's extended plea, you know, for
2 3 4 5 6	them as officials; it's hurtful to them as individuals. They have feelings. They don't lose their sensitivity by reason of the wisdom of the public in electing them. And what it does, what	2 3 4 5 6	let me respond and proffer as best I can. In the first place, I'm not quite sure how to respond to Mr. Sokol's extended plea, you know, for Mr. Withers because it sounded more like
2 3 4 5 6 7	them as officials; it's hurtful to them as individuals. They have feelings. They don't lose their sensitivity by reason of the wisdom of the public in electing them. And what it does, what it does if it's permitted to continue,	2 3 4 5 6 7	let me respond and proffer as best I can. In the first place, I'm not quite sure how to respond to Mr. Sokol's extended plea, you know, for Mr. Withers because it sounded more like he was making a plea in a defamation
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	them as officials; it's hurtful to them as individuals. They have feelings. They don't lose their sensitivity by reason of the wisdom of the public in electing them. And what it does, what it does if it's permitted to continue, is to chill the interest by many people,	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	let me respond and proffer as best I can. In the first place, I'm not quite sure how to respond to Mr. Sokol's extended plea, you know, for Mr. Withers because it sounded more like he was making a plea in a defamation case than as it applies to these three
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	them as officials; it's hurtful to them as individuals. They have feelings. They don't lose their sensitivity by reason of the wisdom of the public in electing them. And what it does, what it does if it's permitted to continue, is to chill the interest by many people, well qualified by honesty and by	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	let me respond and proffer as best I can. In the first place, I'm not quite sure how to respond to Mr. Sokol's extended plea, you know, for Mr. Withers because it sounded more like he was making a plea in a defamation case than as it applies to these three counts that are at issue here. But let
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	them as officials; it's hurtful to them as individuals. They have feelings. They don't lose their sensitivity by reason of the wisdom of the public in electing them. And what it does, what it does if it's permitted to continue, is to chill the interest by many people, well qualified by honesty and by intelligence and otherwise, to serve; to	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	let me respond and proffer as best I can. In the first place, I'm not quite sure how to respond to Mr. Sokol's extended plea, you know, for Mr. Withers because it sounded more like he was making a plea in a defamation case than as it applies to these three counts that are at issue here. But let me also point out that sanctions are
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	them as officials; it's hurtful to them as individuals. They have feelings. They don't lose their sensitivity by reason of the wisdom of the public in electing them. And what it does, what it does if it's permitted to continue, is to chill the interest by many people, well qualified by honesty and by intelligence and otherwise, to serve; to say we are not going to get into this	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	let me respond and proffer as best I can. In the first place, I'm not quite sure how to respond to Mr. Sokol's extended plea, you know, for Mr. Withers because it sounded more like he was making a plea in a defamation case than as it applies to these three counts that are at issue here. But let me also point out that sanctions are applicable when there has not been a
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	them as officials; it's hurtful to them as individuals. They have feelings. They don't lose their sensitivity by reason of the wisdom of the public in electing them. And what it does, what it does if it's permitted to continue, is to chill the interest by many people, well qualified by honesty and by intelligence and otherwise, to serve; to	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	let me respond and proffer as best I can. In the first place, I'm not quite sure how to respond to Mr. Sokol's extended plea, you know, for Mr. Withers because it sounded more like he was making a plea in a defamation case than as it applies to these three counts that are at issue here. But let me also point out that sanctions are applicable when there has not been a good-faith effort to extend the law.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	them as officials; it's hurtful to them as individuals. They have feelings. They don't lose their sensitivity by reason of the wisdom of the public in electing them. And what it does, what it does if it's permitted to continue, is to chill the interest by many people, well qualified by honesty and by intelligence and otherwise, to serve; to say we are not going to get into this crucible, we are going to get into this arena.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	let me respond and proffer as best I can. In the first place, I'm not quite sure how to respond to Mr. Sokol's extended plea, you know, for Mr. Withers because it sounded more like he was making a plea in a defamation case than as it applies to these three counts that are at issue here. But let me also point out that sanctions are applicable when there has not been a good-faith effort to extend the law. Now the Court in this
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	them as officials; it's hurtful to them as individuals. They have feelings. They don't lose their sensitivity by reason of the wisdom of the public in electing them. And what it does, what it does if it's permitted to continue, is to chill the interest by many people, well qualified by honesty and by intelligence and otherwise, to serve; to say we are not going to get into this crucible, we are going to get into this	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	let me respond and proffer as best I can. In the first place, I'm not quite sure how to respond to Mr. Sokol's extended plea, you know, for Mr. Withers because it sounded more like he was making a plea in a defamation case than as it applies to these three counts that are at issue here. But let me also point out that sanctions are applicable when there has not been a good-faith effort to extend the law.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	them as officials; it's hurtful to them as individuals. They have feelings. They don't lose their sensitivity by reason of the wisdom of the public in electing them. And what it does, what it does if it's permitted to continue, is to chill the interest by many people, well qualified by honesty and by intelligence and otherwise, to serve; to say we are not going to get into this crucible, we are going to get into this arena. And this is the kind of litigation that can, that can, produce	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	let me respond and proffer as best I can. In the first place, I'm not quite sure how to respond to Mr. Sokol's extended plea, you know, for Mr. Withers because it sounded more like he was making a plea in a defamation case than as it applies to these three counts that are at issue here. But let me also point out that sanctions are applicable when there has not been a good-faith effort to extend the law. Now the Court in this
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	them as officials; it's hurtful to them as individuals. They have feelings. They don't lose their sensitivity by reason of the wisdom of the public in electing them. And what it does, what it does if it's permitted to continue, is to chill the interest by many people, well qualified by honesty and by intelligence and otherwise, to serve; to say we are not going to get into this crucible, we are going to get into this arena. And this is the kind of	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	let me respond and proffer as best I can. In the first place, I'm not quite sure how to respond to Mr. Sokol's extended plea, you know, for Mr. Withers because it sounded more like he was making a plea in a defamation case than as it applies to these three counts that are at issue here. But let me also point out that sanctions are applicable when there has not been a good-faith effort to extend the law. Now the Court in this case, starting at the beginning, made
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	them as officials; it's hurtful to them as individuals. They have feelings. They don't lose their sensitivity by reason of the wisdom of the public in electing them. And what it does, what it does if it's permitted to continue, is to chill the interest by many people, well qualified by honesty and by intelligence and otherwise, to serve; to say we are not going to get into this crucible, we are going to get into this arena. And this is the kind of litigation that can, that can, produce	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	let me respond and proffer as best I can. In the first place, I'm not quite sure how to respond to Mr. Sokol's extended plea, you know, for Mr. Withers because it sounded more like he was making a plea in a defamation case than as it applies to these three counts that are at issue here. But let me also point out that sanctions are applicable when there has not been a good-faith effort to extend the law. Now the Court in this case, starting at the beginning, made law in two different ways. In its
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	them as officials; it's hurtful to them as individuals. They have feelings. They don't lose their sensitivity by reason of the wisdom of the public in electing them. And what it does, what it does if it's permitted to continue, is to chill the interest by many people, well qualified by honesty and by intelligence and otherwise, to serve; to say we are not going to get into this crucible, we are going to get into this arena. And this is the kind of litigation that can, that can, produce that kind of deleterious, that kind of	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	let me respond and proffer as best I can. In the first place, I'm not quite sure how to respond to Mr. Sokol's extended plea, you know, for Mr. Withers because it sounded more like he was making a plea in a defamation case than as it applies to these three counts that are at issue here. But let me also point out that sanctions are applicable when there has not been a good-faith effort to extend the law. Now the Court in this case, starting at the beginning, made law in two different ways. In its original demurrers, the Court ruled for
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	them as officials; it's hurtful to them as individuals. They have feelings. They don't lose their sensitivity by reason of the wisdom of the public in electing them. And what it does, what it does if it's permitted to continue, is to chill the interest by many people, well qualified by honesty and by intelligence and otherwise, to serve; to say we are not going to get into this crucible, we are going to get into this arena. And this is the kind of litigation that can, that can, produce that kind of deleterious, that kind of permicious result, unless somebody steps	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	let me respond and proffer as best I can. In the first place, I'm not quite sure how to respond to Mr. Sokol's extended plea, you know, for Mr. Withers because it sounded more like he was making a plea in a defamation case than as it applies to these three counts that are at issue here. But let me also point out that sanctions are applicable when there has not been a good-faith effort to extend the law. Now the Court in this case, starting at the beginning, made law in two different ways. In its original demurrers, the Court ruled for the first time in the Commonwealth of Virginia that FOIA did not apply until
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	them as officials; it's hurtful to them as individuals. They have feelings. They don't lose their sensitivity by reason of the wisdom of the public in electing them. And what it does, what it does if it's permitted to continue, is to chill the interest by many people, well qualified by honesty and by intelligence and otherwise, to serve; to say we are not going to get into this crucible, we are going to get into this arena. And this is the kind of litigation that can, that can, produce that kind of deleterious, that kind of pernicious result, unless somebody steps in and says you are not going to do it	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	let me respond and proffer as best I can. In the first place, I'm not quite sure how to respond to Mr. Sokol's extended plea, you know, for Mr. Withers because it sounded more like he was making a plea in a defamation case than as it applies to these three counts that are at issue here. But let me also point out that sanctions are applicable when there has not been a good-faith effort to extend the law. Now the Court in this case, starting at the beginning, made law in two different ways. In its original demurrers, the Court ruled for the first time in the Commonwealth of
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	them as officials; it's hurtful to them as individuals. They have feelings. They don't lose their sensitivity by reason of the wisdom of the public in electing them. And what it does, what it does if it's permitted to continue, is to chill the interest by many people, well qualified by honesty and by intelligence and otherwise, to serve; to say we are not going to get into this crucible, we are going to get into this arena. And this is the kind of litigation that can, that can, produce that kind of deleterious, that kind of pernicious result, unless somebody steps in and says you are not going to do it without paying if I find this petition	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	let me respond and proffer as best I can. In the first place, I'm not quite sure how to respond to Mr. Sokol's extended plea, you know, for Mr. Withers because it sounded more like he was making a plea in a defamation case than as it applies to these three counts that are at issue here. But let me also point out that sanctions are applicable when there has not been a good-faith effort to extend the law. Now the Court in this case, starting at the beginning, made law in two different ways. In its original demurrers, the Court ruled for the first time in the Commonwealth of Virginia that FOIA did not apply until you had been sworn in. Now, that elimi-
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	them as officials; it's hurtful to them as individuals. They have feelings. They don't lose their sensitivity by reason of the wisdom of the public in electing them. And what it does, what it does if it's permitted to continue, is to chill the interest by many people, well qualified by honesty and by intelligence and otherwise, to serve; to say we are not going to get into this crucible, we are going to get into this arena. And this is the kind of litigation that can, that can, produce that kind of deleterious, that kind of pernicious result, unless somebody steps in and says you are not going to do it without paying if I find this petition is not well-grounded in facts.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	let me respond and proffer as best I can. In the first place, I'm not quite sure how to respond to Mr. Sokol's extended plea, you know, for Mr. Withers because it sounded more like he was making a plea in a defamation case than as it applies to these three counts that are at issue here. But let me also point out that sanctions are applicable when there has not been a good-faith effort to extend the law. Now the Court in this case, starting at the beginning, made law in two different ways. In its original demurrers, the Court ruled for the first time in the Commonwealth of Virginia that FOIA did not apply until you had been sworn in. Now, that eliminated nine counts.

	Page 38		Page 40
1	relied on, you know, a Florida case and	1	there is no motion for sanctions on
2	other states had disagreed with it, and	2	these others, you know, despite the
3	we believed Florida was more appropri-	3	outrage and the table-thumping and
4	ate. That's not sanctionable. That is	4	everything like that. We are faced with
5	a matter of law, the Court ruled. It	5	motions for sanctions on three counts.
6	was the first time it was addressed in	6	Now, the Maury count,
7	Virginia.	7	there is an e-mail in there which the
8	For the other nine	8	Court has seen, which I cannot produce
9	counts, you know, they were pled and the	9	as I stand here because I didn't bring
10	Court dismissed several of them here	10	S
11		11	it with me to go all the way. But there is an e-mail in there which the Court
12	and, you know, the Court ruled on sum-		
l	mary judgment on the e-mails on a number	12	has seen, which essentially is from
13	of those.	13	Mr. Howson to everybody saying, Billy
14	As for Mr. Withers, we	14	has never seen Maury and asked me to
15	believed that the internal evidence of	15	take him; how many of you want to come?
16	the e-mails, particularly on the Commit-	16	I mean, there is a series
17	tee Assignment e-mail, the fact that	17	of e-mails from Dr. Fortune saying he
18	Mr. Withers was reading and responding	18	would like to come but Friday is the
19	to that e-mail discussion, by picking up	19	only day he can make it. Mr. Kelly said
20	the phone and calling Mayor Beck,	20	that he wanted to try and come. Friday
21	included him in that count.	21	afternoon turned out not to be good, so
	Page 39		Page 41
1	We also believed that the	1	Mr. Fortune couldn't come. And then
2	repeated requests like, there was a	2	there is an e-mail which goes something
3	P.S. in one count reminding both Dr.	3	along the lines of well from Mr.
4	Fortune and Mr. Withers about the	4	Howson to everybody, well, I'm going to
5	Celebrate Virginia and they should get	5	take Billy there on Saturday, and we
6	their comments in promptly would	6	
_	men comments in promptry would		
17	indicate to the Court that he was read	Ī -	don't know if Mr. Kelly is coming or
7	indicate to the Court that he was read-	7	not; there has been no response from
8	ing and absorbing and using the material	7 8	not; there has been no response from Mr. Kelly on that.
8 9	ing and absorbing and using the material even if he wasn't actively speaking.	7 8 9	not; there has been no response from Mr. Kelly on that. Again, I regret that I
8 9 10	ing and absorbing and using the material even if he wasn't actively speaking. And the analogy I think I	7 8 9 10	not; there has been no response from Mr. Kelly on that. Again, I regret that I didn't bring those to pass them out, but
8 9 10 11	ing and absorbing and using the material even if he wasn't actively speaking. And the analogy I think I used earlier was one of going to a City	7 8 9 10 11	not; there has been no response from Mr. Kelly on that. Again, I regret that I didn't bring those to pass them out, but opposing counsel have seen these. They
8 9 10 11 12	ing and absorbing and using the material even if he wasn't actively speaking. And the analogy I think I used earlier was one of going to a City Council meeting and sitting there and	7 8 9 10 11 12	not; there has been no response from Mr. Kelly on that. Again, I regret that I didn't bring those to pass them out, but opposing counsel have seen these. They were all in the e-mails that were pro-
8 9 10 11 12 13	ing and absorbing and using the material even if he wasn't actively speaking. And the analogy I think I used earlier was one of going to a City Council meeting and sitting there and listening carefully but not asking	7 8 9 10 11 12 13	not; there has been no response from Mr. Kelly on that. Again, I regret that I didn't bring those to pass them out, but opposing counsel have seen these. They were all in the e-mails that were produced by the City to us and which we
8 9 10 11 12 13 14	ing and absorbing and using the material even if he wasn't actively speaking. And the analogy I think I used earlier was one of going to a City Council meeting and sitting there and listening carefully but not asking questions or commenting on the business	7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	not; there has been no response from Mr. Kelly on that. Again, I regret that I didn't bring those to pass them out, but opposing counsel have seen these. They were all in the e-mails that were produced by the City to us and which we have gone through and examined, which we
8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	ing and absorbing and using the material even if he wasn't actively speaking. And the analogy I think I used earlier was one of going to a City Council meeting and sitting there and listening carefully but not asking questions or commenting on the business being discussed.	7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	not; there has been no response from Mr. Kelly on that. Again, I regret that I didn't bring those to pass them out, but opposing counsel have seen these. They were all in the e-mails that were produced by the City to us and which we have gone through and examined, which we had grounds for thinking, well, maybe
8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	ing and absorbing and using the material even if he wasn't actively speaking. And the analogy I think I used earlier was one of going to a City Council meeting and sitting there and listening carefully but not asking questions or commenting on the business being discussed. The Court ruled on that.	7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	not; there has been no response from Mr. Kelly on that. Again, I regret that I didn't bring those to pass them out, but opposing counsel have seen these. They were all in the e-mails that were produced by the City to us and which we have gone through and examined, which we had grounds for thinking, well, maybe Dr. Fortune had been able to go on
8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	ing and absorbing and using the material even if he wasn't actively speaking. And the analogy I think I used earlier was one of going to a City Council meeting and sitting there and listening carefully but not asking questions or commenting on the business being discussed. The Court ruled on that. This is a reasonable good-faith argument	7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	not; there has been no response from Mr. Kelly on that. Again, I regret that I didn't bring those to pass them out, but opposing counsel have seen these. They were all in the e-mails that were produced by the City to us and which we have gone through and examined, which we had grounds for thinking, well, maybe Dr. Fortune had been able to go on Saturday after all, or maybe Mr. Kelly
8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	ing and absorbing and using the material even if he wasn't actively speaking. And the analogy I think I used earlier was one of going to a City Council meeting and sitting there and listening carefully but not asking questions or commenting on the business being discussed. The Court ruled on that. This is a reasonable good-faith argument for the e-mails. There is nothing	7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	not; there has been no response from Mr. Kelly on that. Again, I regret that I didn't bring those to pass them out, but opposing counsel have seen these. They were all in the e-mails that were produced by the City to us and which we have gone through and examined, which we had grounds for thinking, well, maybe Dr. Fortune had been able to go on Saturday after all, or maybe Mr. Kelly had come by, which it turned out they
8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	ing and absorbing and using the material even if he wasn't actively speaking. And the analogy I think I used earlier was one of going to a City Council meeting and sitting there and listening carefully but not asking questions or commenting on the business being discussed. The Court ruled on that. This is a reasonable good-faith argument for the e-mails. There is nothing sanctionable there.	7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	not; there has been no response from Mr. Kelly on that. Again, I regret that I didn't bring those to pass them out, but opposing counsel have seen these. They were all in the e-mails that were produced by the City to us and which we have gone through and examined, which we had grounds for thinking, well, maybe Dr. Fortune had been able to go on Saturday after all, or maybe Mr. Kelly had come by, which it turned out they didn't.
8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	ing and absorbing and using the material even if he wasn't actively speaking. And the analogy I think I used earlier was one of going to a City Council meeting and sitting there and listening carefully but not asking questions or commenting on the business being discussed. The Court ruled on that. This is a reasonable good-faith argument for the e-mails. There is nothing	7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	not; there has been no response from Mr. Kelly on that. Again, I regret that I didn't bring those to pass them out, but opposing counsel have seen these. They were all in the e-mails that were produced by the City to us and which we have gone through and examined, which we had grounds for thinking, well, maybe Dr. Fortune had been able to go on Saturday after all, or maybe Mr. Kelly had come by, which it turned out they didn't. And when it turned out
8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	ing and absorbing and using the material even if he wasn't actively speaking. And the analogy I think I used earlier was one of going to a City Council meeting and sitting there and listening carefully but not asking questions or commenting on the business being discussed. The Court ruled on that. This is a reasonable good-faith argument for the e-mails. There is nothing sanctionable there.	7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	not; there has been no response from Mr. Kelly on that. Again, I regret that I didn't bring those to pass them out, but opposing counsel have seen these. They were all in the e-mails that were produced by the City to us and which we have gone through and examined, which we had grounds for thinking, well, maybe Dr. Fortune had been able to go on Saturday after all, or maybe Mr. Kelly had come by, which it turned out they didn't.

		· · · · ·	
	Page 42		Page 44
1	things with prejudice; but we had a	1	We investigated and we dismissed it.
2	reasonable belief, based on the e-mails,	$\frac{1}{2}$	Now the September 17
3	that they had gotten together and gone.	3	count and again, unfortunately, I was
4	We wanted to find out. That's not	4	compiling material for everything that
5	sanctionable.	5	was going on here in the sanctions, and
6	As for the Fred bus	6	I will proffer this to the Court, you
7	meeting, well, there was actually a Fred	7	know.
8	bus trip that people went on, and they	8	But there is a document
9	got on and they got off, and they looked	9	which we produced to the other side
10	at developments and this and that and	10	Bates stamped 2829, and it is a memo
11	the other. And it took us a while to	11	from Mr. Howson and Mr. Fortune. The
12	figure out that the Fred bus meeting	12	subject is Goals Memo. And he talks
13	was, in fact, the August 7th trip to	13	about getting across the idea that they
14	Dogwood. It took us quite a while to do	14	have to decide what they are trying to
15	that.	15	accomplish before they start making
16	And there was this one	16	decisions about what they are going to
17	e-mail that had us running around pretty	17	do. That date is September 12.
18	good there and was an e-mail again that	18	September 17 is a Council
19	tied into an e-mail involving Mr.	19	work session and there is a notation in
20	Withers and the trip to Maury. So there	20	there and those notes were also pro-
21	is a line in there about from Mr.	21	vided as part of the discovery packet,
	Page 43		Page 45
1	Howson to everybody saying after talking	1	3809, etcet, that they have that
2	to all of you on the bus, I have changed	2	Mr. Fortune asked for a meeting to
3	my plans, etcetera, etcetera.	3	discuss priorities. When you discuss
4	I don't know if the Court	4	priorities, you discuss your philosophy
5	recalls that one; and, again, I apolo-	5	in terms of setting what you are going
6	gize to the Court for not having it	6	to do similarly. Now compounded by
7	instantly to hand you. But that is	7	that, although it wasn't mentioned, I
8	there, they have seen it; and, in fact,	8	don't think, by Mr. Stahl, you know, we
9	I think they used it at one point for	9	did have additional information like
10	something. So we made we had the	10	that.
11	basis for going forward with discovery	11	A woman named Susan
12	on what that was. We didn't realize	12	Spears, who I think they mentioned
13	that that was the trip that Dogwood had	13	earlier, talking to one of my clients,
14	sponsored, that everybody went on.	14	and this is a few days after the
15	Once we determined exact-	15 16	September 17 meeting and before we filed
16	ly what it was we were dealing with, we	16 17	the lawsuit, and she had heard that they
17	dismissed the count with prejudice. It		were doing something. My clients didn't
18	is not sanctionable to dismiss a count	18	follow-up on that, but I did. And I
19	with prejudice after you have concluded that it was innocent. We had a basis	19	talked with Ms. Spears about where did
	inar ir was innocent. We had a basis	20	she get her information there; and she
20			
20	for going forward and we went forward.	21	talked with Mr. Bolinger a couple days

	Page 46		Page 48
1	after the thing and her source was	1	here today with the multitude of extra
2	Mr. Bolinger.	2	copies and information and sworn affi-
3	Now, reasonable good	3	davits because I didn't expect to be
4	faith? Mr. Bolinger is at the table,	4	arguing it today, but that's what we are
5	sitting right there. I have got an	5	going to produce and show if you con-
6	e-mail from Mr. Howson and Mr. Fortune	6	tinue this. These documents are here.
7	saying we need to discuss the philosophy	7	I have to get extra copies cited to them
8	so we know so we can decide what to	8	and I have to look for the e-mails. I
9	do; Dr. Fortune saying we need to have a	9	can get them for the Court; the other
10	priority thing, which seems to me to be	10	side has already seen them. I can call
11	a follow-up. And we had the note in the	11	Mr. Bolinger up, you know, but that's
12	court notes not the Court notes, I'm	12	what is going to happen.
13	sorry in the Council notes, the draft	13	We investigated. This is
14	that was produced as part of the FOIA,	14	what I was told. None of this is sanc-
15	and I followed up with Mr. Bolinger. It	15	tionable, not unless you are going to
16	was a reasonable, good-faith investiga-	16	sanction us for Mr. Sokol's plea on
17	tion.	17	behalf of Mr. Withers, you know, that he
18	Now, as it turned out, we	18	had a bad time. And then the Court said
19	filed this lawsuit the 25th or 26th of	19	no, you know, even though you read all
20	September. Of course, the minute we	20	these e-mails, you didn't respond by
21	filed it, that obviated the chance that	21	e-mail so you are not part of it, even
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	there would be that meeting. I suppose I could have waited and trapped them in it, but I chose not to. But we had a reasonable good-faith belief, so none of this is uninvestigated. Oh, by the way, I should add when it became apparent that we had precluded everything by filing the investigation on that count, the meeting at the end of September and that it hadn't happened, we dismissed it with prejudice. Okay; fine. We thought it	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	though you responded by telephone call and they expected that you would. And there is another private e-mail in there in the committees section here from Mr. Beck, Mayor Beck to Mr. Withers saying, look at this and give me a phone call or give me a call. It seems to be an inference in there from that, that they knew he wasn't going to e-mail back. But the Court has ruled on that, but the Court might have ruled
13	was going to happen; we filed and in	13	the other way. None of this is sanc-
14	part stopped it from happening. It	14	tionable. None.
15	didn't happen; fine, close it out,	15	THE COURT: All right. Let me
16	dismissed with prejudice.	16	ask you this, and I'm going to be very
17	None of this is sanction-	17	candid with you. The Court is quite
18	able, Your Honor. We had reasonable	18	concerned in reference to the allega-
19	good-faith belief for every one of these	19	tions of the Maury visit and the bus
20	things.	20	trip.
21	Now, since I didn't come	21	MR. KAUFMAN: Yes, sir.

1			a man a second a seco
	Page 50		Page 52
1	THE COURT: I did want to	I	MR. BARNSBACK: I cannot, Your
2	resolve all matters in reference to this	2	Honor, and I don't want the Court to
3	litigation today. And having told you	3	interpret my absence as anything other
4	what I just got through telling you, how	4	than I'm stuck in Charlottesville in
5	long will it take you to gather whatever	5	depositions that day.
6	it is that you would like to present in	6	THE COURT: No, I understand.
7	reference to the issue of sanctions as	7	Given the fact that the City is paying
8		8	
9	to those especially to those two	9	such a high legal bill, maybe one
ì	counts?		attorney would be appropriate since it's
10	MR. KAUFMAN: Maury and the	10	just the issue of sanctions.
11	Fred bus?	11	MR. STAHL: And to make it
12	THE COURT: Yes.	12	even cheaper, I will send the youngest
13	MR. KAUFMAN: On Maury I can	13	one.
14	find the e-mails over the weekend. And	14	THE COURT: Thank you, Mr.
15	the Fred bus, there let me check with	15	Stahl.
16	counsel, check with co-counsel just a	16	If you are traveling, how
17	second.	17	about 10:00 o'clock, if that is per-
18	THE COURT: How about Tuesday,	18	missible?
19	if that is clear with counsel? Maybe	19	MR. O'CONNOR: 9:00 works for
20	only one attorney need attend. I'm just	20	me. I can do 9:00, Your Honor.
21	suggesting that.	21	MR. KAUFMAN: Then it's the
	Page 51		Page 53
,		,	
	MR. KAUFMAN: I can be here. MR. STAHL: What time would be	l I	Court's choice.
2		1 1	THE COURT Of 1
1 2		2	THE COURT: Okay; then
3	the Court's convenience?	3	9:00 a.m, Tuesday morning.
4	the Court's convenience? THE COURT: The civil cases	3 4	9:00 a.m, Tuesday morning. MR. KAUFMAN: Just for clari-
4 5	the Court's convenience? THE COURT: The civil cases that were set for Tuesday are settled,	3 4 5	9:00 a.m, Tuesday morning. MR. KAUFMAN: Just for clarification, it's only on the issue of the
4 5 6	the Court's convenience? THE COURT: The civil cases that were set for Tuesday are settled, so we have the entire day, I believe.	3 4 5 6	9:00 a.m, Tuesday morning. MR. KAUFMAN: Just for clarification, it's only on the issue of the Fred bus and on Maury?
4 5 6 7	the Court's convenience? THE COURT: The civil cases that were set for Tuesday are settled, so we have the entire day, I believe. Well, one moment.	3 4 5 6 7	9:00 a.m, Tuesday morning. MR. KAUFMAN: Just for clarification, it's only on the issue of the Fred bus and on Maury? THE COURT: Those counts
4 5 6 7 8	the Court's convenience? THE COURT: The civil cases that were set for Tuesday are settled, so we have the entire day, I believe. Well, one moment. I have got one case	3 4 5 6 7 8	9:00 a.m, Tuesday morning. MR. KAUFMAN: Just for clarification, it's only on the issue of the Fred bus and on Maury? THE COURT: Those counts disturb the Court greatly.
4 5 6 7 8 9	the Court's convenience? THE COURT: The civil cases that were set for Tuesday are settled, so we have the entire day, I believe. Well, one moment. I have got one case settled and there was a second Yes,	3 4 5 6 7 8 9	9:00 a.m, Tuesday morning. MR. KAUFMAN: Just for clarification, it's only on the issue of the Fred bus and on Maury? THE COURT: Those counts
4 5 6 7 8 9	the Court's convenience? THE COURT: The civil cases that were set for Tuesday are settled, so we have the entire day, I believe. Well, one moment. I have got one case settled and there was a second Yes, we have the whole day.	3 4 5 6 7 8 9	9:00 a.m, Tuesday morning. MR. KAUFMAN: Just for clarification, it's only on the issue of the Fred bus and on Maury? THE COURT: Those counts disturb the Court greatly. Mr. Stahl, you needn't comment.
4 5 6 7 8 9 10	the Court's convenience? THE COURT: The civil cases that were set for Tuesday are settled, so we have the entire day, I believe. Well, one moment. I have got one case settled and there was a second Yes, we have the whole day. MR. KAUFMAN: I'm at the	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	9:00 a.m, Tuesday morning. MR. KAUFMAN: Just for clarification, it's only on the issue of the Fred bus and on Maury? THE COURT: Those counts disturb the Court greatly. Mr. Stahl, you needn't
4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	the Court's convenience? THE COURT: The civil cases that were set for Tuesday are settled, so we have the entire day, I believe. Well, one moment. I have got one case settled and there was a second Yes, we have the whole day.	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	9:00 a.m, Tuesday morning. MR. KAUFMAN: Just for clarification, it's only on the issue of the Fred bus and on Maury? THE COURT: Those counts disturb the Court greatly. Mr. Stahl, you needn't comment.
4 5 6 7 8 9 10	the Court's convenience? THE COURT: The civil cases that were set for Tuesday are settled, so we have the entire day, I believe. Well, one moment. I have got one case settled and there was a second Yes, we have the whole day. MR. KAUFMAN: I'm at the	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	9:00 a.m, Tuesday morning. MR. KAUFMAN: Just for clarification, it's only on the issue of the Fred bus and on Maury? THE COURT: Those counts disturb the Court greatly. Mr. Stahl, you needn't comment. MR. STAHL: Your Honor, will
4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	the Court's convenience? THE COURT: The civil cases that were set for Tuesday are settled, so we have the entire day, I believe. Well, one moment. I have got one case settled and there was a second Yes, we have the whole day. MR. KAUFMAN: I'm at the Court's disposal.	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	9:00 a.m, Tuesday morning. MR. KAUFMAN: Just for clarification, it's only on the issue of the Fred bus and on Maury? THE COURT: Those counts disturb the Court greatly. Mr. Stahl, you needn't comment. MR. STAHL: Your Honor, will we have an opportunity to put in any
4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	the Court's convenience? THE COURT: The civil cases that were set for Tuesday are settled, so we have the entire day, I believe. Well, one moment. I have got one case settled and there was a second Yes, we have the whole day. MR. KAUFMAN: I'm at the Court's disposal. MR. STAHL: Your Honor, I will	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	9:00 a.m, Tuesday morning. MR. KAUFMAN: Just for clarification, it's only on the issue of the Fred bus and on Maury? THE COURT: Those counts disturb the Court greatly. Mr. Stahl, you needn't comment. MR. STAHL: Your Honor, will we have an opportunity to put in any other papers?
4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	the Court's convenience? THE COURT: The civil cases that were set for Tuesday are settled, so we have the entire day, I believe. Well, one moment. I have got one case settled and there was a second Yes, we have the whole day. MR. KAUFMAN: I'm at the Court's disposal. MR. STAHL: Your Honor, I will be out of the country, but Mr. O'Connor	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	9:00 a.m, Tuesday morning. MR. KAUFMAN: Just for clarification, it's only on the issue of the Fred bus and on Maury? THE COURT: Those counts disturb the Court greatly. Mr. Stahl, you needn't comment. MR. STAHL: Your Honor, will we have an opportunity to put in any other papers? THE COURT: Yes, if you wish.
4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	the Court's convenience? THE COURT: The civil cases that were set for Tuesday are settled, so we have the entire day, I believe. Well, one moment. I have got one case settled and there was a second Yes, we have the whole day. MR. KAUFMAN: I'm at the Court's disposal. MR. STAHL: Your Honor, I will be out of the country, but Mr. O'Connor thinks he could do it if it were earlier	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	9:00 a.m, Tuesday morning. MR. KAUFMAN: Just for clarification, it's only on the issue of the Fred bus and on Maury? THE COURT: Those counts disturb the Court greatly. Mr. Stahl, you needn't comment. MR. STAHL: Your Honor, will we have an opportunity to put in any other papers? THE COURT: Yes, if you wish. I have read the depositions. I've read
4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	the Court's convenience? THE COURT: The civil cases that were set for Tuesday are settled, so we have the entire day, I believe. Well, one moment. I have got one case settled and there was a second Yes, we have the whole day. MR. KAUFMAN: I'm at the Court's disposal. MR. STAHL: Your Honor, I will be out of the country, but Mr. O'Connor thinks he could do it if it were earlier in the day.	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	9:00 a.m, Tuesday morning. MR. KAUFMAN: Just for clarification, it's only on the issue of the Fred bus and on Maury? THE COURT: Those counts disturb the Court greatly. Mr. Stahl, you needn't comment. MR. STAHL: Your Honor, will we have an opportunity to put in any other papers? THE COURT: Yes, if you wish. I have read the depositions. I've read your documents.
4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	the Court's convenience? THE COURT: The civil cases that were set for Tuesday are settled, so we have the entire day, I believe. Well, one moment. I have got one case settled and there was a second Yes, we have the whole day. MR. KAUFMAN: I'm at the Court's disposal. MR. STAHL: Your Honor, I will be out of the country, but Mr. O'Connor thinks he could do it if it were earlier in the day. THE COURT: We could make it	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	9:00 a.m, Tuesday morning. MR. KAUFMAN: Just for clarification, it's only on the issue of the Fred bus and on Maury? THE COURT: Those counts disturb the Court greatly. Mr. Stahl, you needn't comment. MR. STAHL: Your Honor, will we have an opportunity to put in any other papers? THE COURT: Yes, if you wish. I have read the depositions. I've read your documents. MR. STAHL: Yes, Your Honor. But when the petitioners put in whatever
4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	the Court's convenience? THE COURT: The civil cases that were set for Tuesday are settled, so we have the entire day, I believe. Well, one moment. I have got one case settled and there was a second Yes, we have the whole day. MR. KAUFMAN: I'm at the Court's disposal. MR. STAHL: Your Honor, I will be out of the country, but Mr. O'Connor thinks he could do it if it were earlier in the day. THE COURT: We could make it earlier in the day. Can we do that?	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	9:00 a.m, Tuesday morning. MR. KAUFMAN: Just for clarification, it's only on the issue of the Fred bus and on Maury? THE COURT: Those counts disturb the Court greatly. Mr. Stahl, you needn't comment. MR. STAHL: Your Honor, will we have an opportunity to put in any other papers? THE COURT: Yes, if you wish. I have read the depositions. I've read your documents. MR. STAHL: Yes, Your Honor. But when the petitioners put in whatever they are intending to put in, if we
4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	the Court's convenience? THE COURT: The civil cases that were set for Tuesday are settled, so we have the entire day, I believe. Well, one moment. I have got one case settled and there was a second Yes, we have the whole day. MR. KAUFMAN: I'm at the Court's disposal. MR. STAHL: Your Honor, I will be out of the country, but Mr. O'Connor thinks he could do it if it were earlier in the day. THE COURT: We could make it earlier in the day. Can we do that? MR. KAUFMAN: I'm here. THE COURT: Mr. Barnsback, is	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	9:00 a.m, Tuesday morning. MR. KAUFMAN: Just for clarification, it's only on the issue of the Fred bus and on Maury? THE COURT: Those counts disturb the Court greatly. Mr. Stahl, you needn't comment. MR. STAHL: Your Honor, will we have an opportunity to put in any other papers? THE COURT: Yes, if you wish. I have read the depositions. I've read your documents. MR. STAHL: Yes, Your Honor. But when the petitioners put in whatever they are intending to put in, if we could get that a little bit I know
4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	the Court's convenience? THE COURT: The civil cases that were set for Tuesday are settled, so we have the entire day, I believe. Well, one moment. I have got one case settled and there was a second Yes, we have the whole day. MR. KAUFMAN: I'm at the Court's disposal. MR. STAHL: Your Honor, I will be out of the country, but Mr. O'Connor thinks he could do it if it were earlier in the day. THE COURT: We could make it earlier in the day. Can we do that? MR. KAUFMAN: I'm here.	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	9:00 a.m, Tuesday morning. MR. KAUFMAN: Just for clarification, it's only on the issue of the Fred bus and on Maury? THE COURT: Those counts disturb the Court greatly. Mr. Stahl, you needn't comment. MR. STAHL: Your Honor, will we have an opportunity to put in any other papers? THE COURT: Yes, if you wish. I have read the depositions. I've read your documents. MR. STAHL: Yes, Your Honor. But when the petitioners put in whatever they are intending to put in, if we

	Page 54		Page 56
1	get it Monday morning, then we could	1	THE COURT: Mr. Stahl, would
2	have Monday during the day to analyze it	2	you note that, as well. The petition
3	and perhaps offer the Court our	3	for attorneys fees on behalf of the
4	observations.	4	petitioners is denied.
5	THE COURT: Can you get what-	5	MR. STAHL: Thank you, Your
6	ever you are talking about in to the	6	Honor.
7	respondents on Monday at a reasonable	7	MR. O'CONNOR: Your Honor, we
8	time?	8	have the three petitioners subpoenaed
9	MR. KAUFMAN: We will do	9	for today and we just want to clarify
10	everything we can, Your Honor, but I	10	that they will be available Tuesday? We
11	I will do everything I can.	11	may want to call them, depending on what
12	MR. SOKOL: Your Honor, may I	12	petitioners do with their documentary
13	ask just by way of clarification, did	13	evidence.
14	you find a certain congruity among all	14	THE COURT: All right. Could
15	the Council members, so that Mr.	15	you check with your clients
16	Withers' motion is neither stronger nor	16	MR. KAUFMAN: (interjecting) I
17	weaker than those of the others; is that	17	can proffer to the Court what we are
18	what you concluded?	18	going to produce.
19	THE COURT: Yes.	19	MR. STAHL: We would like to
20	MR. KAUFMAN: Your Honor, one	20	see it first, Your Honor.
21	more thing.	$\begin{bmatrix} 20 \\ 21 \end{bmatrix}$	THE COURT: Would you check
21	more timig.		THE COOK!. Would you once
	Page 55		Page 57
.		.,	
	THE COURT: Mr. Sokol, I'm	$\frac{1}{2}$	with your clients to see if they are
2	sorry. Can you be here on Tuesday?	2	available Tuesday morning.
3	MR. SOKOL: I thought you were	3	MR. KAUFMAN: Let me ask them.
4	telling me to be elsewhere.	4	Your Honor, they will be
5	THE COURT: You will be	5	here.
6	represented well.	6	THE COURT: All right; they
1 7	MR. SOKOL: I will ask Mr.		'11 1
		7	will be present.
8	Beck.	8	Mr. Stahl, you are going
9	Beck. MAYOR BECK: I would love to	8 9	Mr. Stahl, you are going to prepare the order in reference to
9 10	Beck. MAYOR BECK: I would love to have you here.	8 9 10	Mr. Stahl, you are going to prepare the order in reference to today, and Mr. Kaufman is going to give
9 10 11	Beck. MAYOR BECK: I would love to have you here. MR. SOKOL: Thank you.	8 9 10 11	Mr. Stahl, you are going to prepare the order in reference to today, and Mr. Kaufman is going to give you input as to what he specifically
9 10 11 12	Beck. MAYOR BECK: I would love to have you here. MR. SOKOL: Thank you. I will be here, Your	8 9 10 11 12	Mr. Stahl, you are going to prepare the order in reference to today, and Mr. Kaufman is going to give you input as to what he specifically wants in reference to Count 17 I'm
9 10 11 12 13	Beck. MAYOR BECK: I would love to have you here. MR. SOKOL: Thank you. I will be here, Your Honor.	8 9 10 11 12 13	Mr. Stahl, you are going to prepare the order in reference to today, and Mr. Kaufman is going to give you input as to what he specifically wants in reference to Count 17 I'm sorry Count 11.
9 10 11 12 13 14	Beck. MAYOR BECK: I would love to have you here. MR. SOKOL: Thank you. I will be here, Your Honor. MR. STAHL: They're with the	8 9 10 11 12 13 14	Mr. Stahl, you are going to prepare the order in reference to today, and Mr. Kaufman is going to give you input as to what he specifically wants in reference to Count 17 I'm sorry Count 11. MR. KAUFMAN: Yes, Your Honor.
9 10 11 12 13 14 15	Beck. MAYOR BECK: I would love to have you here. MR. SOKOL: Thank you. I will be here, Your Honor. MR. STAHL: They're with the young group again, Your Honor.	8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	Mr. Stahl, you are going to prepare the order in reference to today, and Mr. Kaufman is going to give you input as to what he specifically wants in reference to Count 17 I'm sorry Count 11. MR. KAUFMAN: Yes, Your Honor. MR. STAHL: We will do that
9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	Beck. MAYOR BECK: I would love to have you here. MR. SOKOL: Thank you. I will be here, Your Honor. MR. STAHL: They're with the young group again, Your Honor. MR. KAUFMAN: Your Honor, a	8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	Mr. Stahl, you are going to prepare the order in reference to today, and Mr. Kaufman is going to give you input as to what he specifically wants in reference to Count 17 I'm sorry Count 11. MR. KAUFMAN: Yes, Your Honor. MR. STAHL: We will do that promptly, Your Honor, in conjunction
9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	Beck. MAYOR BECK: I would love to have you here. MR. SOKOL: Thank you. I will be here, Your Honor. MR. STAHL: They're with the young group again, Your Honor. MR. KAUFMAN: Your Honor, a point of clarification? Have you ruled	8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	Mr. Stahl, you are going to prepare the order in reference to today, and Mr. Kaufman is going to give you input as to what he specifically wants in reference to Count 17 I'm sorry Count 11. MR. KAUFMAN: Yes, Your Honor. MR. STAHL: We will do that promptly, Your Honor, in conjunction with Mr. Sokol.
9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	Beck. MAYOR BECK: I would love to have you here. MR. SOKOL: Thank you. I will be here, Your Honor. MR. STAHL: They're with the young group again, Your Honor. MR. KAUFMAN: Your Honor, a point of clarification? Have you ruled against us on attorneys fees? I'm not	8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	Mr. Stahl, you are going to prepare the order in reference to today, and Mr. Kaufman is going to give you input as to what he specifically wants in reference to Count 17 I'm sorry Count 11. MR. KAUFMAN: Yes, Your Honor. MR. STAHL: We will do that promptly, Your Honor, in conjunction with Mr. Sokol. THE COURT: Let me ask, other
9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	Beck. MAYOR BECK: I would love to have you here. MR. SOKOL: Thank you. I will be here, Your Honor. MR. STAHL: They're with the young group again, Your Honor. MR. KAUFMAN: Your Honor, a point of clarification? Have you ruled against us on attorneys fees? I'm not sure whether you did that or not.	8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	Mr. Stahl, you are going to prepare the order in reference to today, and Mr. Kaufman is going to give you input as to what he specifically wants in reference to Count 17 I'm sorry Count 11. MR. KAUFMAN: Yes, Your Honor. MR. STAHL: We will do that promptly, Your Honor, in conjunction with Mr. Sokol. THE COURT: Let me ask, other than the issue as to sanctions, if that
9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	Beck. MAYOR BECK: I would love to have you here. MR. SOKOL: Thank you. I will be here, Your Honor. MR. STAHL: They're with the young group again, Your Honor. MR. KAUFMAN: Your Honor, a point of clarification? Have you ruled against us on attorneys fees? I'm not sure whether you did that or not. THE COURT: I haven't. I am.	8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	Mr. Stahl, you are going to prepare the order in reference to today, and Mr. Kaufman is going to give you input as to what he specifically wants in reference to Count 17 I'm sorry Count 11. MR. KAUFMAN: Yes, Your Honor. MR. STAHL: We will do that promptly, Your Honor, in conjunction with Mr. Sokol. THE COURT: Let me ask, other than the issue as to sanctions, if that in fact, concludes this matter?
9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	Beck. MAYOR BECK: I would love to have you here. MR. SOKOL: Thank you. I will be here, Your Honor. MR. STAHL: They're with the young group again, Your Honor. MR. KAUFMAN: Your Honor, a point of clarification? Have you ruled against us on attorneys fees? I'm not sure whether you did that or not.	8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	Mr. Stahl, you are going to prepare the order in reference to today, and Mr. Kaufman is going to give you input as to what he specifically wants in reference to Count 17 I'm sorry Count 11. MR. KAUFMAN: Yes, Your Honor. MR. STAHL: We will do that promptly, Your Honor, in conjunction with Mr. Sokol. THE COURT: Let me ask, other than the issue as to sanctions, if that

Page 58	
<u> </u>	Page 60
1 may we may I need to speak with my 1 receive no less.	
2 clients, but we might approach Your 2 Whatever the motive	ation,
Honor with a motion to reconsider on 3 whatever the reason, it is time	e for our
4 Count 11. 4 public officials to compromis	se or dis-
5 THE COURT: All right. Does 5 cuss their differences; compro	
6 that conclude, at least for today, all 6 they can and appropriately dis	
7 matters that should have been considered 7 they have to.	C
8 today, Mr. Kaufman? 8 I would hope that, he	ope
9 MR. KAUFMAN: For today; yes, 9 that, one year hence we don't	•
10 Your Honor. 10 this courtroom doing something	
THE COURT: Have a seat, 11 to what has occurred today. A	_
gentlemen. Have a seat, Mr. Kaufman. 12 frankly, on behalf of the citize	
MR. KAUFMAN: Yes, Your Honor. 13 this community, I sincerely ho	•
14 THE COURT: This Court 14 you will not wish and will tak	
frankly, because of a Saturday newspaper 15 steps that are necessary so that	
article and not because litigation had 16 don't end up here one year her	
been filed has reviewed each and 17 Court is adjourned to	
every e-mail that was sent from one 18 9:00 a.m. Monday morning.	
19 Council person to another. This Court 19 MR. O'CONNOR: Tue	esday
has read numerous briefs and motions, 20 morning, Your Honor?	J
21 and I want to personally thank counsel 21 THE COURT: No, cou	art is
Page 59	Page 61
1 for what I'm going to call keeping the 1 adjourned until 9:00 a.m. Monday	
2 politics by and large there were a 2 morning.	
few exceptions out of these proceed- 3 MR. KAUFMAN: Thank you	ou. Your
4 ings. 4 Honor.	04, 1041
5 This Court negated by its 5 MR. SOKOL: And we will be	he
6 ruling the first nine counts of this 6 here on Tuesday, Your Honor.	50
7 petition. But the information that was 7 THE COURT: Thank you, N	Mr.
8 contained in those e-mails which dealt 8 Sokol.	
9 with those first nine counts caused this 9	
10 Court a great deal of concern, and I am 10 HEARING CONCLUDED A	AT 4:05 P.M.
11 not going to be specific.	
12 The citizens of this 12	
13 community have been very fortunate in 13	
14 reference to their elected leaders. 14	
15 Last year we appeared here and the Court 15	
16 made a statement that it was time to 16	
17 come together and, in essence, for the 17	
18 good of this community move on and do 18	
19 what was best for this community. It is 19	
20 still time for that to occur. In fact, 20	
1 20 Still tille for that to occur. In fact,	
21 the citizens of this community should 21	

	Page 62	10000
1	CERTIFICATE OF COURT REPORTER	200
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	I, Ann B. DeShazo, hereby certify that I, first being duly sworn, was the Court Reporter in the Circuit Court of the City of Fredericksburg, Virginia, on December 13, 2002, at the time of the hearing herein. I further certify that the foregoing excerpt is a complete and accurate record of the proceedings herein, constituting Volume II, of the case entitled Gordon Shelton, et al, Petitioners, vs. Bill Beck, Mayor, et al, Respondents. Given under my hand this 14th day of December, 2002. Ann B. DeShazo Court Reporter & Notary Public	
		r refler to the control to the control to
		100 (100 pp.) 200 pp.

ab 8 61 63 314 4116 47:18 absorber 27:3 absorbed 45:3					1
## absorbed 14.5 ## abs	\mathbf{A}	apparent 47:7	basis 22:7 34:5 36:4	Celebrate 39:5	committees 49:4
arylis shoreines 23:3 absorbed 14:5 absorbing 30:8 absorbed 14:5 absorbing 30:8 absorbed 14:5 absorbing 30:8 absorbed 14:5 apply 2:0 37:18 absorbing 30:8 appropriate 52:9 accomplish 4:415 accomplish 4	able 8:16 33:14 41:16		43:11,20		l l
absence 5.25 applicable 37:11 applicable 37:11 absolutely 32:19 33:21 absorbed 14:15 absolutely 32:19 33:21 absorbed 14:3 applies 11:3 37:8 approach 7:13 10:17 absorbed 3:18 applicable 37:18 approach 7:13 10:17 appropriate 52:9		appeared 59:15	Bates 44:10	certainly 25:6	37:17
abso 19-16 absolutely 32-19 33-21 absorbed 1445 applie 37-38 apply 92-03 37-18 apple 37-18		applicable 37:11	Beck 1:7 3:1 10:7 14:4	CERTIFICATE 62:1	communications 4:14
absorberl 41-5 absorberl 32-1 absorberl 41-5 absorberl 32-1 absorberl 32-1 accomplish 44-15 appropriate 52-0 appropriate 5		applied 7:12,19 8:11	38:20 49:5,5 55:8,9	certify 62:3,8	5:12 11:14
absorbed 44-5 absorbing 39-8 absorbing 39-18 absorbing 3			62:12	CH 1:5	community 28:7,11
absurbing 39-8 approprials 2-9 appropriate 52-9 accomplish 44-15 accomplis	-	apply 9:20 37:18	beginning 37:14	chance 46:21	34:20 59:13,18,19,21
Sanused 34-13 abusive 25-11 abusive 25-13 abusive 25-13 abusive 25-13 abusive 25-13 accomplish 44-15 accomplish 44-16 actons 8.4 actins 8.4 argument 7:3-19.3 argument 7:3-19.3 alter 13-12 addressed 38-6 adjective 27:19 addressed 38-6 adjective 27:19 addressed 38-6 adjective 27:19 addressed 38-6 adjective 27:19 advertisement 25-14 admissible 22-1 advertisement 25-14 advertisement 25-14 advertisement 25-14 attention 9-0 36-1 affidavit 20-19 31:18 affernoon 40-21 affidavit 20-19 31:18 affernoon 40-21 afternoon 40-21 afternoon 40-21 afternoon 40-21 accomplish 44-1 accomplish 44-15 accomplish 44-1 accomplish 44-1 accomplish 44-15 accomplish 44-15	1		behalf 2:2,4 3:1,12	changed 43:2	60:13
abusive 25:1 accomplished 9:10 accurate 62:9 appropriately 0:06 accumplished 9:10 accurate 62:9 appropriately 0:06 accurate 62:9 alterion 3:13 accurate 62:9 alterion 3:13 accurate 62:9 alterion 3:14 accurate 62:9 alterion 0:15 accurate 62:9 alterion 3:14 accurate 62:10 alterion 3:15 accurate 62:10 alterion 3:16 accurate 62:10 accurate 6	· -	·	48:17 56:3 60:12	charge 33:1,1	compiling 44:4
accomplish 44:15 accomplishe 42:15 accomplishe 62:9 Act 94:33:20 activate 62:9 Act 94:33:20 activate 62:9 Act 94:33:20 activate 23:13 activate 93:9 activities 23:13 25:15 34:19 add 14:18 47:7 additional 45:9 add 14:18 47:7 additional 45:9		appropri 38:3	belief 42:2 47:4,19	charged 28:4 29:8	complete 62:9
accomplished 9:10 accurate 62:9 are as 35:13 arguing 18:19 36:15 48:4 argument 7:3 19:3 arguing 18:19 36:15 48:4 actively 39:9 add 14:18 47:7 additional 45:9 addjective 27:19 additional 45:9 addjective 27:19 adjourned 60:17 61:1 adjourned 6			believe 7:15 21:20 51:6	34:21 36:3	compounded 45:6
accurate 6.9 area 35.13 arguing 18.19 36.15 dest 37.1 59.19 best 37.1 59.19 charlotte 10.9 18.15 conceded 14.16 actions 8.4 argument 73.19.3 joint actively 39.9 activities 23.13 25.15 34.19 add 14.18 47.7 additional 45.9 addressed 88.6 adjective 27.19 31.11 40.14 45.2 adjective 27.11 31.1.2 admissible 22.1 admissible	-		believed 38:3,15 39:1	charges 26:3,21	compromise 60:4,5
Act 94 33:20 action 8:4 action 8:4 action 8:4 activités 23:13 25:15 34:19 add 14:18 47:7 additional 45:9 add 14:18 47:7 additional 45:9 adjourned 60:17-61:1 admissible 22:1 a			best 37:1 59:19	Charlotte 10:9 18:15	computer 16:11
acted 14:16 actions 8:4 argument 7:3 19:3 agrument 7:3 19:3 agrume		arguing 18:19 36:15	better 13:21 14:2	Charlottesville 52:4	con 28:1 48:5
actively 39.9 activity 49.9 activity 39.9 activity 39.9 activity 40.9 activity 40.9 activity 40.9 activity 40.9 activity 40.		0 0	Bev 5:16	chat 16:12	concede 10:4 17:12
actively 39:9 activities 23:13 25:15 58:16 salamed 18:4 saked 11:8 12:7 24:6 adjective 27:19 additional 45:9 adjective 27:19 adjourned 60:17 61:1 admissible 22:1 admissible 22:1 admissible 22:1 admissible 22:1 admissible 22:1 advertisement 25:14 advocate 17:4 affi 48:2 affernoon 40:21 agree 27:1 asile 32:1 aliegation 32:11 aliegation 32:11 allegation 32:11 allowed 31:6 33:15 and/or 23:18 B B acsist 18:15 back 6:18 31:89 49:10 briefing 11:9 12:8 9 b	l l	argument 7:3 19:3	beyond 6:4	cheaper 52:12	conceded 17:11
activities 23:13 25:15 34:19 34:19 34:19 34:14 18 47:7 additional 45:9 asked 11:8 12:7 24:6 ashamed 184 asked 11:8 12:7 24:6 25:18 27:11 31:1,2 adjourned 60:17 61:1 asking 36:7 39:13 admissible 22:1 admission 19:11 advertisement 25:14 advice 9:7 advocate 17:4 article 39:10 attention 9:6 36:1 atten					concern 59:10
34:19 add 14:18 47:7 askamed 18:4 askamed 18		article 31:1.8 32:7			concerned 7:20 36:9
add 14:18 47:7 additional 45:9 asked 11:8 12:7 24:6 25:18 27:11 31:1.2 25:18 27:11 31:1.2 adjourned 60:17 61:1 advertisement 25:14 admissible 22:1 admissible 23:1 advice 9:7 advocate 17:4 attention 9:6 36:1 attention 9:0 30:2 attention 9:				chill 35:8	
additional 45:9 addressed 38:6 25:18 27:11 31:12, asking 36:7 39:13 adjective 27:19 adjourned 60:17 61:1 admissible 22:1 asking 36:7 39:13 at 28:8 31:14 closen 12:19 concluded 16:2 43:19 boxe 33:18.21 boxes 33:18.21 brief 36:18 br				į.	conclude 58:6
addressed 38:6 adjective 27:19 addressed 38:6 adjective 27:19 asking 367 39:13 asking 367 39:13 admissible 22:1 admission 19:11 admission 19:11 advertisement 25:14 advertisement 25:12 advertisement 25:14 advertisement 25:12 avitentise 25:12 avitentisement 25:14 advertisement 25:14 advertisement 25:14 advertisement 25:12 avitentisement 25:12 avitentisement 25:12 avitentisement 25:12 avitentisement 25:12 avitentisement 25:12			l '		1
adjective 27:19 adjourned 60:17 61:1 addressible 22:1 admissible 23:1 advice 97 advocate 17:4 affidavit 20:19 31:18 afternoon 40:21 agency 17:8 agree 27:1 26:8 50:20 52:9 altorneys 19:16 26:6 agree 27:1 altorneys 88 9:10 17:1 26:8 50:20 52:9 altorneys 19:16 26:6 agree 27:1 altorneys 19:16 26:6 burg 13:21 burg 1					1
adjourned 60:17 61:1 admissible 22:1 admissible 22:1 admissible 22:1 admissible 22:1 advertisement 25:14 advertesment 25:14 affidavit 20:19 31:18 afternoon 40:21 affidavit 20:19 31:18 afternoon 40:21 affidavit 20:19 31:18 afternoon 40:21 agency 17:8 agency 17:8 agency 17:8 agency 17:8 agency 17:8 apple 27:1 aisle 32:1 alti-15,8 62:11,12 albeit 8:17 18:21 agenty 17:14 26:5 Algest 13:14 allegat 49:18 allegation 32:11 allegations 21:11 29:12 allegat 21:1 allegations 21:11 29:12 allegat 21:1 allegations 21:11 29:12 allegat 15:1 29:12 allegat 15:1 29:12 and/or 23:18 B B B B B B B B B B B B Circuit 1:2 62:5 briefings 11:6 brief					concludes 57:20
Assignment 38:17 Assignment 38:18 brief 36:18 brief 36:14 cited 40:94:17 citited 19:22:89 brief 36:18 brief 36:12 city 19:12:8,9 briefing 11:9 12:8,9 briefing 11:9 12:8,9 briefing 11:9 12:8,9 briefing 11:9 12:8,9 briefin					
admission 19:11 advertisement 25:14 advice 9:7 affi 48:2 affid 48:2 affid 48:2 affernoon 40:21 agency 17:8 agree 27:1 allega 27:14 allega 49:18 allega 22:13 allega 22:13 allega 22:13 analogy 39:10 amilt 65:33 60:18 analogy 39:10 Ann 62:3,17 Anne 3:15 analogy 39:10 Anne 62:3,17 Anne 3:15 answer 25:20 27:12 Anthony 2:5 anybody 12:18,19 13:14 anymore 3:3:10 astorishingly 17:10 astonishingly 17:10 attention 9:6 36:1 briefs 88:20 briefing 11:9 12:8,9 briefings 11:6 briefs 88:20 briefing 11:9 12:8,9 briefings 11:6 briefs 88:20 brieg 26:14 40:9 41:10 bully 23:14 bully 23:14 bully 23:14 bull 23:14 bully 33:12 citizens 13:20 19:6 citizens 13:21 14:6 citiz				Circuit 1:2 62:5	congruity 54:14
advertisement 25:14 advertesement 25:14 available 32:10 advertesement 25:14 available 33:1 advertesement 25:14 advertesement 25:16 built 23:1 advertesement 25:16	1)		circum 22:20	, ,
advice 9:7 advocate 17:4 affid 48:2 affid 48:2 affid 48:2 agree 27:1 aise 32:1 allegation 2:11 allegation 3:15 allegat 15:1 22:8 31:17 allowed 31:6 33:15 analogy 39:10 analyze 54:2 and/or 23:18 Ann 62:3.17 Ann 63:15 answer 25:20 27:12 Anthony 2:5 anybody 12:118,19 13:14 anymore 33:10 ansed 42:2 anybody 12:118,19 13:14 anymore 33:10 ansed 42:2 anybody 12:118,19 13:14 anymore 33:5 afternoon 40:21 attention 9:6 36:1 built 33:1 built 23:14 built 23:14 built 23:14 built 20:19 2:12 constituency 28:12 30:9 constitute 16:20 17:2,5 constituting 62:10 constitute 16:20 17:2,5 constituting 62:10 constituting 62:10 constitute 16:20 17:2,5 constituting 62:10 constituting 62:10 constitute 16:20 17:2,5 constituting 62:10 constituti					,
advocate 17:4 affi 48:2 affid attorney 8:8 9:10 17:1 agency 17:8 agree 27:1 aisle 32:1 aits 62:11,12 aits 62:12,13 36:6,10 55:18 56:3 audacity 17:14 26:5 albeit 8:17 18:12 albeit 8:17 18:12 alleted 13:6 Alexandria 2:16 Alice 15:14 allegad ons 21:11 allegations 21:11 allegations 21:11 29:12 alleged 15:1 22:8 31:17 allowed 31:6 33:15 analogy 39:10 analyze 54:2 and/or 23:18 Ann 62:3,17 Anne 3:15 answer 25:20 27:12 Anthony 2:5 anybody 12:18,19 13:14 anymore 33:10 answer 25:20 27:12 Anthony 2:5 anybody 12:18,19 13:14 anymore 33:10 answer 25:20 27:12 Anthony 2:5 anybody 12:18,19 13:14 anymore 33:10 answer 25:20 27:12 Anthony 2:5 anybody 12:18,19 13:14 anymore 33:10 answer 25:20 27:12 bring 26:14 40:9 41:10 built 33:1 built 23:14 built 22:2 burg 13:21 burden 22:2 burg 13:21 burden 22:2 burg 13:21 city 1:2,8 13:20 19:6 citizens 13:21 14:6 bsuilt 33:1 citizens 13:21 14:6 bsuilt 33:1 citizens 13:21 14:6 built 33:1 built 33:1 built 33:1 built 23:14 constitute 16:20 17:2,5 constitute 16:20 17:2,5 constitute 16:20 17:2,5 constitute 16:20 17:2,5 constituting 62:10 constitute 19:2:1 claim 20:12 contained 5:4 58:7 constitute 16:20 17:2,5 constitute 16:20 17:2,5 constitute 16:20 17:2,5 constitute 16:20 17:2,5 constitute 16:2				1	1
affi 48:2 affidavit 20:19 31:18 afternoon 40:21 agency 17:8 agree 27:1 aisle 32:1 all: 56:20:11,12 allered 13:6 Alexandria 2:16 Alexandria 2:16 Alexandria 2:16 allegad 49:18 allegad 15:1 22:8 31:17 allowed 31:6 33:15 alleged 15:1 22:8 31:17 allowed 31:6 33:15 analogy 39:10 analyze 54:2 and/or 23:18 Ann 62:3.17 Anne 3:15 answer 25:20 27:12 Anthony 2:5 anybody 12:18,19 13:14 anymore 33:10 andia 48:2 aftention 9:6 36:1 attention 9:6 36:1 built 33:1 built 23:1 built 33:1 built 23:1 built 21:2, 18:12 coit 26:13 3:11 clair 30:12:2 claim 20:12 claim 20:12 claim 20:12 claim 20:12 claim 20:12 claim 20:12 cl					considered 5:4 58:7
affidavit 20:19 31:18 afternoon 40:21 agree 27:1 aisle 32:1 al 1:5,8 62:11,12 albeit 8:17 18:12 allegation 32:11 allegations 21:11 29:12 allega 22:13 allegad 15:1 22:8 31:17 allowed 31:6 33:15 analogy 39:10 analyze 54:2 andy or 23:18 Ann 62:3,17 Anne 3:15 analogy 39:10 analyze 54:2 andy or 23:18 Anne 3:15 analogy 39:10 analyze 54:2 andy or 23:18 Anne 3:15 analogy 39:10 analyze 54:2 andy or 23:18 Anne 3:15 analogy 39:10 analyze 54:2 andy or 23:18 Anne 3:15 analogy 39:10 analyze 54:2 andy or 23:18 Anne 3:15 analogy 39:10 analyze 54:2 andy or 23:18 Anne 3:15 analogy 39:10 analyze 54:2 andy or 23:18 Anne 3:15 analogy 39:10 analyze 54:2 andy or 23:18 Anne 3:15 analogy 39:10 analyze 54:2 annybody 12:18,19 13:14 anymore 33:10 analogy 38:5 anybody 12:18,19 13:14 anymore 33:10 anolog 43:5 basel 42:2 baseless 16:5 18:15 basel 42:2 baseless 16:5 18:15 basel 42:2 baseless 16:5 18:15 bully 23:14 burden 22:2 burg 13:21 burden 22:2 City 1:2,8 13:20 19:6 City 1:2,8 13:20 12 Continued 52:1 City 1:	l .			1	consistent 7:13 8:21
afternon 40:21 agency 17:8 agree 27:1 aisle 32:1 allega 21:1,12 albeit 8:17 18:12 allega 49:18 allega 49:18 allegation 32:11 allegation 32:12 andid 49:17 candid 49:17 capacity 17: continuous 34:3 contrary 15:6 continuous 34:3 continuous 47: claims 26:14 claim 20:12 claims 26:14 clarify 56:9 clarify 56:9 clarify 56:9 continuous 47: close 48:10 49:1.7, copic allega 21:13 copic allega 21:1			bully 23:14		
agency 17:8 bus 22:12.15,16 23:7 29:6,9,10,11,13,16 29:20 30:2,4 31:13 allegit 17:14:26:5 agency 17:8 adegit 17:8 adegit 17:8:12 adegit 17:8:12 allegit 17:8:12 allegit 18:12 al				T	
agree 27:1 aisle 32:1 al 1:5,8 62:11,12 albeit 8:17 18:12 albeit 8:17 18:12 albeit 8:17 18:12 allered 13:6 Alexandria 2:16 Alice 15:14 allegation 32:11 allegations 21:11 1 29:12 allegat 91:18 22:13 allegat 91:1 29:12 allegat 95:1 22:8 31:17 allowed 31:6 33:15 analogy 39:10 analyze 54:2 and/or 23:18 Ann 62:3,17 Anne 3:15 answer 25:20 27:12 Anthony 2:5 anybody 12:18,19 13:14 anymore 33:10 anymore 33:10 anymore 33:10 anymore 33:10 anicity 17:14 26:5 55:18 56:3 audacity 17:14 26:5 29:20 30:2,4 31:13 29:10,51:1,15 53:6 business 7:19 22:17 39:14 Claim 20:12 claim 20:14 claim 20:12 claim 20:14 claim 20:14 claim 20:14 claim 20:14 claim 20:14 claim 30:12 claim 20:14 claim 20					constitute 16:20 17:2,5
aisle 32:1 al 1:5,8 62:11,12 albeit 8:17 18:12 allerted 13:6 Alexandria 2:16 Alice 15:14 allega 49:18 allegation 32:11 allegations 21:11 29:12 allegat 15:1 22:8 31:17 allowed 31:6 33:15 analogy 39:10 analyze 54:2 and/or 23:18 Ann 62:3,17 Anne 3:15 answer 25:20 27:12 Anthony 2:5 anybody 12:18,19 13:14 anymore 33:10 anopo 43:5 Agust 29:14 26:5 August 29:14 31:8 42:13 audacity 17:14 26:5 August 29:14 31:8 42:13 31:13,14,20 42:6,8 42:12 43:2 49:19 50:11,15 53:6 business 7:19 22:17 39:14 29:6,9,10,11,13,16 29:20 30:2,4 31:13 31:13,14,20 42:6,8 42:12 43:2 49:19 50:11,15 53:6 business 7:19 22:17 39:14 C C C C 3:8 call 6:20 48:10 49:1,7, 56:11 59:1 called 32:10,17 calling 38:20 Cameron 5:16,21 called 32:10,17 capacity 1:7 capacit					
allegs 32:11 allegs 42:13 authority 17:14 26:5 Alge 49:18 allegs 49:18 allegs 49:18 allegs 49:18 allegs 49:18 allegs 22:13 allegs 15:1 22:8 31:17 allowed 31:6 33:15 analogy 39:10 analyze 54:2 and/or 23:18 Ann 62:3,17 Anne 3:15 answer 25:20 27:12 Anthony 2:5 anybody 12:18,19 13:14 anymore 33:10 anolo 43:5 Alicity 17:14 26:5 August 29:14 31:8 42:13 31:13,14,20 42:6,8 42:12 43:2 49:19 50:11,15 53:6 business 7:19 22:17 50:11,15 53:6 clearly 12:6 23:17 clearly 56:9 clear 8:5 50:19				civil 34:12 51:4	
albeit 8:17 18:12 alerted 13:6 Alexandria 2:16 Alice 15:14 allega 49:18 allegation 32:11 allegations 21:11 29:12 allega 22:13 alleged 15:1 22:8 31:17 allowed 31:6 33:15 analogy 39:10 analyze 54:2 and/or 23:18 Ann 62:3.17 Anne 3:15 answer 25:20 27:12 Anthony 2:5 anybody 12:18,19 13:14 anymore 33:10 anolo 43:5	1			claim 20:12	contained 59:8
alerted 13:6 Alexandria 2:16 Alice 15:14 allega 49:18 allegation 32:11 allegations 21:11 29:12 alleged 15:1 22:8 31:17 allowed 31:6 33:15 analogy 39:10 analyze 54:2 and/or 23:18 Ann 62:3,17 Anne 3:15 answer 25:20 27:12 Anthony 2:5 anybody 12:18,19 13:14 anymore 33:10 anology 43:5 Alexandria 2:16 Alexandria 2:16 Alice 15:14 authority 17:8 authentic 21:21 authority 17:8 based 42:12 42:13 42:13 42:13 42:13 42:13 42:13 42:13 42:13 42:13 42:13 42:13 42:13 42:13 42:13 42:12 43:2 49:19 50:11,15 53:6 business 7:19 22:17 50:11,15 53:6 business 7:19 22:17 clarify 56:9 clear 8:5 50:19 clearly 12:6 23:17 clients 45:13,17 56:15 close 47:15 close 47:15 copical 44:14 4:9 copical 4:4:14 4:9 copical 4:4:14 4:18 cop	1			claims 26:14	contend 11:1
Alexandria 2:16 Alice 15:14 allega 49:18 allegations 21:11 29:12 alleged 15:1 22:8 31:17 allowed 31:6 33:15 analogy 39:10 analyze 54:2 and/or 23:18 Ann 62:3,17 Anne 3:15 answer 25:20 27:12 Anthony 2:5 anybody 12:18,19 13:14 anymore 33:10 anymore 33:10 anymore 33:10 anolo 43:5]			clari 53:4	continue 35:7
Alice 15:14 allega 49:18 allegation 32:11 allegations 21:11 29:12 alleged 15:1 22:8 31:17 allowed 31:6 33:15 analogy 39:10 analyze 54:2 and/or 23:18 Ann 62:3,17 Anne 3:15 answer 25:20 27:12 Anthony 2:5 anybody 12:18,19 13:14 anymore 33:10 anolog 43:5 Alice 15:14 allegation 32:11 allegations 21:11 29:12 avoid 9:16 award 19:15 26:6 36:6 aware 4:13,17 9:3 17:9 27:14 a.m 1:16 53:3 60:18 B B Called 32:10,17 calling 38:20 Cameron 5:16,21 candid 49:17 capacity 1:7 coming 41:6 comment 53:10 continuous 34:3 contrary 15:6 conversation 22:16 copies 48:2,7 correct 27:3 correctly 11:4 correspondence 13:17 costs 36:8,10 Council 7:6 9:13 11:7 12:14 13:2 17:3 22:18 27:21 39:12 44:18 46:13 54:15 58:19 Councilman 4:11 5:3 29:18,19 30:4 31:16 councilmen 34:4				clarification 54:13	continues 4:7
allega 49:18 allega 49:18 allegation 32:11 allegations 21:11 29:12 allege 22:13 alleged 15:1 22:8 31:17 allowed 31:6 33:15 analogy 39:10 analyze 54:2 and/or 23:18 Ann 62:3,17 Anne 3:15 answer 25:20 27:12 Anthony 2:5 anybody 12:18,19 13:14 anymore 33:10 anolog 43:5 Anologo 49:18 Barnsback 2:13 51:20 anologo 43:5 Avenue 3:7 avoid 9:16 avard 19:15 26:6 36:6 award 19:15 26:6 36:6 convenience 51:3 conversation 22:16 copies 48:2,7 correctly 11:4 correct 27:3 correctly 11:4 come 30:17,17 40:15 40:18,20 41:1,18 47:21 59:17 coming 41:6 comment 53:10 comment 53:10 comment 53:10 comment 53:10 comment 53:10 comment 39:14 comments 39:6 contrary 15:6 convenience 51:3 conversation 22:16 copies 48:2,7 correct 27:3 correctly 11:4 correct 27:3 correctly 1:4 correspondence 13:17 come 30:17,17 40:15 coming 41:6 comment 53:10 comment 53:10 comment 53:10 comment 53:10 comment 53:10 comment 39:14 comments 39:14 comments 39:14 comments 39:14 comments 39:14					continuous 34:3
Allegation 32:11 allegations 21:11 29:12 award 19:15 26:6 36:6 aware 4:13,17 9:3 17:9 27:14 a.m 1:16 53:3 60:18 analogy 39:10 analyze 54:2 and/or 23:18 Ann 62:3,17 Anne 3:15 answer 25:20 27:12 Anthony 2:5 anybody 12:18,19 13:14 anymore 33:10 anolo 43:5 Avenue 3:7 avoid 9:16 award 19:15 26:6 36:6 aware 4:13,17 9:3 17:9 27:14 a.m 1:16 53:3 60:18 61:1 B C C 3:8 call 6:20 48:10 49:1,7,7 56:11 59:1 called 32:10,17 calling 38:20 Cameron 5:16,21 candid 49:17 capacity 1:7 capac			1		contrary 15:6
allegations 21:11 29:12 allegations 21:11 29:12 allege 22:13 alleged 15:1 22:8 31:17 allowed 31:6 33:15 analogy 39:10 analyze 54:2 and/or 23:18 Ann 62:3,17 Anne 3:15 answer 25:20 27:12 Anthony 2:5 anybody 12:18,19 13:14 anymore 33:10 anolog 43:5		f .			
allegations 21:11 29:12 allega 22:13 alleged 15:1 22:8 31:17 allowed 31:6 33:15 analogy 39:10 analyze 54:2 and/or 23:18 Ann 62:3,17 Anne 3:15 answer 25:20 27:12 Anthony 2:5 anybody 12:18,19 13:14 anymore 33:10 analogy 43:5 Anne 3:15 analogy 39:10 bad 11:14 48:18 Barnsback 2:13 51:20 analogy 43:5 Anne 3:15 baseless 16:5 18:15 Anne 3:15 baseless 16:5 18:15 Anne 3:15 baseless 16:5 18:15 Anne 3:16 clients 45:13,17 56:15 close 47:15 close 47:15 closing 7:2 19:3 correctly 11:4 correspondence 13:17 correct 27:3 correctly 11:4 correspondence 13:17 correspondence 13:17 correct 27:3 correctly 11:4 correct 27:3 correctly 11			C		r .
allege 22.13 alleged 15:1 22:8 31:17 allowed 31:6 33:15 analogy 39:10 analyze 54:2 and/or 23:18 Ann 62:3,17 Anne 3:15 answer 25:20 27:12 Anthony 2:5 anybody 12:18,19 13:14 anymore 33:10 analogy 39:10 baseless 16:5 18:15 analogy 39:10 callege 22.10,17 calling 38:20 called 32:10,17 calling 38:20 clue 13:16 correct 27:3 correctly 11:4 correspondence 13:17 calling 38:20 clue 13:16 correspondence 13:17 candid 49:17 capacity 1:7 capacity 1:7 capacity 1:7 capacity 1:7 care 9:7 13:8 care 9:7 13:8 care 9:7 13:8 care 18:6 19:9 27:6 say 39:14 anymore 33:10 analogy 43:5 baseless 16:5 18:15 case 51:4 comments 39:6 comments 39:6 comment 34:4			C 3:8		l I
allowed 31:6 33:15 analogy 39:10 analyze 54:2 and/or 23:18 Ann 62:3,17 Anne 3:15 answer 25:20 27:12 Anthony 2:5 anybody 12:18,19 13:14 anymore 33:10 analogy 39:10 about 13:6 53:3 60:18 27:14 a.m 1:16 53:3 60:18 called 32:10,17 calling 38:20 Cameron 5:16,21 candid 49:17 capacity 1:7 capacity 1:7 care 9:7 13:8 carefully 39:13 case 18:6 19:9 27:6 34:14 36:21 37:8,14 anymore 33:10 anolo 43:5 correct 27:3 costs 36:8,10 Council 7:6 9:13 11:7 12:14 13:2 17:3 22:18 27:21 39:12 comment 53:10 comment 53:10 comment 53:10 comment 53:10 comment 39:14 comments 39:6 Comment 38:16 Comment 38:16			call 6:20 48:10 49:1,7,7	•	
analogy 39:10 analogy 39:10 analyze 54:2 and/or 23:18 Ann 62:3,17 Anne 3:15 answer 25:20 27:12 Anthony 2:5 anybody 12:18,19 13:14 anymore 33:10 analogy 39:10 a.m 1:16 53:3 60:18 61:1 Calling 38:20 Cameron 5:16,21 candid 49:17 capacity 1:7 capacity 1:7 capacity 1:7 care 9:7 13:8 carefully 39:13 case 18:6 19:9 27:6 anybody 12:18,19 13:14 anymore 33:10 anolo 43:5 called 32:10,17 calling 38:20 Code 20:14 34:9 come 30:17,17 40:15 40:18,20 41:1,18 47:21 59:17 coming 41:6 comment 53:10 comment 53:10 comment 53:10 comment 53:10 comment 39:14 comments 39:6 Commit 38:16 Comment 38:16	1 0				correct 27:3
analogy 39.10 analyze 54:2 and/or 23:18 Ann 62:3,17 Anne 3:15 answer 25:20 27:12 Anthony 2:5 anybody 12:18,19 13:14 anymore 33:10 analogy 39.10 Calling 38:20 Cameron 5:16,21 candid 49:17 capacity 1:7 capacity 1:7 care 9:7 13:8 carefully 39:13 case 18:6 19:9 27:6 anybody 12:18,19 13:14 anymore 33:10 anolo 43:5 Code 20:14 34:9 come 30:17,17 40:15 40:18,20 41:1,18 47:21 59:17 coming 41:6 comment 53:10 comment 53:10 commenting 39:14 commenting 39:14 comments 39:6 Councilman 4:11 5:3 29:18,19 30:4 31:16 councilmen 34:4					correctly 11:4
and/or 23:18 Ann 62:3,17 Anne 3:15 answer 25:20 27:12 Anthony 2:5 anybody 12:18,19 13:14 anymore 33:10 anolo 43:5 Anne 3:15 back 6:18 31:8,9 49:10 back 6:18 31					
Ann 62:3,17 Anne 3:15 answer 25:20 27:12 Anthony 2:5 anybody 12:18,19 13:14 anymore 33:10 anseless 16:5 18:15 Anni 62:3,17 back 6:18 31:8,9 49:10 back 6:18 31:					
Anno 2:3,17		В			Council 7:6 9:13 11:7
Anthory 2:5 anybody 12:18,19 13:14 anymore 33:10 anolo 43:5 back 6:18 31:8,9 49:10 bad 11:14 48:18 care 9:7 13:8 care 9:7 13:8 carefully 39:13 case 18:6 19:9 27:6 34:14 36:21 37:8,14 38:1 51:8 62:11 cases 51:4 care 9:7 13:8 carefully 39:13 coming 41:6 comment 53:10 comment 53:10 comment 39:14 comments 39:6 Commit 38:16 councilman 4:11 5:3 29:18,19 30:4 31:16 councilmen 34:4	1				
Anthony 2:5 anybody 12:18,19 13:14 anymore 33:10 anplo 43:5 had 11:14 48:18 Barnsback 2:13 51:20 52:1 based 42:2 baseless 16:5 18:15 carefully 39:13 case 18:6 19:9 27:6 34:14 36:21 37:8,14 comment 53:10 comment 53:10 comment 39:14 comments 39:6 Commit 38:16 councilman 4:11 5:3 29:18,19 30:4 31:16 councilmen 34:4				The state of the s	
Anthony 2:3 anybody 12:18,19 13:14 anymore 33:10 apolo 43:5 Barmsback 2:13 51:20 52:1 based 42:2 baseless 16:5 18:15 Case 18:6 19:9 27:6 34:14 36:21 37:8,14 comment 53:10 comment 53:10 comment 39:14 comments 39:6 Commit 38:16 Commit 38:16 Commit 38:16	N. Control of the Con				
anybody 12:18,19 13:14 anymore 33:10 apolo 43:5 52:1 based 42:2 baseless 16:5 18:15 34:14 36:21 37:8,14 38:1 51:8 62:11 cases 51:4 commenting 39:14 comments 39:6 Commit 38:16 Councilman 4:11 5:3 29:18,19 30:4 31:16 councilmen 34:4					
anymore 33:10 apolo 43:5 based 42:2 baseless 16:5 18:15 cases 51:4 comments 39:6 Commit 38:16 29:18,19 30:4 31:16 councilmen 34:4	1 -	1	I .		
anymore 33:10 apolo 43:5 baseless 16:5 18:15 cases 51:4 Commit 38:16 councilmen 34:4			1		
3D010 45.5					
	apoto 45:5			E .	
			and the chart can be considered that the case of the con-		

21:8 41:11 50:16,19	dealt 59:8	dissemi 34:19	examination 21:1	55:18 56:3
58:21	December 1:15 62:6,14	dissemination 34:18	examined 41:14	fication 53:5
count 4:15,16 8:17,18	decide 10:15 14:10	disturb 53:8	exceptions 12:2 59:3	figure 42:12
9:3 10:3 15:19 16:8	44:14 46:8	document 8:16 9:19	excerpt 1:14 62:9	file 26:3 36:20
19:9 22:13 23:18	decided 8:18,19	13:13 44:8	exchange 16:19 17:2	filed 20:17 21:5 27:9,12
28:17,18 29:1,6,9	decision 5:15	documentary 56:12	17:18	27:16 32:6 45:15
33:4,4 34:2 38:21	decisions 44:16	documents 48:6 53:16	exclude 34:3	46:19,21 47:13 58:17
39:3 40:6 43:17,18	defamation 34:16 37:7	Dogwood 28:18,19	excluded 28:17 31:18	files 13:14
44:3 47:9 57:12,13	defective 15:21 16:3	42:14 43:13	excluding 22:17	filing 20:11 27:17 47:8
58:4	defend 19:8	doing 8:11 13:16 45:17	excuse 30:3	finally 23:20
country 51:14	defending 26:19	60:10	expect 13:3 48:3	find 14:14 15:8 20:3
counts 14:21 18:15	deference 16:18 19:18	Dr 3:2 39:3 40:17	expected 49:2	35:19 42:4 50:14
20:12 21:2 23:17	definition 17:21	41:16 46:9	explain 4:20	54:14
28:2 29:2 33:17 36:2	deleterious 35:16	draft 46:13	explanation 9:12	finding 5:19
37:9,20,21 38:9 40:5	deliberately 22:17	dramatic 22:14 29:4	extend 37:12	finds 6:12
50:9 53:7 59:6,9	25:12	drawn 36:1	extended 37:5	fine 19:15 47:12,15
couple 23:5 32:15	demurrers 24:2 37:16	drop 24:1	extensively 28:10	firm 19:8
45:21	denied 20:6 26:7 56:4	dropped 32:18	extent 5:18	first 11:5 17:7 31:1
course 21:18 46:20	dents 17:16	duced 41:13	extra 48:1,7	33:1 37:3,17 38:6
court 1:2 4:1,1,5,9,21	departure 29:16	due 16:18 19:18	e-mail 8:14,15 11:14	56:20 59:6,9 62:4
5:13 6:2,6,9,11,17	depending 56:11	duly 62:4	14:11 16:12 24:13	five 24:8
7:2 10:2,15 14:14,19	deposi 25:18	dures 8:14	33:4,10,17 34:5	Florida 38:1,3
15:8,11 17:8 18:9	deposition 7:17 20:20	E	38:17,19 40:7,11	FOIA 8:16 9:13 10:12
19:2,19 20:2,2 25:6	21:14,17 23:3 30:13		41:2 42:17,18,19	12:14 13:4,8,13 15:7
27:1,8 36:12 37:13	30:14,20	earlier 10:8 39:11	46:6 48:21 49:4,10	17:3 20:5 37:18
37:16 38:5,10,11	depositions 52:5 53:15	45:13 51:15,18	58:18	46:14
39:7,16 40:8,11 43:4	deserve 13:21 14:2	Educators 7:21	e-mails 4:10 5:2,9 6:12	followed 46:15
43:6 44:6 46:12,12	DeShazo 62:3,17	effect 7:17	8:6 16:9,19 17:2,18	following 16:10
48:9,18 49:11,12,15	despite 40:2	effort 34:3 37:12	33:18 34:6 38:12,16	follows 4:7
49:17 50:1,12,18	detected 29:21 30:1	egregious 22:10,12	39:18 40:17 41:12	follow-up 45:18 46:11 foregoing 62:8
51:4,17,20 52:2,6,14	detective 23:9 determine 21:9	eighteen 23:16 either 22:19	42:2 48:8,20 50:14 59:8	format 16:12
53:2,7,8,14 54:3,5,19 55:1,5,20 56:1,14,17	determined 43:15	elected 18:19 59:14	39.8	fortunate 59:13
56:21 57:6,18 58:5	developments 42:10	electing 35:6	l F	Fortune 3:2 4:11 5:3
58:11,14,14,19 59:5	differences 60:5	election 7:7	F 3:5	6:13 28:20 32:14
59:10,15 60:17,21,21	different 13:1 37:15	elimi 37:19	face 16:6	39:4 40:17 41:1,16
61:7 62:1,4,5,17	dime 26:19	embarrass 23:11 25:14	faced 40:4	44:11 45:2 46:6,9
courtroom 60:10	DIMURO 2:14	encountered 9:17	face-to-face 33:2	forward 43:11,21,21
Court's 5:19 6:9,11	dint 14:4	engaging 34:2	fact 8:15 11:8 16:3	found 17:19 19:10
51:3,12 53:1	dis 60:4	entered 29:13	23:18 27:19 34:5,9	28:19
co-counsel 50:16	disagree 34:8 60:6	entire 51:6	36:2 38:17 42:13	frame 8:18
cross 21:1	disagreed 38:2	entitled 1:15 26:10	43:8 52:7 57:20	frankly 58:15 60:12
crucible 35:12	discover 8:15	62:11	59:20	fraud 30:10
curtail 23:12 25:15	discovery 24:20 43:11	entry 29:15	facts 6:8 21:10 35:20	Fred 22:12 23:7 29:6,8
cuss 60:5	44:21	especially 50:8	factual 29:12	42:6,7,12 50:11,15
	discuss 32:12 45:3,3,4	Esquire 2:7,13 3:4,5,13	Fairfax 2:10	53:6
D	46:7	essence 59:17	faith 46:4	Fredericks 13:20
D 3:8	discussed 39:15	essentially 40:12	faithfully 12:14	Fredericksburg 1:2,8
date 32:17 44:17	discussion 5:17,20	establishes 16:10	fall 4:17	3:16 19:6 26:10 62:6
dateline 4:18	38:19	et 1:5,8 62:11,12	familiar 11:6 13:4	free 26:4 31:3,4
David 2:7	disgusting 29:4	etcet 45:1	familiarize 7:8	Freedom 9:3 33:19
davits 48:3	dismiss 27:10 32:11	etcetera 43:3,3	FAMPO 5:5,11	Friday 40:18,20
day 40:19 51:6,10,16	43:18	everybody 12:9 16:14	fashion 22:14	frivolous 26:3
51:18 52:5 54:2	dismissed 6:14 15:20	40:13 41:4 43:1,14	favor 16:2	front 23:5 31:8 34:6
62:13	21:4 23:20 38:10	evidence 15:17 16:9	fectly 14:20	full 21:1
days 25:2,2,2 45:14,21	41:21 43:17 44:1	21:16 23:3 24:3	feelings 35:3	fully 13:9
deal 18:7 59:10	47:11,16	38:15 56:13	fees 19:16 25:19 26:6,8	further 5:11 62:8
dealing 6:18 43:16	disposal 51:12	exact 25:11 43:15	26:12,13 36:6,10	future 32:17
		J	1	

· ·

I

G	23:5.6 45:16	ings 59:4	keeping 59:1	48:8 49:6
garden 16:11	hearing 21:18 61:10	initiated 4:14	Kelly 3:2 5:7 13:1,5	looked 42:9
gather 50:5	62:7	innocent 43:20	40:19 41:6,8,17	looking 9:4 32:2
9	held 32:16	input 57:11	Kelly's 7:15	lose 35:4
gee 14:11 General 17:1	hey 10:17 11:12	inquiry 28:19 32:5	kind 21:9 24:10 35:14	lot 9:5
	hiatus 5:8	33:14,15	35:16,16	love 55:9
General's 8:8	hide 10:11	insofar 36:8	King 2:15	lutely 19:17
generated 4:10 6:12	high 52:8	instantly 43:7	knew 8:5,7,9,12 12:3	ly 43:16
gentlemen 58:12	Historic 4:12	intelligence 35:10	12:20 13:15 14:13	lying 21:10
getting 22:14,15 29:21	Homeless 32:13	intending 53:19	16:5 21:5 49:9	L.L.P 3:6
30:1 44:13	honesty 35:9	intent 29:19	know 5:3,6 6:3 7:16 8:7	
GINSBURG 2:14	Honor 4:17 6:8,16 7:1	intentional 18:1	8:17 10:6,9,12 12:6	M
give 26:5 49:7,7 57:10	7:4 9:1,18 15:13,18	intentionally 14:15	13:6 14:11 23:2 24:9	M 3:13
given 6:9 7:7 11:7 52:7	15:19,21 16:19 17:7	15:9 17:20	24:19 25:21 26:2,11	major 28:5,6
62:13	17:16 18:7 19:1,17	interest 14:9 35:8	36:13,15 37:5 38:1,9	making 12:16 23:19
gize 43:6	19:21 20:9,16 21:13	interesting 28:16	38:11 40:2 41:6 43:4	37:7 44:15
go 6:4 9:8 13:8 14:8	21:16 22:10 24:2	interjecting 56:16	44:7 45:8 46:8 48:11	malice 23:10
18:13,20 40:10 41:16	25:1 26:5,7 27:4,5,14	internal 38:15	48:17,19 53:20	malicious 24:21
Goals 44:12	33:4 36:5,14 47:18	interpret 52:3	knowledgeable 12:6	man 31:21
goes 27:2 41:2	51:13 52:2,20 53:11	interpret 32.3	known 12:20 33:13	mandated 14:7
going 4:1 8:6 12:7,12	53:17 54:10,12,20	introduce 27:6	KHOWH 12.20 33.13	manual 8:14
21:20 24:3 35:11,12	55:13,15,16 56:6,7	investiga 21:8 46:16	L	mary 38:12
35:18 39:11 41:4	56:20 57:4,14,16,21	investigated 44:1 48:13	Lance-Star 31:3,4	material 39:8 44:4
43:11,21 44:5,16		1 5	large 59:2	matter 1:15 4:6 16:1,3
45:5 47:13 48:5,12	58:3,10,13 60:20	investigation 6:11 23:9 47:9	larger 28:7	23:17 38:5 57:20
48:15 49:10,16 56:18	61:4,6		late 32:5	matters 50:2 58:7
57:8,10 59:1,11	Honorable 1:16	involving 8:14 24:7	i e	Matthew 3:2
good 23:7 40:21 42:18	hope 18:7 60:8,8,13	42:19	law 2:8 9:20 10:1,4,6	l
46:3 59:18	Howard 3:4	irresponsible 36:3	11:15,17,18 12:11	Maury 24:7 33:3,10
good-faith 37:12 39:17	Howson 3:1 5:7 7:9 8:7	issue 6:21 20:8 37:9	13:19 14:1 15:16	40:6,14 42:20 49:19
46:16 47:4,19	11:3 12:5 13:4 14:3	50:7 52:10 53:5	16:1 17:12,13,17	50:10,13 53:6
Gordon 1:5 2:4 62:11	24:13 40:13 41:4	57:19	18:2 19:8,12 23:18	Mayor 1:7 5:6 7:11 8:9
gotten 18:9 42:3	43:1 44:11 46:6	J	23:19 28:5,14 37:12	10:7 14:3 38:20 49:5
govern 13:17	humiliate 23:11	_	37:15 38:5	55:9 62:12
government 10:12	hurtful 35:1,2	Jenkins 2:5 21:15 22:6	laws 34:16	mean 40:16
11:16,20 14:6 17:4	hypocrite 30:11	30:15	lawsuit 45:16 46:19	meet 23:6
18:5,5	•	John 1:16 3:5	leaders 59:14	meeting 14:21 16:20
granted 6:14	I	JOHNSON 3:6	leave 30:2,3	17:3,6 18:16 21:2
great 18:13 59:10	idea 44:13	Jones 2:9 3:14	left 15:19 19:10 29:11	24:7,9,17 25:9 29:18
greatest 17:4	identify 31:11	Jr 1:17 3:12	legal 10:11 25:19 52:8	31:19 32:12 33:10,11
greatly 53:8	ignore 9:8	Judge 1:17	lesson 14:5	39:12 42:7,12 45:2
grounds 41:15	II 1:12 62:10	judgment 10:3 16:1	letter 15:6	45:15 47:1,9
group 19:16 29:21 30:1	impact 28:5,6	38:12	let's 6:17 10:17	meetings 33:2,3
55:15	impose 36:5	July 5:10 6:10 11:11	liable 19:11	member 27:20
guys 31:20	imposed 27:13	12:19 29:14	Liberal 14:13	members 7:6 11:10
	imposition 19:15 27:11	June 5:2	liberally 11:19	22:17 33:12 54:15
Н	impossible 19:17	justice 34:11	Library 5:15,21	memo 11:11 44:10,12
hallucina 32:17	included 28:21 29:3		life 18:17	men 31:12
hand 43:7 62:13	34:1 38:21	K	limited 5:19	ment 13:18
happen 22:19,20 41:21	inclusion 30:7	Kaufman 2:7,8 4:13,16	line 23:4 42:21	mentioned 5:17 39:21
47:13,15 48:12	indicate 10:18 39:7	5:1,14 6:3,7 7:3,4	lines 24:11 41:3	45:7,12
happened 15:2 22:18	individual 14:10 27:21	19:1,4,20,21 36:12	list 16:12	mere 19:14
24:4,5,16 33:12	individually 1:7	36:13 49:21 50:10,13	listed 5:10	merit 20:1
47:11	individuals 35:3	51:1,11,19 52:21	listening 39:13	meritless 26:15
happening 47:14	inference 49:8	53:4 54:9,20 55:16	litigation 34:12 35:15	Michael 2:13
hard 34:6	information 8:20 9:4	55:21 56:16 57:3,10	50:3 58:16	mind 22:21
hear 18:20	25:13 33:20 34:18	57:14 58:8,9,12,13	little 13:3 14:11 53:20	minute 46:20
heard 1:15 15:16 18:16	45:9,20 48:2 59:7	61:3	long 12:18 24:18 50:5	missible 52:18
neara 1.15 15.10 16.10	ing 23:7 32:9 39:8	keep 31:20	look 7:14 29:2,7 33:6	moment 51:7
		<u> </u>		

Monday 54:1,2,7 60:18	OFFICE 2:8	42:8	process 34:12	reconsider 58:3
61:1	official 28:15	permitted 25:7 35:7	processes 34:11	record 19:18 20:3 28:9
months 30:9	officials 18:20 34:14	pernicious 35:17	produce 35:15 40:8	62:9
MOOK 2:14	35:2 60:4	person 17:8 26:1 27:16	48:5 56:18	reference 4:11,15 6:10
morning 16:17 18:2	oh 9:19 13:13 47:6	30:7 31:16 58:19	produced 44:9 46:14	6:20 49:18 50:2,7
53:3 54:1 57:2 60:18	okay 10:21 14:12 47:12	personal 9:11,14	proffer 21:12 37:1 44:6	57:9,12 59:14
60:20 61:2	51:21 53:2	personally 9:15 58:21	56:17	references 22:5
motion 6:13 20:4,8,10	once 27:15 43:15	persons 31:13	prohibited 10:21	refers 28:2
21:13 22:4 27:2,10	open 10:12,17,19 11:1	peti 32:6	promptly 39:6 57:16	refused 25:20
27:13 32:11 36:18	11:15,20,21 13:9,17	petition 28:8 31:2	proof 30:16 34:6	regards 5:15
40:1 54:16 58:3	14:6,20 17:4 18:5	35:19 56:2 59:7	proper 14:20	region 28:13
motions 6:18 40:5	openly 14:17 15:1	petitioners 1:5 2:4	protection 34:16	regret 41:9
58:20	open-meeting 17:17	17:10 18:6,19 19:12	provided 20:15	reimbursed 26:18
motivation 60:2	opinion 8:8 9:11,14	20:17 21:3,7 22:2	provision 20:5	reimbursement 36:8
move 59:18	12:15	23:21 25:16 30:10	public 13:7,13 18:5	relied 38:1
multitude 48:1	opportunity 33:6 53:12	53:18 56:4,8,12	23:12 28:8,15 30:7	remember 7:14 13:9
	opposing 41:11	62:11	34:14,17 35:5 60:4	reminding 39:3
N	opposite 25:11	philosophy 45:4 46:7	62:17	renewed 27:12
named 45:11	opposition 20:18	phone 38:20 49:7	purpose 11:18 22:1	repeated 39:2
narrowly 12:2	order 57:9	picking 38:19	purposes 21:19	replete 31:9
nated 37:20	orientation 11:5	picture 31:12 32:8	put 8:13 25:3,13 53:12	reply 5:5
nation 34:20	original 32:10 37:16	pictures 31:9	53:18,19	reported 12:13 28:9,9
necessary 60:15	ought 10:18 13:7 18:3	place 8:13 37:3	P.C 2:14	Reporter 62:1,4,17
need 23:8 46:7,9 50:20	26:17,18 33:13	plaintiffs 21:3	p.m 4:4,6 61:10	representative 5:16
58:1	outrage 19:5 23:15	plans 43:3	P.S 39:3	represented 55:6
needn't 53:9	25:4 32:9 40:3	plea 37:5,7 48:16		request 5:6
needs 34:10	outset 27:10	plead 32:8	Q	requested 5:4
negated 59:5	o'clock 52:17	pleading 27:16,17 28:1	qualified 35:9	requests 13:14 39:2
neither 21:7 54:16	O'Connor 3:5 51:14	pleas 27:7	questions 39:14	requires 7:5 8:3 11:15
never 10:11 17:5 18:20	52:19 56:7 60:19	pled 9:2 38:9	quite 37:4 42:14 49:17	11:17 14:1,2
22:18 24:4,15 33:12		nain# 10:14 { /:10 /14 U		
	þ	point 19:13 37:10 43:9	quotations 22:5	resolve 50:2
40:14	P	55:17	quotations 22.3 quote 17:11	respect 20:11 25:9 27:7
40:14 new 10:4 11:10 13:2	packet 44:21	55:17 Policies 8:13	quote 17:11	respect 20:11 25:9 27:7 RESPECTIVE 2:2
40:14 new 10:4 11:10 13:2 17:11,13 19:12 23:19	packet 44:21 page 31:8,9,10	55:17 Policies 8:13 politics 59:2	quote 17:11	respect 20:11 25:9 27:7 RESPECTIVE 2:2 respectively 3:19
40:14 new 10:4 11:10 13:2 17:11,13 19:12 23:19 newspaper 30:21 58:15	packet 44:21 page 31:8,9,10 pages 20:19 22:4	55:17 Policies 8:13 politics 59:2 possible 11:21 25:8	quote 17:11 R reached 29:17	respect 20:11 25:9 27:7 RESPECTIVE 2:2 respectively 3:19 respon 17:15
40:14 new 10:4 11:10 13:2 17:11,13 19:12 23:19 newspaper 30:21 58:15 nine 37:20,21 38:8 59:6	packet 44:21 page 31:8,9,10 pages 20:19 22:4 paid 26:17	55:17 Policies 8:13 politics 59:2 possible 11:21 25:8 posted 4:19	quote 17:11 R reached 29:17 read 7:10,12,18 8:3	respect 20:11 25:9 27:7 RESPECTIVE 2:2 respectively 3:19 respon 17:15 respond 19:19 37:1,4
40:14 new 10:4 11:10 13:2 17:11,13 19:12 23:19 newspaper 30:21 58:15 nine 37:20,21 38:8 59:6 59:9	packet 44:21 page 31:8,9,10 pages 20:19 22:4 paid 26:17 palpably 22:12	Policies 8:13 politics 59:2 possible 11:21 25:8 posted 4:19 practicing 15:16	quote 17:11 R reached 29:17 read 7:10,12,18 8:3 11:18 13:8 28:10	respect 20:11 25:9 27:7 RESPECTIVE 2:2 respectively 3:19 respon 17:15 respond 19:19 37:1,4 48:20
40:14 new 10:4 11:10 13:2 17:11,13 19:12 23:19 newspaper 30:21 58:15 nine 37:20,21 38:8 59:6 59:9 Notary 62:17	packet 44:21 page 31:8,9,10 pages 20:19 22:4 paid 26:17 palpably 22:12 papers 53:13	Policies 8:13 politics 59:2 possible 11:21 25:8 posted 4:19 practicing 15:16 precluded 11:12 47:8	quote 17:11 R reached 29:17 read 7:10,12,18 8:3 11:18 13:8 28:10 31:4 39:7 48:19	respect 20:11 25:9 27:7 RESPECTIVE 2:2 respectively 3:19 respon 17:15 respond 19:19 37:1,4 48:20 responded 49:1
40:14 new 10:4 11:10 13:2 17:11,13 19:12 23:19 newspaper 30:21 58:15 nine 37:20,21 38:8 59:6 59:9 Notary 62:17 notation 44:19	packet 44:21 page 31:8,9,10 pages 20:19 22:4 paid 26:17 palpably 22:12 papers 53:13 parse 12:1	55:17 Policies 8:13 politics 59:2 possible 11:21 25:8 posted 4:19 practicing 15:16 precluded 11:12 47:8 prejudice 42:1 43:17	R reached 29:17 read 7:10,12,18 8:3 11:18 13:8 28:10 31:4 39:7 48:19 53:15,15 58:20	respect 20:11 25:9 27:7 RESPECTIVE 2:2 respectively 3:19 respon 17:15 respond 19:19 37:1,4 48:20 responded 49:1 RESPONDENT 3:12
40:14 new 10:4 11:10 13:2 17:11,13 19:12 23:19 newspaper 30:21 58:15 nine 37:20,21 38:8 59:6 59:9 Notary 62:17 notation 44:19 note 46:11 56:2	packet 44:21 page 31:8,9,10 pages 20:19 22:4 paid 26:17 palpably 22:12 papers 53:13 parse 12:1 parsing 11:16	55:17 Policies 8:13 politics 59:2 possible 11:21 25:8 posted 4:19 practicing 15:16 precluded 11:12 47:8 prejudice 42:1 43:17 43:19 47:12,16	R reached 29:17 read 7:10,12,18 8:3 11:18 13:8 28:10 31:4 39:7 48:19 53:15,15 58:20 reading 24:10 38:18	respect 20:11 25:9 27:7 RESPECTIVE 2:2 respectively 3:19 respon 17:15 respond 19:19 37:1,4 48:20 responded 49:1 RESPONDENT 3:12 respondents 1:9 3:1
40:14 new 10:4 11:10 13:2 17:11,13 19:12 23:19 newspaper 30:21 58:15 nine 37:20,21 38:8 59:6 59:9 Notary 62:17 notation 44:19 note 46:11 56:2 notes 44:20 46:12,12	packet 44:21 page 31:8,9,10 pages 20:19 22:4 paid 26:17 palpably 22:12 papers 53:13 parse 12:1 parsing 11:16 part 6:1 11:19 44:21	55:17 Policies 8:13 politics 59:2 possible 11:21 25:8 posted 4:19 practicing 15:16 precluded 11:12 47:8 prejudice 42:1 43:17 43:19 47:12,16 prepare 12:8 57:9	R reached 29:17 read 7:10,12,18 8:3 11:18 13:8 28:10 31:4 39:7 48:19 53:15,15 58:20	respect 20:11 25:9 27:7 RESPECTIVE 2:2 respectively 3:19 respon 17:15 respond 19:19 37:1,4 48:20 responded 49:1 RESPONDENT 3:12
40:14 new 10:4 11:10 13:2 17:11,13 19:12 23:19 newspaper 30:21 58:15 nine 37:20,21 38:8 59:6 59:9 Notary 62:17 notation 44:19 note 46:11 56:2 notes 44:20 46:12,12 46:13	packet 44:21 page 31:8,9,10 pages 20:19 22:4 paid 26:17 palpably 22:12 papers 53:13 parse 12:1 parsing 11:16 part 6:1 11:19 44:21 46:14 47:14 48:21	55:17 Policies 8:13 politics 59:2 possible 11:21 25:8 posted 4:19 practicing 15:16 precluded 11:12 47:8 prejudice 42:1 43:17 43:19 47:12,16 prepare 12:8 57:9 prepared 11:9 12:10	R reached 29:17 read 7:10,12,18 8:3 11:18 13:8 28:10 31:4 39:7 48:19 53:15,15 58:20 reading 24:10 38:18 realize 43:12	respect 20:11 25:9 27:7 RESPECTIVE 2:2 respectively 3:19 respon 17:15 respond 19:19 37:1,4 48:20 responded 49:1 RESPONDENT 3:12 respondents 1:9 3:1 16:2 19:10 23:13
40:14 new 10:4 11:10 13:2 17:11,13 19:12 23:19 newspaper 30:21 58:15 nine 37:20,21 38:8 59:6 59:9 Notary 62:17 notation 44:19 note 46:11 56:2 notes 44:20 46:12,12	packet 44:21 page 31:8,9,10 pages 20:19 22:4 paid 26:17 palpably 22:12 papers 53:13 parse 12:1 parsing 11:16 part 6:1 11:19 44:21 46:14 47:14 48:21 participate 5:18	55:17 Policies 8:13 politics 59:2 possible 11:21 25:8 posted 4:19 practicing 15:16 precluded 11:12 47:8 prejudice 42:1 43:17 43:19 47:12,16 prepare 12:8 57:9 prepared 11:9 12:10 preparing 36:20	R reached 29:17 read 7:10,12,18 8:3 11:18 13:8 28:10 31:4 39:7 48:19 53:15,15 58:20 reading 24:10 38:18 realize 43:12 realized 23:21	respect 20:11 25:9 27:7 RESPECTIVE 2:2 respectively 3:19 respon 17:15 respond 19:19 37:1,4 48:20 responded 49:1 RESPONDENT 3:12 respondents 1:9 3:1 16:2 19:10 23:13 24:8 25:6 27:7 54:7
40:14 new 10:4 11:10 13:2 17:11,13 19:12 23:19 newspaper 30:21 58:15 nine 37:20,21 38:8 59:6 59:9 Notary 62:17 notation 44:19 note 46:11 56:2 notes 44:20 46:12,12 46:13 notice 15:5 53:21 noticed 36:14	packet 44:21 page 31:8,9,10 pages 20:19 22:4 paid 26:17 palpably 22:12 papers 53:13 parse 12:1 parsing 11:16 part 6:1 11:19 44:21 46:14 47:14 48:21	55:17 Policies 8:13 politics 59:2 possible 11:21 25:8 posted 4:19 practicing 15:16 precluded 11:12 47:8 prejudice 42:1 43:17 43:19 47:12,16 prepare 12:8 57:9 prepared 11:9 12:10	R reached 29:17 read 7:10,12,18 8:3 11:18 13:8 28:10 31:4 39:7 48:19 53:15,15 58:20 reading 24:10 38:18 realize 43:12 realized 23:21 really 9:5	respect 20:11 25:9 27:7 RESPECTIVE 2:2 respectively 3:19 respon 17:15 respond 19:19 37:1,4 48:20 responded 49:1 RESPONDENT 3:12 respondents 1:9 3:1 16:2 19:10 23:13 24:8 25:6 27:7 54:7 62:12
40:14 new 10:4 11:10 13:2 17:11,13 19:12 23:19 newspaper 30:21 58:15 nine 37:20,21 38:8 59:6 59:9 Notary 62:17 notation 44:19 note 46:11 56:2 notes 44:20 46:12,12 46:13 notice 15:5 53:21	packet 44:21 page 31:8,9,10 pages 20:19 22:4 paid 26:17 palpably 22:12 papers 53:13 parse 12:1 parsing 11:16 part 6:1 11:19 44:21 46:14 47:14 48:21 participate 5:18 particularly 34:13	55:17 Policies 8:13 politics 59:2 possible 11:21 25:8 posted 4:19 practicing 15:16 precluded 11:12 47:8 prejudice 42:1 43:17 43:19 47:12,16 prepare 12:8 57:9 prepared 11:9 12:10 preparing 36:20 present 2:1 20:21	R reached 29:17 read 7:10,12,18 8:3 11:18 13:8 28:10 31:4 39:7 48:19 53:15,15 58:20 reading 24:10 38:18 realize 43:12 realized 23:21 really 9:5 reason 29:15 31:19	respect 20:11 25:9 27:7 RESPECTIVE 2:2 respectively 3:19 respon 17:15 respond 19:19 37:1,4 48:20 responded 49:1 RESPONDENT 3:12 respondents 1:9 3:1 16:2 19:10 23:13 24:8 25:6 27:7 54:7 62:12 responding 38:18
40:14 new 10:4 11:10 13:2 17:11,13 19:12 23:19 newspaper 30:21 58:15 nine 37:20,21 38:8 59:6 59:9 Notary 62:17 notation 44:19 note 46:11 56:2 notes 44:20 46:12,12 46:13 notice 15:5 53:21 noticed 36:14 notwithstanding 22:3	packet 44:21 page 31:8,9,10 pages 20:19 22:4 paid 26:17 palpably 22:12 papers 53:13 parse 12:1 parsing 11:16 part 6:1 11:19 44:21 46:14 47:14 48:21 participate 5:18 particularly 34:13 38:16	55:17 Policies 8:13 politics 59:2 possible 11:21 25:8 posted 4:19 practicing 15:16 precluded 11:12 47:8 prejudice 42:1 43:17 43:19 47:12,16 prepare 12:8 57:9 prepared 11:9 12:10 preparing 36:20 present 2:1 20:21 28:21 50:6 57:7	R reached 29:17 read 7:10,12,18 8:3 11:18 13:8 28:10 31:4 39:7 48:19 53:15,15 58:20 reading 24:10 38:18 realize 43:12 realized 23:21 really 9:5 reason 29:15 31:19 33:13 35:5 36:17	respect 20:11 25:9 27:7 RESPECTIVE 2:2 respectively 3:19 respon 17:15 respond 19:19 37:1,4
40:14 new 10:4 11:10 13:2 17:11,13 19:12 23:19 newspaper 30:21 58:15 nine 37:20,21 38:8 59:6 59:9 Notary 62:17 notation 44:19 note 46:11 56:2 notes 44:20 46:12,12 46:13 notice 15:5 53:21 noticed 36:14 notwithstanding 22:3 number 21:19 38:12	packet 44:21 page 31:8,9,10 pages 20:19 22:4 paid 26:17 palpably 22:12 papers 53:13 parse 12:1 parsing 11:16 part 6:1 11:19 44:21 46:14 47:14 48:21 participate 5:18 particularly 34:13 38:16 parties 2:2 3:19 20:21	55:17 Policies 8:13 politics 59:2 possible 11:21 25:8 posted 4:19 practicing 15:16 precluded 11:12 47:8 prejudice 42:1 43:17	R reached 29:17 read 7:10,12,18 8:3 11:18 13:8 28:10 31:4 39:7 48:19 53:15,15 58:20 reading 24:10 38:18 realize 43:12 realized 23:21 really 9:5 reason 29:15 31:19 33:13 35:5 36:17 60:3	respect 20:11 25:9 27:7 RESPECTIVE 2:2 respectively 3:19 respon 17:15 respond 19:19 37:1,4 48:20 responded 49:1 RESPONDENT 3:12 respondents 1:9 3:1 16:2 19:10 23:13 24:8 25:6 27:7 54:7 62:12 responding 38:18 response 7:15 36:17 41:7 responsibility 8:4 rest 9:20,21
40:14 new 10:4 11:10 13:2 17:11,13 19:12 23:19 newspaper 30:21 58:15 nine 37:20,21 38:8 59:6 59:9 Notary 62:17 notation 44:19 note 46:11 56:2 notes 44:20 46:12,12 46:13 notice 15:5 53:21 noticed 36:14 notwithstanding 22:3 number 21:19 38:12 numerous 58:20 N.W 3:7	packet 44:21 page 31:8,9,10 pages 20:19 22:4 paid 26:17 palpably 22:12 papers 53:13 parse 12:1 parsing 11:16 part 6:1 11:19 44:21 46:14 47:14 48:21 participate 5:18 particularly 34:13 38:16 parties 2:2 3:19 20:21 parts 7:12,18 pass 41:10 Pates 8:19 9:9,12 11:10	55:17 Policies 8:13 politics 59:2 possible 11:21 25:8 posted 4:19 practicing 15:16 precluded 11:12 47:8 prejudice 42:1 43:17 43:19 47:12,16 prepare 12:8 57:9 prepared 11:9 12:10 preparing 36:20 present 2:1 20:21 28:21 50:6 57:7 Preservation 4:12 pretty 30:5 42:17	R reached 29:17 read 7:10,12,18 8:3 11:18 13:8 28:10 31:4 39:7 48:19 53:15,15 58:20 reading 24:10 38:18 realize 43:12 realized 23:21 really 9:5 reason 29:15 31:19 33:13 35:5 36:17 60:3 reasonable 32:4 39:17 42:2 46:3,16 47:4,18 54:7	respect 20:11 25:9 27:7 RESPECTIVE 2:2 respectively 3:19 respon 17:15 respond 19:19 37:1,4 48:20 responded 49:1 RESPONDENT 3:12 respondents 1:9 3:1 16:2 19:10 23:13 24:8 25:6 27:7 54:7 62:12 responding 38:18 response 7:15 36:17 41:7 responsibility 8:4 rest 9:20,21 result 35:17
40:14 new 10:4 11:10 13:2 17:11,13 19:12 23:19 newspaper 30:21 58:15 nine 37:20,21 38:8 59:6 59:9 Notary 62:17 notation 44:19 note 46:11 56:2 notes 44:20 46:12,12 46:13 notice 15:5 53:21 noticed 36:14 notwithstanding 22:3 number 21:19 38:12 numerous 58:20 N.W 3:7	packet 44:21 page 31:8,9,10 pages 20:19 22:4 paid 26:17 palpably 22:12 papers 53:13 parse 12:1 parsing 11:16 part 6:1 11:19 44:21 46:14 47:14 48:21 participate 5:18 particularly 34:13 38:16 parties 2:2 3:19 20:21 parts 7:12,18 pass 41:10	55:17 Policies 8:13 politics 59:2 possible 11:21 25:8 posted 4:19 practicing 15:16 precluded 11:12 47:8 prejudice 42:1 43:17	R reached 29:17 read 7:10,12,18 8:3 11:18 13:8 28:10 31:4 39:7 48:19 53:15,15 58:20 reading 24:10 38:18 realize 43:12 realized 23:21 really 9:5 reason 29:15 31:19 33:13 35:5 36:17 60:3 reasonable 32:4 39:17 42:2 46:3,16 47:4,18 54:7 recall 11:3 24:1	respect 20:11 25:9 27:7 RESPECTIVE 2:2 respectively 3:19 respon 17:15 respond 19:19 37:1,4 48:20 responded 49:1 RESPONDENT 3:12 respondents 1:9 3:1 16:2 19:10 23:13 24:8 25:6 27:7 54:7 62:12 responding 38:18 response 7:15 36:17 41:7 responsibility 8:4 rest 9:20,21 result 35:17 resuscitate 33:8
40:14 new 10:4 11:10 13:2 17:11,13 19:12 23:19 newspaper 30:21 58:15 nine 37:20,21 38:8 59:6 59:9 Notary 62:17 notation 44:19 note 46:11 56:2 notes 44:20 46:12,12 46:13 notice 15:5 53:21 noticed 36:14 notwithstanding 22:3 number 21:19 38:12 numerous 58:20 N.W 3:7	packet 44:21 page 31:8,9,10 pages 20:19 22:4 paid 26:17 palpably 22:12 papers 53:13 parse 12:1 parsing 11:16 part 6:1 11:19 44:21 46:14 47:14 48:21 participate 5:18 particularly 34:13 38:16 parties 2:2 3:19 20:21 parts 7:12,18 pass 41:10 Pates 8:19 9:9,12 11:10	55:17 Policies 8:13 politics 59:2 possible 11:21 25:8 posted 4:19 practicing 15:16 precluded 11:12 47:8 prejudice 42:1 43:17	R reached 29:17 read 7:10,12,18 8:3 11:18 13:8 28:10 31:4 39:7 48:19 53:15,15 58:20 reading 24:10 38:18 realize 43:12 realized 23:21 really 9:5 reason 29:15 31:19 33:13 35:5 36:17 60:3 reasonable 32:4 39:17 42:2 46:3,16 47:4,18 54:7 recall 11:3 24:1 recalls 43:5	respect 20:11 25:9 27:7 RESPECTIVE 2:2 respectively 3:19 respon 17:15 respond 19:19 37:1,4
40:14 new 10:4 11:10 13:2 17:11,13 19:12 23:19 newspaper 30:21 58:15 nine 37:20,21 38:8 59:6 59:9 Notary 62:17 notation 44:19 note 46:11 56:2 notes 44:20 46:12,12 46:13 notice 15:5 53:21 noticed 36:14 notwithstanding 22:3 number 21:19 38:12 numerous 58:20 N.W 3:7	packet 44:21 page 31:8,9,10 pages 20:19 22:4 paid 26:17 palpably 22:12 papers 53:13 parse 12:1 parsing 11:16 part 6:1 11:19 44:21	55:17 Policies 8:13 politics 59:2 possible 11:21 25:8 posted 4:19 practicing 15:16 precluded 11:12 47:8 prejudice 42:1 43:17	R reached 29:17 read 7:10,12,18 8:3 11:18 13:8 28:10 31:4 39:7 48:19 53:15,15 58:20 reading 24:10 38:18 realize 43:12 realized 23:21 really 9:5 reason 29:15 31:19 33:13 35:5 36:17 60:3 reasonable 32:4 39:17 42:2 46:3,16 47:4,18 54:7 recall 11:3 24:1 recalls 43:5 receive 60:1	respect 20:11 25:9 27:7 RESPECTIVE 2:2 respectively 3:19 respon 17:15 respond 19:19 37:1,4 48:20 responded 49:1 RESPONDENT 3:12 respondents 1:9 3:1 16:2 19:10 23:13 24:8 25:6 27:7 54:7 62:12 responding 38:18 response 7:15 36:17 41:7 responsibility 8:4 rest 9:20,21 result 35:17 resuscitate 33:8 resuscitated 33:9 reveal 30:20
40:14 new 10:4 11:10 13:2 17:11,13 19:12 23:19 newspaper 30:21 58:15 nine 37:20,21 38:8 59:6 59:9 Notary 62:17 notation 44:19 note 46:11 56:2 notes 44:20 46:12,12 46:13 notice 15:5 53:21 noticed 36:14 notwithstanding 22:3 number 21:19 38:12 numerous 58:20 N.W 3:7 O object 21:20	packet 44:21 page 31:8,9,10 pages 20:19 22:4 paid 26:17 palpably 22:12 papers 53:13 parse 12:1 parsing 11:16 part 6:1 11:19 44:21	55:17 Policies 8:13 politics 59:2 possible 11:21 25:8 posted 4:19 practicing 15:16 precluded 11:12 47:8 prejudice 42:1 43:17	R reached 29:17 read 7:10,12,18 8:3 11:18 13:8 28:10 31:4 39:7 48:19 53:15,15 58:20 reading 24:10 38:18 realize 43:12 realized 23:21 really 9:5 reason 29:15 31:19 33:13 35:5 36:17 60:3 reasonable 32:4 39:17 42:2 46:3,16 47:4,18 54:7 recall 11:3 24:1 recalls 43:5 receive 60:1 receives 28:1	respect 20:11 25:9 27:7 RESPECTIVE 2:2 respectively 3:19 respon 17:15 respond 19:19 37:1,4 48:20 responded 49:1 RESPONDENT 3:12 respondents 1:9 3:1 16:2 19:10 23:13 24:8 25:6 27:7 54:7 62:12 responding 38:18 response 7:15 36:17 41:7 responsibility 8:4 rest 9:20,21 result 35:17 resuscitate 33:8 resuscitated 33:9 reveal 30:20 revealed 33:16
40:14 new 10:4 11:10 13:2 17:11,13 19:12 23:19 newspaper 30:21 58:15 nine 37:20,21 38:8 59:6 59:9 Notary 62:17 notation 44:19 note 46:11 56:2 notes 44:20 46:12,12 46:13 notice 15:5 53:21 noticed 36:14 notwithstanding 22:3 number 21:19 38:12 numerous 58:20 N.W 3:7 O object 21:20 obligation 10:5	packet 44:21 page 31:8,9,10 pages 20:19 22:4 paid 26:17 palpably 22:12 papers 53:13 parse 12:1 parsing 11:16 part 6:1 11:19 44:21	Policies 8:13 politics 59:2 possible 11:21 25:8 posted 4:19 practicing 15:16 precluded 11:12 47:8 prejudice 42:1 43:17 43:19 47:12,16 prepare 12:8 57:9 prepared 11:9 12:10 preparing 36:20 present 2:1 20:21 28:21 50:6 57:7 Preservation 4:12 pretty 30:5 42:17 pri 49:3 Princess 3:15 prior 32:5 priorities 45:3,4 priority 10:13 46:10	R reached 29:17 read 7:10,12,18 8:3 11:18 13:8 28:10 31:4 39:7 48:19 53:15,15 58:20 reading 24:10 38:18 realize 43:12 realized 23:21 really 9:5 reason 29:15 31:19 33:13 35:5 36:17 60:3 reasonable 32:4 39:17 42:2 46:3,16 47:4,18 54:7 recall 11:3 24:1 recalls 43:5 receive 60:1	respect 20:11 25:9 27:7 RESPECTIVE 2:2 respectively 3:19 respon 17:15 respond 19:19 37:1,4 48:20 responded 49:1 RESPONDENT 3:12 respondents 1:9 3:1 16:2 19:10 23:13 24:8 25:6 27:7 54:7 62:12 responding 38:18 response 7:15 36:17 41:7 responsibility 8:4 rest 9:20,21 result 35:17 resuscitate 33:8 resuscitated 33:9 reveal 30:20
40:14 new 10:4 11:10 13:2 17:11,13 19:12 23:19 newspaper 30:21 58:15 nine 37:20,21 38:8 59:6 59:9 Notary 62:17 notation 44:19 note 46:11 56:2 notes 44:20 46:12,12 46:13 notice 15:5 53:21 noticed 36:14 notwithstanding 22:3 number 21:19 38:12 numerous 58:20 N.W 3:7 O object 21:20 obligation 10:5 observations 54:4	packet 44:21 page 31:8,9,10 pages 20:19 22:4 paid 26:17 palpably 22:12 papers 53:13 parse 12:1 parsing 11:16 part 6:1 11:19 44:21	Policies 8:13 politics 59:2 possible 11:21 25:8 posted 4:19 practicing 15:16 precluded 11:12 47:8 prejudice 42:1 43:17 43:19 47:12,16 prepare 12:8 57:9 prepared 11:9 12:10 preparing 36:20 present 2:1 20:21 28:21 50:6 57:7 Preservation 4:12 pretty 30:5 42:17 pri 49:3 Princess 3:15 prior 32:5 priorities 45:3,4 priority 10:13 46:10 privately 14:16 15:5	R reached 29:17 read 7:10,12,18 8:3 11:18 13:8 28:10 31:4 39:7 48:19 53:15,15 58:20 reading 24:10 38:18 realize 43:12 realized 23:21 really 9:5 reason 29:15 31:19 33:13 35:5 36:17 60:3 reasonable 32:4 39:17 42:2 46:3,16 47:4,18 54:7 recall 11:3 24:1 recalls 43:5 receive 60:1 receives 28:1 recess 4:2,5 6:19 reckless 36:4	respect 20:11 25:9 27:7 RESPECTIVE 2:2 respectively 3:19 respon 17:15 respond 19:19 37:1,4
40:14 new 10:4 11:10 13:2 17:11,13 19:12 23:19 newspaper 30:21 58:15 nine 37:20,21 38:8 59:6 59:9 Notary 62:17 notation 44:19 note 46:11 56:2 notes 44:20 46:12,12 46:13 notice 15:5 53:21 noticed 36:14 notwithstanding 22:3 number 21:19 38:12 numerous 58:20 N.W 3:7 O object 21:20 obligation 10:5 observations 54:4 obviated 46:21 occur 59:20 occurred 60:11	packet 44:21 page 31:8,9,10 pages 20:19 22:4 paid 26:17 palpably 22:12 papers 53:13 parse 12:1 parsing 11:16 part 6:1 11:19 44:21	Policies 8:13 politics 59:2 possible 11:21 25:8 posted 4:19 practicing 15:16 precluded 11:12 47:8 prejudice 42:1 43:17 43:19 47:12,16 prepare 12:8 57:9 prepared 11:9 12:10 preparing 36:20 present 2:1 20:21 28:21 50:6 57:7 Preservation 4:12 pretty 30:5 42:17 pri 49:3 Princess 3:15 prior 32:5 priorities 45:3,4 priority 10:13 46:10 privately 14:16 15:5 pro 41:12 44:20 probably 14:12 19:7 Proce 8:13	R reached 29:17 read 7:10,12,18 8:3 11:18 13:8 28:10 31:4 39:7 48:19 53:15,15 58:20 reading 24:10 38:18 realize 43:12 realized 23:21 really 9:5 reason 29:15 31:19 33:13 35:5 36:17 60:3 reasonable 32:4 39:17 42:2 46:3,16 47:4,18 54:7 recall 11:3 24:1 recalls 43:5 receive 60:1 receives 28:1 recess 4:2,5 6:19 reckless 36:4 recommendations	respect 20:11 25:9 27:7 RESPECTIVE 2:2 respectively 3:19 respon 17:15 respond 19:19 37:1,4
40:14 new 10:4 11:10 13:2 17:11,13 19:12 23:19 newspaper 30:21 58:15 nine 37:20,21 38:8 59:6 59:9 Notary 62:17 notation 44:19 note 46:11 56:2 notes 44:20 46:12,12 46:13 notice 15:5 53:21 noticed 36:14 notwithstanding 22:3 number 21:19 38:12 numerous 58:20 N.W 3:7 O object 21:20 obligation 10:5 observations 54:4 obviated 46:21 occur 59:20 occurred 60:11 offer 54:3	packet 44:21 page 31:8,9,10 pages 20:19 22:4 paid 26:17 palpably 22:12 papers 53:13 parse 12:1 parsing 11:16 part 6:1 11:19 44:21 46:14 47:14 48:21 participate 5:18 particularly 34:13 38:16 parties 2:2 3:19 20:21 parts 7:12,18 pass 41:10 Pates 8:19 9:9,12 11:10 12:8,13 Patrick 2:5 pattern 9:1,18,18 paying 9:5 25:19,21 26:2,8,11,13 35:19 52:7 pending 20:10 people 10:10 23:5	Policies 8:13 politics 59:2 possible 11:21 25:8 posted 4:19 practicing 15:16 precluded 11:12 47:8 prejudice 42:1 43:17 43:19 47:12,16 prepare 12:8 57:9 prepared 11:9 12:10 preparing 36:20 present 2:1 20:21 28:21 50:6 57:7 Preservation 4:12 pretty 30:5 42:17 pri 49:3 Princess 3:15 prior 32:5 priorities 45:3,4 priority 10:13 46:10 privately 14:16 15:5 pro 41:12 44:20 probably 14:12 19:7 Proce 8:13 proceed 59:3	R reached 29:17 read 7:10,12,18 8:3 11:18 13:8 28:10 31:4 39:7 48:19 53:15,15 58:20 reading 24:10 38:18 realize 43:12 realized 23:21 really 9:5 reason 29:15 31:19 33:13 35:5 36:17 60:3 reasonable 32:4 39:17 42:2 46:3,16 47:4,18 54:7 recall 11:3 24:1 recalls 43:5 receive 60:1 receives 28:1 recess 4:2,5 6:19 reckless 36:4 recommendations 12:17	respect 20:11 25:9 27:7 RESPECTIVE 2:2 respectively 3:19 respon 17:15 respond 19:19 37:1,4 48:20 responded 49:1 RESPONDENT 3:12 respondents 1:9 3:1 16:2 19:10 23:13 24:8 25:6 27:7 54:7 62:12 responding 38:18 response 7:15 36:17 41:7 responsibility 8:4 rest 9:20,21 result 35:17 resuscitate 33:8 resuscitated 33:9 revealed 33:16 Reverend 30:3 reviewed 58:17 right 4:9 6:2 7:2 21:1 22:21 31:21 32:1
40:14 new 10:4 11:10 13:2 17:11,13 19:12 23:19 newspaper 30:21 58:15 nine 37:20,21 38:8 59:6 59:9 Notary 62:17 notation 44:19 note 46:11 56:2 notes 44:20 46:12,12 46:13 notice 15:5 53:21 noticed 36:14 notwithstanding 22:3 number 21:19 38:12 numerous 58:20 N.W 3:7 O object 21:20 obligation 10:5 observations 54:4 obviated 46:21 occur 59:20 occurred 60:11	packet 44:21 page 31:8,9,10 pages 20:19 22:4 paid 26:17 palpably 22:12 papers 53:13 parse 12:1 parsing 11:16 part 6:1 11:19 44:21	Policies 8:13 politics 59:2 possible 11:21 25:8 posted 4:19 practicing 15:16 precluded 11:12 47:8 prejudice 42:1 43:17 43:19 47:12,16 prepare 12:8 57:9 prepared 11:9 12:10 preparing 36:20 present 2:1 20:21 28:21 50:6 57:7 Preservation 4:12 pretty 30:5 42:17 pri 49:3 Princess 3:15 prior 32:5 priorities 45:3,4 priority 10:13 46:10 privately 14:16 15:5 pro 41:12 44:20 probably 14:12 19:7 Proce 8:13	R reached 29:17 read 7:10,12,18 8:3 11:18 13:8 28:10 31:4 39:7 48:19 53:15,15 58:20 reading 24:10 38:18 realize 43:12 realized 23:21 really 9:5 reason 29:15 31:19 33:13 35:5 36:17 60:3 reasonable 32:4 39:17 42:2 46:3,16 47:4,18 54:7 recall 11:3 24:1 recalls 43:5 receive 60:1 receives 28:1 recess 4:2,5 6:19 reckless 36:4 recommendations	respect 20:11 25:9 27:7 RESPECTIVE 2:2 respectively 3:19 respon 17:15 respond 19:19 37:1,4

57:6 58:5	anging 11.5 44.10	52.0.11.17.55.14	400kminal 25.0	4
role 28:14	session 11:5 44:19	53:9,11,17 55:14	technical 25:8	transcript 31:11
room 16:13	set 32:14 51:5	56:1,5,19 57:8,15,21	technicality 10:11 tee 38:17	transcripts 20:20 21:17 30:19 31:5
rule 17:9 21:6	setting 45:5 settled 51:5,9	stamped 44:10 stances 22:21	telephone 49:1	
ruled 37:16 38:5,11	Seventeen 23:16	stances 22.21 stand 40:9	tell 25:16	trapped 47:2
39:16 49:11,12 55:17	shame 23:12			traveling 52:16 trial 1:14
rules 8:1,14	shame 23:12 shed 30:15	standing 23:4 start 5:9 44:15	telling 30:8 50:4 55:4 tells 28:11,12	
ruling 6:10 10:3 16:17	Shelter 32:13	start 5.9 44.13	temerity 17:14 26:4	trip 22:13 42:8,13,20 43:13 49:20
16:21 59:6	Shelton 1:5 2:4 21:15	started 55.5	tempta 18:12	try 40:20
run 30:6	22:6 24:6,17 30:20	stated 7:9 12:5	ten-minute 4:2	trying 44:14
running 42:17	30:21 31:15 62:11	statement 7:16 59:16	terms 45:5	Tuesday 50:18 51:5
12.17	Shelton's 30:13	statements 12:17	testified 10:8	53:3 55:2 56:10 57:2
\mathbf{s}	short 53:21	states 38:2	testimony 7:10 11:4	60:19 61:6
sanc 19:13 20:4 48:14	show 21:2 22:2 24:12	statute 7:5,7,11 8:2 9:4	12:4 21:14	turn 13:15 17:14
49:13	48:5	10:5,21 11:13 25:9	thank 6:15 15:9,12	turned 40:21 41:18,20
sanction 47:17 48:16	showed 24:8 30:21	steps 35:17 60:15	36:10 52:14 55:11,21	46:18
sanctionable 38:4	31:7,10	STEPTOE 3:6	56:5 58:21 61:3,7	Turner 29:19 30:2,3
39:19 42:5 43:18	side 4:18 44:9 48:10	stop 25:3	theme 10:16	32:3
sanctions 18:8 20:11	sides 21:17	stopped 13:12 47:14	thereabout 20:19	two 5:2 7:6 22:19 24:14
27:11,13 36:5,19	signed 31:2	story 13:2	thing 11:2 30:6 46:1,10	34:3 37:15 50:8
37:10 40:1,5 44:5	similar 60:10	street 2:9,15 3:15 10:9	54:21	two-week 5:8
50:7 52:10 57:19	similarly 45:6	18:15	things 7:20 8:1 12:16	type 17:1
Saturday 41:5,17	simply 15:3	stronger 54:16	24:4,19 27:18 42:1	
58:15	simultaneous 16:13	struck 33:5,7	47:20	U
saw 33:20	sincerely 60:13	stuck 52:4	think 6:4 7:9 8:9,10	Um-hum 4:21
saying 9:19 33:9 40:13	single-most 22:10	subject 44:12	10:7 13:12 26:9	un 13:3
40:17 43:1 46:7,9	sinister 29:15,16	subpoenaed 56:8	35:21 39:10 43:9	unAmerican 18:18
49:6	sir 49:21	sufficient 33:14	45:8,12	undemocratic 18:18
says 11:19 17:1 24:13	sitting 39:12 46:5	suggested 9:15	thinking 41:15	underlying 36:21
27:15 29:9,10 31:15	situations 9:16	suggesting 50:21	thinks 51:15	understand 6:6 10:6
35:18	six 32:6	Suite 2:9,15 3:15	Thomas 3:2	11:17 18:11 52:6
School 24:7 33:3	six-odd-day 5:20	sum 38:11	thought 7:19 19:14	undertook 21:8
Scott 1:16 3:1	Sokol 3:13,14 27:5,8,9	summary 10:3 16:1	23:1 36:19 47:12	unfortunately 44:3
script 22:5	54:12 55:1,3,7,11	support 21:10 32:21	55:3	uninvestigated 47:5
scurrilous 26:20	57:17 61:5,8	36:18	three 16:4 17:15 21:2	unrebutted 20:16
seat 19:4 58:11,12	Sokol's 19:8 37:5 48:16	suppose 47:1	22:11,11 23:20 33:11	use 34:4
second 33:1 50:17 51:9	somebody 13:5 35:17	sure 12:16 37:4 55:19	37:8 40:5 56:8	utterly 29:5 36:3
secret 32:12 33:2,2	sorry 46:13 55:2 57:13	surreptitiously 32:14	tied 42:19	\mathbf{v}
secretly 14:16 22:14,15	sort 9:8	Susan 45:11	time 8:18 24:18 33:13	
section 20:14 27:15 34:9 49:5	sounded 37:6 source 46:1	sustained 23:19 swore 31:17	33:14 36:20 37:17	Va 1:8 variety 16:11
see 31:5 56:20 57:1	source 40:1 so-called 29:6	sworn 37:19 48:2 62:4	38:6 48:18 51:2 54:8 59:16,20 60:3 62:7	variety 10.11 vast 34:19
seen 24:15 40:8,12,14	sparingly 34:10	SWOTH 37.19 48.2 02.4	Timpone 2:5 21:15	vast 34.19 vate 49:4
41:11 43:8 48:10	speak 30:14 58:1	Т	22:6 23:2 30:14	vided 44:21
selves 21:4	speaking 39:9	table 46:4	31:17	view 25:5
send 52:12	Spears 45:12,19	table-thumping 40:3	tinue 48:6	violate 17:17
sense 28:7	special 11:9	tains 28:2	tion 18:13 21:9 32:7,18	violated 28:4
sensitivity 35:4	specific 29:12 59:11	take 4:2 8:3 25:13	46:17	violates 13:18
sent 5:2 16:11 58:18	specifically 5:4,14	40:15 41:5 50:5	tionable 48:15 49:14	violation 20:13 21:6
sentence 11:16	10:20 11:12 28:3	60:14	tions 19:14 20:5 25:19	25:7,8
separately 29:10,11	57:11	taken 4:5	49:19	violator 28:14 35:1
September 32:5 44:2	spend 19:7 26:19	talk 13:7	today 10:14 12:11 18:8	Virginia 1:1 2:10,16
44:17,18 45:15 46:20	spirit 13:18 15:7	talked 45:19,21	21:18,21 36:14,16	3:16 7:21 16:15,18
47:10	sponsored 43:14	talking 10:8 16:7 43:1	48:1,4 50:3 56:9	20:13 33:19 37:18
series 16:8 40:16	Stahl 3:4 6:15 7:1	45:13 54:6	57:10 58:6,8,9 60:11	38:7 39:5 62:6
serious 30:5	15:11,12 18:10,11	talks 44:12	told 10:10 48:14 50:3	visit 49:19
serve 16:12 35:10	19:5 20:9 27:3 45:8	target 34:15	totally 19:9	Volume 1:12 62:10
service 14:4 30:7 34:17	51:2,13 52:11,15	taxpayers 26:18	tran 22:4	voluntarily 21:4
	<u> </u>			<u> </u>

vouch 27:17	worry 9:21	2829 44:10	
	wouldn't 15:3		
1	written 10:10	3	
	wrong 4:18 23:17	3rd 5:9	
1	_	3:00 4:4	
	wrongfully 15:9		
waited 47:2	v	3:10 4:6	
walked 36:16	X	30 15:15 20:19 22:4	
1	X 1:4,10	25:2	
13:10 18:21 40:15		3809 45:1	
50:1 52:2 56:9,11	\mathbf{Y}		
58:21	year 59:15 60:9,16	4	
wanted 33:8 40:20 42:4	years 15:16	4:05 61:10	
	young 55:15		
	youngest 52:12	5	
Washington 3:8	youngest 32.12	540)899-8077 3:17	
wasn't 5:21 11:12 18:2	Z	340/072 0077 3.17	
1		6	
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	Z 2:7		
I	zero 32:20 34:1	60 25:2	
way 16:20 36:6 40:10	4 7	684-4333 2:17	
47:6 49:13 54:13	\$	_	
ways 37:15	\$90,000 19:7	7	
weaker 54:17		7th 42:13	
weekend 50:14	1	7-Eleven 23:4	
	1 6:10	70 26:11	
	10:00 52:17	703 2:17	
	100,000 26:12	703)764-9080 2:11	
	101 3:15		
	10625 2:9	8	
	11 4:15,16 8:17,18 9:3	8.01-271.1 20:14 27:15	
/	•		
weren't 28:21	10:4 57:13 58:4	80 26:11	
	11th 31:8	80-or 19:7	
	12 44:17	0	
1	13 1:15 62:6	9	
	1330 3:7	9:00 1:16 52:19,20 53:3	
willful 6:21 18:1 20:3	14th 62:13	60:18 61:1	
	15 20:12 27:2 29:14,14	90 25:2	
1	16 20:12 22:13 27:2	90,000 26:12	
28:4	29:9	904 3:15	
	16th 5:1	908 2:15	
	17 15:20 28:2 36:2 44:2	99 8:8	
31:15,16,21 32:2	44:18 45:15 57:12	,, 0.0	
1 · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	17th 11:11 12:19		
I '	18 15:19 16:8 20:12		
withdraw 26:15	27:2 28:2		
	1996 11:5		
Withers 3:12 24:14			
27:20 28:20 29:3,8,9	2		
	2.2-3702 7:5		
33:21 36:2,9 37:6	200 2:15		
	2002 1:15 62:6,14		
	20036 3:8		
	201A 2:9		
	202-249-8095 3:9		
	22030 2:10		
***************************************	22314 2:16		
	22401 3:16		
	25th 46:19		
works 52:19	26th 46:19		